

---

# BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

---

LUISA FATTORUSSO, GIOVANNA IDONE

## Partial Hölder continuity results for solutions of non linear non variational elliptic systems with limit controlled growth

*Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 8, Vol. 5-B (2002),  
n.3, p. 747–754.*

Unione Matematica Italiana

[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI\\_2002\\_8\\_5B\\_3\\_747\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_2002_8_5B_3_747_0)

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>



## Partial Hölder Continuity Results for Solutions of non Linear non Variational Elliptic Systems with Limit Controlled Growth.

LUISA FATTORUSSO - GIOVANNA IDONE

**Sunto.** – Sia  $\Omega$  un aperto limitato di  $R^n$ ,  $n > 4$ , di classe  $C^2$ . Sia  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  una soluzione del sistema ellittico non lineare non variazionale

$$a(x, u, Du, H(u)) = b(x, u, Du)$$

dove  $a(x, u, \mu, \xi)$  e  $b(x, u, \mu)$  sono vettori in  $R^N$ ,  $N \geq 1$ , misurabili in  $x$ , continui in  $(u, \mu, \xi)$  e  $(u, \mu)$  rispettivamente. Si dimostra che se  $b(x, u, \mu)$  ha andamenti controllati limite, se  $a(x, u, \mu, \xi)$  è di classe  $C^1$  in  $\xi$  e soddisfa la condizione (A) di Campanato e, unitamente a  $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \xi}$ , alcune condizioni di continuità, allora il vettore  $Du$  è parzialmente hölderiano per ogni esponente  $\alpha < 1 - \frac{n}{p}$ .

**Summary.** – Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $R^n$ ,  $n > 4$ , of class  $C^2$ . Let  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  a solution of elliptic non linear non variational system

$$a(x, u, Du, H(u)) = b(x, u, Du)$$

where  $a(x, u, \mu, \xi)$  and  $b(x, u, \mu)$  are vectors in  $R^N$ ,  $N \geq 1$ , measurable in  $x$ , continuous in  $(u, \mu, \xi)$  and  $(u, \mu)$  respectively. Here, we demonstrate that if  $b(x, u, \mu)$  has limit controlled growth, if  $a(x, u, \mu, \xi)$  is of class  $C^1$  in  $\xi$  and satisfies the Campanato condition (A) and, together with  $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \xi}$ , certain continuity assumptions, then the vector  $Du$  is partially Hölder continuous for every exponent  $\alpha < 1 - \frac{n}{p}$ .

### 1. – Introduction.

In this work we study the partial Hölder continuity for solutions of second order non linear non variational elliptic systems of type

$$(1.1) \quad a(x, u, Du, H(u)) = b(x, u, Du)$$

with limit controlled growth. This result is similar to the one demonstrated in the case of strictly controlled growth by L. Fattorusso - G. Idone [1].

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $R^n$ ,  $n > 4$ , of class  $C^2$  and  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  a

solution of elliptic non linear non variational system (1.1) where  $a(x, u, \mu, \xi)$  and  $b(x, u, \mu)$  are vectors of  $R^N$ ,  $N \geq 1$ , measurable in  $x$ , continuous in  $(u, \mu, \xi)$  and  $(u, \mu)$  respectively, satisfying the conditions:

(1.2)  $a(x, u, \mu, 0) = 0$

(1.3)  $a(x, u, \mu, \xi)$  is of class  $C^1$  in  $\xi$  with derivatives  $\frac{\partial a}{\partial \xi_{ij}}^{(1)}$  uniformly continuous and bounded in  $\Omega \times R^N \times R^{nN} \times R^{n^2N}$ ,

(1.4) there exists a constant  $c$  such that,  $\forall u \in R^N, \forall \mu \in R^{nN}$  and for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  we have

$$\|b(x, u, \mu)\| \leq c\{f(x) + \|u\|^\alpha + \|\mu\|^\beta\}$$

with  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  and with  $\alpha = \frac{n}{n-4}$  and  $\beta = \frac{n}{n-2}$

