
BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

B. C. SINGHAI

**Approximation to the generating function
by the Cesàro means of its ultraspherical
series.**

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 3, Vol. 17
(1962), n.2, p. 159–165.

Zanichelli

[<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_1962_3_17_2_159_0>](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_1962_3_17_2_159_0)

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

SEZIONE SCIENTIFICA

BREVI NOTE

Approximation To The Generating Function By The Cesàro Means of Its Ultraspherical Series.

By B. C. SINGHAI (Sagar, India) (*)

Summary. - *The author has extended one of the results of Obrechhoff « On the approximation to the generating function by the Cesaro means of its ultraspherical series ».*

1. Let $f(\theta, \varphi)$ be a function defined for the range $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ and $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$; the ultraspherical series corresponding to it on the sphere S is

$$(1.1) \quad f(\theta, \varphi) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \lambda) \int_S \frac{f(\theta', \varphi') P_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \omega) \sin \theta' d\theta' d\varphi'}{[\sin^2 \theta' \sin^2 (\varphi - \varphi')]^{\frac{1}{2} - \lambda}},$$

where

$$\cos \omega = \cos \theta \cos \theta' + \sin \theta \sin \theta' \cos (\varphi - \varphi').$$

The LAPLACE series is a particular case of (1.1) for $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$.

We write [See also; 3]

$$(1.2) \quad f(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi(\sin \omega)^{2\lambda}} \int_{\omega} \frac{f(\theta', \varphi') ds'}{[\sin^2 \theta' \sin^2 (\varphi - \varphi')]^{\frac{1}{2} - \lambda}}$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi(\omega) = \left[f(\omega) - \frac{A\Gamma(\lambda)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \lambda\right)} \right] (\sin \omega)^{2\lambda};$$

$$\Phi_p(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(p)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{p-1} \varphi(t) dt;$$

$$\Phi_0(x) = \varphi(x)$$

$$\varphi_p(x) = \Gamma(p+1)x^{-p}\Phi_p(x), \quad p \geq 0;$$

(*) Pervenuta alla Segreteria dell'U. M. I. il 19 dicembre 1961.

and

$$\Phi_p(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \Phi_{p+1}(x), \quad -1 < p < 0.$$

The absolute integrability of the integrand in (1.2) is assumed throughout.

OBRECHKOFF [2] has proved the following result on the order of the CESÀRO means σ_n^k of the series (1.1):

THEOREM 1. - If $p \geq 0$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, such that

$$\int_0^t |\varphi_p(t)| dt = O(t^{1+2\lambda+\alpha})$$

$$\varphi_{p+1}(t) = o(t^{2\lambda+\alpha}), \quad t \rightarrow 0$$

then, for each k ,

$$p + \lambda + 1 \geq k > p + \lambda + \alpha,$$

we have

$$\sigma_n^k - A = O\left(\frac{1}{n^\alpha}\right).$$

We shall prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. - If

$$\Phi^*(t) = \int_0^t \frac{|\varphi_p(t)|}{t^{1+2\lambda}} dt = O\left[\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{r+1}\right]$$

and

$$\varphi_{p+1}(t) = O\left[t^{2\lambda} \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{r+1}\right], \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

for $-1 < r < \infty$ and any $p \geq 0$ then

$$\sigma_n^k - A = O[(\log n)^{r+1}]$$

where

$$\lambda + [P] + 1 \geq k > p + \lambda.$$

2. For the proof of the theorem we shall require the following Lemmas:

LEMMA 1. - If $S_n^k(\omega)$ denotes the n^{th} CESÀRO means of order $k \geq 0$ of the series

$$(2.1) \quad \Sigma (n + \lambda) F_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \omega)$$

Then we have, for $\lambda > 0$ and $p \geq 0$

$$(2.2) \quad S_n^{(p)}(\omega) = \frac{d^p \{ S_n^k(\omega) \}}{d\omega^p} = \begin{cases} O(n^{2\lambda+p+1}) \text{ for } 0 \leq \omega \leq \pi, & k > 0; \\ O\left(\frac{n^{\lambda+p-k}}{n^{k+\lambda+1}}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{nn^{2\lambda+p+2}}\right) & \text{for } 0 < \omega \leq a < \pi; \\ O\left(\frac{n^{\lambda+p-k}}{n^{k+\lambda+1}}\right) \text{ for } 0 < \omega \leq a < \pi & \text{and } \lambda + 1 + [p] \geq k. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2. - If η be any fixed positive constant less than π , then we have

$$(2.3) \quad \sigma_n^k - A = \int_0^\eta \varphi(\omega) S_n^k(\omega) d\omega + o(1)$$

for $k > \lambda$.

LEMMA 3. - For a non-integral

$$p = m + \sigma \quad (0 < \sigma < 1), \quad \text{we have}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_0^\eta \Phi_p(\omega) S_n^{(p)}(\omega) d\omega = \Phi_{m+1}(\eta) S_n^{(m)}(\eta) - \int_0^\eta \Phi_m(t) S_n^{(m)}(t) dt.$$

Lemmas 1 and 3 are due to OBRECHKOFF and for 2 see KOGBETLIANTZ [1] and OBRECHKOFF [2].

