
BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

G. SZEGÖ

On the relative extrema of Legendre polynomials

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 3, Vol. 5
(1950), n.2, p. 120–121.

Zanichelli

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_1950_3_5_2_120_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

On the relative extrema of Legendre Polynomials.

Nota di G. SZEGÖ (a Stanford University, Calif.).

Summary. - *The relative maxima of $|P_n(x)|$ are decreasing if the degree n increases.*

1. For $n \geq 2$, LEGENDRE's polynomial $P_n(x)$ is an oscillating function. Let

$$(1) \quad \mu_{1,n}, \mu_{2,n}, \dots, \mu_{n-1,n}$$

denote the successive relative maxima of $|P_n(x)|$ when x decreases from 1 to -1 . *The first half of this sequence is decreasing* ⁽¹⁾:

$$(2) \quad 1 > \mu_{1,n} > \mu_{2,n} > \dots > \mu_{h,n}, \quad h = [n/2].$$

For reasons of symmetry the second half of the sequence (1) is increasing.

It is interesting to compare the maxima $\mu_{r,n}$ for different values of n . We prove in this Note that for a fixed r , *the maxima $\mu_{r,n}$ are decreasing when n increases, $n \geq r + 1$; i. e.*

$$(3) \quad \mu_{r,n} > \mu_{r,n+1}, \quad n = r + 1, r + 2, \dots \text{ } ^{(2)}$$

2. Let

$$(4) \quad x_{1,n} > x_{2,n} > \dots > x_{n-1,n}$$

be the abscissas to which the extrema (1) of $P_n(x)$ correspond. The numbers

$$(5) \quad x_{1,n+1} > x_{2,n+1} > \dots > x_{n,n+1}$$

(1) Cf. G. SZEGÖ, *Orthogonal polynomials*, New York, 1939, p. 159.

(2) This inequality was communicated to me as a conjecture by Dr. JOHN TODD. A proof of (3) for fixed r and *large* n was supplied by Dr. R. COOPER.

separate the numbers (4). This follows, for instance, from the fact that (4) and (5) are the zeros of $P'_n(x)$ and $P'_{n+1}(x)$ which are orthogonal polynomials associated with the same weight function (namely $1 - x^2$ in $-1, 1$). We conclude that the polynomials $P'_n(x)$ and $P'_{n+1}(x)$ are of opposite sign in the intervals

$$(6) \quad x_{r,n} < x < x_{r,n+1}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

3. Now we use the identity (SZEĞÖ, loc. cit., p. 370):

$$(7) \quad (1-x)(P'_{n+1}(x) + P'_n(x)) = (n+1)(P_n(x) - P_{n+1}(x)).$$

Replacing x by $-x$ we find

$$(8) \quad (1+x)(P'_{n+1}(x) - P'_n(x)) = (n+1)(P_n(x) + P_{n+1}(x)).$$

so that

$$(9) \quad (1-x^2)((P'_{n+1}(x))^2 - (P'_n(x))^2) = (n+1)^2((P_n(x))^2 - (P_{n+1}(x))^2).$$

Substituting $x = x_{r,n+1}$

$$(10) \quad \mu^2_{r,n+1} = (P_{n+1}(x_{r,n+1}))^2 = \left\{ (P_n(x))^2 + \frac{(1-x^2)(P'_n(x))^2}{(n+1)^2} \right\}_{x=x_{r,n+1}} \\ = f(x_{r,n+1})$$

results where

$$(11) \quad f(x) = (P_n(x))^2 + \frac{(1-x^2)(P'_n(x))^2}{(n+1)^2}.$$

But

$$(12) \quad f'(x) = 2P'_n(x) \left[P_n(x) + \frac{(1-x^2)P''_n(x) - xP'_n(x)}{(n+1)^2} \right] = \\ = 2P'_n(x) \left[P_n(x) + \frac{xP'_n(x) - n(n+1)P_n(x)}{(n+1)^2} \right] = \frac{2P'_n(x)P'_{n+1}(x)}{(n+1)^2}.$$

Here we have used the differential equation

$$(13) \quad (1-x^2)P'_n(x) - 2xP'_n(x) + n(n+1)P_n(x) = 0$$

and the identity [SZEĞÖ, (4.7.28), second formula, $\lambda = 1/2$]:

$$(14) \quad xP'_n(x) + (n+1)P_n(x) = P'_{n+1}(x).$$

Consequently $f'(x) < 0$ in the interval between $x_{r,n}$ and $x_{r,n+1}$, i. e. $f(x)$ is decreasing in this interval. Hence

$$\mu^2_{r,n+1} = f(x_{r,n+1}) < f(x_{rn}) = (P_n(x_{rn}))^2 = \mu^2_{r,n}$$

as it was stated above.