(A) there exist three positive constants  $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\gamma}$ , and  $\bar{\delta}$ , with  $\bar{\gamma} + \bar{\delta} < 1$ , such that,  $\forall u \in R^N, \forall \mu \in R^{nN}, \forall \tau, \eta \in R^{n^2N}$  and for almost every  $x \in \Omega$  we have

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_{ii} - \bar{\alpha}[a(x, u, \mu, \tau + \eta) - a(x, u, \mu, \eta)] \right\|^2 \leq \bar{\gamma} \|\tau\|^2 + \bar{\delta} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_{ii} \right\|^2$$

(B) there exists a non negative function  $\omega(t)$ , defined for  $t \geq 0$ , continuous, bounded, concave, non decreasing with  $\omega(0) = 0$  such that  $\forall x, y \in \Omega, \forall u, v \in R^N, \forall \mu, \bar{\mu} \in R^{nN}$  and  $\forall \xi, \tau \in R^{n^2N}$

$$\|a(x, u, \mu, \xi) - a(y, u, \bar{\mu}, \xi)\| \leq \omega(d^2(x, y) + \|u - v\|^2 + \|\mu - \bar{\mu}\|^2) \cdot \|\xi\|,$$

$$\left\| \frac{\partial a(x, u, \mu, \xi)}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\partial a(x, u, \mu, \tau)}{\partial \xi} \right\| \leq \omega(\|\xi - \tau\|^2) \quad (2)$$

Partial Hölder continuity results for solutions of the system (1.1) had been obtained in [1] in the strictly controlled growth case. For the case  $n \leq 4$  we refer to [2] section n. 3.

In this work we obtain the following partial Hölder continuity result for solutions of (1.1) in the limit controlled growth case:

**THEOREM 1.1.** – *If  $u \in H^2(\Omega, R^N)$  is a solution to the system (1.1) and if the assumptions (1.3) (1.4) with  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $p > n$  hold, then there exists a set*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \frac{\partial a(x, u, \mu, \xi)}{\partial \xi_{ij}} &= \left\{ \frac{\partial a^h(x, u, \mu, \xi)}{\partial \xi_{ij}^k} \right\} \quad h, k = 1, \dots, N. \\ (2) \quad \frac{\partial a(x, u, \mu, \eta)}{\partial \xi} &= \left\{ \frac{\partial a(x, u, \mu, \eta)}{\partial \xi_{ij}} \right\} \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{B}_0$ , closed in  $\Omega$  <sup>(3)</sup>, with

$$\mathcal{B}_2 \subset \mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$$

such that

$$Du \in C^{0, \alpha}(\Omega \setminus \mathcal{B}_0, R^{nN}), \quad \forall \alpha < 1 - \frac{n}{p}.$$

In order to show the theorem mentioned, above, we need the following  $L^p$  local regularity theorem for solutions of (1.1). It serves an auxiliary role, but it has indeed an interest in its own right.

**THEOREM 1.2.** – *If  $u \in H^2(\Omega, R^N)$  is a solution to the system (1.1) and if (1.2), (1.4) with  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ ,  $p > 2$ , and (A) hold, then  $u \in H_{loc}^{2,p}(\Omega, R^N)$  and there exists  $\sigma_0(u)$  such that  $\forall B(x^0, \sigma) \subset B(x^0, 2\sigma) \subset \subset \Omega$ , with  $\sigma < \sigma_0$  one has:*

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{B(x^0, \sigma)} (\|u\|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} + \|Du\|^{2^*} + \|H(u)\|^2)^{p/2} dx \leq \\ \leq k \left\{ \left[ \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} (\|u\|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} + \|Du\|^{2^*} + \|H(u)\|^2) dx \right]^{p/2} + \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} |f|^p dx \right\}$$

where  $k$  does not depend on  $\sigma$ .

**2. – Local  $L^p$ -regularity of the matrix  $H(u)$ .**

Proof of the theorem 1.2.

Let  $u \in H^2(\Omega, R^N)$  be a solution in  $\Omega$  to the system (1.1), being  $a(x, u, \mu, \xi)$  and  $b(x, u, \mu)$  vectors of  $R^N$  satisfying assumptions (A), (1.2) and (1.4) with  $f \in L^p$ ,  $p > 2$ .