3. Proof of the Theorem. - In view of Lemma 2 it will be sufficient to prove that

$$\int_0^\eta \varphi(\omega) S_n^k(\omega) d\omega = O[(\log n)^{r+1}].$$

Integrating by parts m times we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\eta \varphi(\omega) S_n^k(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \left[\sum_{\rho=1}^m (-1)^{\rho-1} \Phi_\rho(\omega) S_n^{(k-\rho)}(\omega) \right]_0^\eta + (-1)^m \int_0^\eta \Phi_m(\omega) S_n^{(k-m)}(\omega) d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for a non-integral

$$p = m + \sigma \quad (0 < \sigma < 1),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \int_0^\eta \varphi(\omega) S_n^k(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \left[\sum_{\rho=1}^m (-1)^{\rho-1} \Phi_\rho(\omega) S_n^{(k-\rho)}(\omega) \right]_0^\eta + (-1)^m \left\{ \Phi_{m+1}(\eta) S_n^{(k-m)}(\eta) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^\eta \Phi_p(\omega) S_n^{(k-p)}(\omega) d\omega \right\} \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.1) \quad J_2 = o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad J_3 = o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

since $k > p + \lambda$ [see 4].

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 J &= o(1) + (-1)^{m+1} \int_0^\eta \Phi_p(u) S_n^{(p)}(u) du \\
 &= o(1) + \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\Gamma(p+1)} \int_0^\eta u^p \varphi_p(u) S_n^{(p)}(u) du \\
 &= o(1) + \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{\Gamma(p+1)} L, \text{ say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned}
 L &= \left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^\eta \right) u^p \varphi_p(u) S_n^{(p)}(u) du \\
 &= L_1 + L_2, \text{ say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad L_1 &= \left[\Phi_{p+1}(u) S_n^{(p)}(u) \right]_0^{\frac{1}{n}} - \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} \Phi_{p+1}(u) S_n^{(p)}(u) du \\
 &= J_1' - J_2' \\
 J_2' &= O \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} u^{p+1} \varphi_{p+1}(u) S_n^{(p+1)}(u) du \right] \\
 &= O \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} u^{p+1} \cdot u^{2\lambda} \left(\log \frac{1}{u} \right)^{r+1} \cdot O(n^{2\lambda+p+2}) du \right] \\
 &= O[(\log n)^{r+1}].
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.4) \quad J_2' = O \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^{p+1} \frac{n^{\lambda+p-k}}{\left(\frac{1}{n} \right)^{\lambda+k+1}} \varphi_{p+1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \text{ as } \lambda + [p] + 1 \geq k.$$

$$= O[(\log n)^{r+1}].$$

Thus

$$(3.5) \quad L_1 = O[\log n]^{r+1}.$$

Now

$$L_2 = \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\eta} u^p \varphi_p(u) S_n^{(p)}(u) du$$

$$= \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\eta} |\varphi_p(u)| \cdot O \left(\frac{n^{\lambda+p-k}}{u^{k+\lambda+1}} \right) u^p \cdot du$$

$$= O(n^{\lambda+p-k}) \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\eta} \frac{|\varphi(u)|}{u^{1+2\lambda}} \cdot u^{p+\lambda-k} du$$

$$= O(n^{\lambda+p-k}) \cdot [\Phi^*(u) \cdot u^{p+\lambda-k}]_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\eta}$$

$$= O(n^{\lambda+p-k}) \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\eta} \Phi^*(u) \cdot u^{p+\lambda-k-1} \cdot du$$

$$= O(n^{\lambda+p-k}) \cdot \Phi^*(\eta) \eta^{\lambda+p-k}$$

$$+ O(n^{\lambda+p-k}) \cdot \Phi^* \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{n^{\lambda+p-k}}$$

$$+ O(n^{\lambda+p-k}) \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\eta} \left(\log \frac{1}{u} \right)^{r+1} \cdot u^{p+\lambda-k-1} du$$

$$= k_1 + k_2 + k_3, \text{ say}$$

$$(3.6) \quad k_1 = o(1), \text{ since } k > \lambda + p$$

$$(3.7) \quad k_2 = O[(\log n)^{r+1}]$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad k_3 = O(n^{\lambda+p-k}) \cdot \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\eta} \frac{1}{u^{-p-\lambda+k+1}} du$$

$$= O(n^{\lambda+p-k}) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{u^{k-p-\lambda}} \right]_{\frac{1}{n}}^{\eta}$$

$$= o(1) + O(1)$$

$$= O(1).$$

Thus

$$(3.9) \quad L_2 = o(1) + O[(\log n)^{r+1}] + O(1)$$

$$= O[(\log n)^{r+2}]$$

Combining (3.1), (3.2) ... and (3.9) the result is proved.

I am much indebted to Prof. M. L. MISRA for his kind interest in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] KOGBETLIANTZ, E., *Recherches sur la sommabilité des séries ultrasphériques par la méthode des moyennes arithmétiques*, « Jour Math. », (9), 3, 1924, (107-187).
- [2] OBRECHKOFF, *Sur la sommation de la séries ultrasphériques par la méthode des moyennes arithmétiques*, « Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo. », 59, 1936. (266-287).
- [3] SINGHAI, B. C. *Césaro Summability of ultraspherical series*, to appear shortly in « Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata. », (1961).
- [4] SINGHAI B. C., *Of the ultraspherical Series*, in the press, « Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica, Journal Italiana », 1961.