From the estimate (3.2) of lemma (3.1) of [2],

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{B(x^0, \sigma)} \|H(u)\|^2 dx \leq c\sigma^{-2} \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du - (Du)_{2\sigma}\|^2 dx + \\ + c \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|b(x, u, Du)\|^2 dx$$

<sup>(3)</sup> In particular  $m(\mathcal{B}_0) = 0$ .

and by the theorem of Poincaré, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du - (Du)_{2\sigma}\|^2 dx \leq c \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}\|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n+2}{n}}.$$

From the assumption (1.4) one has:

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|b(x, u, Du)\|^2 dx \leq c \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} |f(x)|^2 dx + \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|u\|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dx + \\ + \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du\|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dx.$$

Now, observing that

$$\int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du\|^{2^*} dx \leq c \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du - (Du)_{2\sigma}\|^{2^*} dx + \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|(Du)_{2\sigma}\|^{2^*} dx \leq \\ \leq c \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du - (Du)_{2\sigma}\|^{2^*} dx + \sigma^{n(1-2^*)} \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du\| \right)^{2^*} dx \leq \\ \leq c \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du - (Du)_{2\sigma}\|^{2^*} dx + \sigma^{-2} \left[ \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du\|^{2^* \frac{n}{n+2}} dx \right]^{\frac{n+2}{n}}$$

and taking into account the (3.19) of page 21 of [3], we have

$$\int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du\|^{2^*} dx \leq \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)\| \right)^{2^*/2} dx + \sigma^{-2} \left[ \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du\|^{2^* \frac{n}{n+2}} dx \right]^{\frac{n+2}{n}}.$$

Now from the absolute-continuity of the integral, we have that,  $\forall \lambda$  there exists  $\sigma(\mu, \lambda)$  such that if  $\sigma < \sigma_1$

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du\|^{2^*} dx \leq \frac{\lambda}{3} \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)\|^2 dx \right) + \sigma^{-2} \left[ \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du\|^{2^* \frac{n}{n+2}} dx \right]^{\frac{n+2}{n}}.$$

Moreover being

$$\int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|u\|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dx \leq c\sigma^n \|P\|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} + \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|u - P\|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dx$$

where  $P \equiv (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_N)$  is the polynomial vector of degree  $\leq 1$  such that

$$\int_{B(x^0, \sigma)} D^\alpha (u - P) dx = 0 \quad \forall \alpha, |\alpha| \leq 1$$

we have:

$$\sigma^n \|P\|_{\frac{2n}{n-4}} \leq \sigma^{-2} \left[ \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|u\|_{\frac{2n}{n-4} \frac{n}{n+2}} dx \right]^{\frac{n+2}{n}} + c(u) \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du\|^{2^*} dx$$

and

$$\int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|u - P\|_{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dx \leq c \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)\|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}.$$

Hence

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|u\|_{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dx \leq c\sigma^{-2} \left[ \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|u\|_{\frac{2n}{n-4} \frac{n}{n+2}} dx \right]^{\frac{n+2}{n}} + c(u) \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du\|^{2^*} dx + c \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)\|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}.$$

From (2.1), taking into account (2.2) (2.3) (2.4) and (2.5) it follows

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)\|^2 dx \leq \leq c\sigma^{-2} \left[ \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} (\|u\|_{\frac{2n}{n-4}} + \|Du\|^{2^*} + \|H(u)\|^2)^{\frac{n}{n+2}} dx \right]^{\frac{n+2}{n}} + \frac{\lambda}{3} \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)\|^2 dx \right) + \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} |f(x)|^2 dx + c(u) \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du\|^{2^*} dx + c \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)\|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}.$$

Now, from the absolute continuity of the integral, we have that  $\forall \lambda > 0$

$\exists \sigma_2(u, \lambda)$  such that, if  $\sigma < \sigma_2$ ,

$$c \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)\|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n-4}} < \frac{\lambda}{3}.$$

From this and from (2.4) (2.6)

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)\|^2 dx \leq c \left\{ \sigma^{-2} \left[ \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \left( \|u\|^{\frac{2}{n-4}} + \|Du\|^{2^*} + \|H(u)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{n}{n+2}} dx \right]^{\frac{n+2}{n}} + \lambda \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)\|^2 dx + \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} |f(x)|^2 dx \right\}.$$

Adding member to member (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7)

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|u\|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dx + \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|Du\|^{2^*} dx + \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)\|^2 dx \leq c \left\{ \sigma^{-2} \left[ \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \left( \|u\|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} + \|Du\|^{2^*} + \|H(u)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{n}{n+2}} dx \right]^{\frac{n+2}{n}} + \lambda \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} \|H(u)\|^2 dx \right) + \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} |f(x)|^2 dx \right\}.$$

Now, setting

$$U(x) = \left\{ \|u\|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} + \|Du\|^{2^*} + \|H(u)\|^2 dx \right\}^{\frac{n}{n+2}}$$

$$G(x) = \left\{ |f(x)|^2 \right\}^{\frac{n}{n+2}}$$

the inequality (2.8), if  $\sigma < \sigma_0(u, \lambda)$ , can be written in the following form:

$$\int_{B(x^0, \sigma)} U^{\frac{n}{n+2}} dx \leq c \left\{ \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} U dx \right)^{\frac{n}{n+2}} + \lambda \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} U^{\frac{n}{n+2}} dx + \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} G^{\frac{n}{n+2}} dx \right\}.$$

From this, using a lemma of Gehring-Giaquinta-Modica (see lemma 4.1 page 125 of [3]), written for  $r = \frac{n+2}{n}$ ,  $s = p \frac{n+2}{n}$  where  $p > 2$ , we deduce that there exists  $\lambda_0(r, s)$  such that  $\forall \lambda < \lambda_0 \exists \varepsilon > 0$  for which  $U \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ ,  $\forall t \in$



$[r, r + \varepsilon)$  and

$$(2.9) \quad \left( \int_{B(x^0, \sigma)} U^t dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq k \left\{ \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} U^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} + \left( \int_{B(x^0, 2\sigma)} G^t dx \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \right\}.$$

Setting in (2.9)  $t = p \frac{n+2}{2n}$  it follows (1.5).

### 3. – Partial Hölder continuity of the vector $Du$ .

With the same technique used in [1], we have the following lemmas:

LEMMA 3.1. – *If  $u \in H^2(\Omega, R^N)$  is a solution to the system (1.1) and if the assumptions (1.3) (1.4) with  $p > 2$  hold, then,  $\forall B(x^0, \sigma) \subset\subset \Omega$ , with  $\sigma < 2$ ,  $\forall \tau \in (0, 1)$  and  $\forall \varepsilon \in \left(0, (n-2)\left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right)\right]$ , it results:*

$$(3.1) \quad \Phi(u, x^0, \tau\sigma) \leq A\Phi(u, x^0, \sigma) \left\{ \tau^\lambda + \sigma^{2(1-\frac{2}{p})} + [\omega(c\sigma^{2-n}\Phi(u, x^0, \sigma))]^{1-\frac{2}{p}} + \left[ \omega \left( \int_{B(x^0, \sigma)} \|H(u) - (H(u))_\sigma\|^2 d\sigma \right) \right]^{1-\frac{2}{p}} \right\}$$

where  $\lambda = n\left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right) - \varepsilon$  and

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi(u, x^0, \sigma) = \sigma^\xi + \int_{B(x^0, \sigma)} \left[ \|u\|^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} + \|Du\|^{2*} + \|H(u)\|^2 \right] dx$$

with  $\xi = n\left(1 - \frac{2}{p}\right)$ .

Let us set:

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \left\{ x^0 \in \Omega : \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(x^0, \sigma)} \|H(u) - (H(u))_\sigma\|^2 dy > 0 \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \left\{ x^0 \in \Omega : \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \sigma^{2-n} \Phi(u, x^0, \sigma) > 0 \right\}.$$

By a known property of the Lebesgue integral we have

$$\text{mis } \mathcal{B}_1 = 0$$

and taking into account a theorem of Giusti ([3] p. 142) we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_{n-2}(\mathcal{B}_2) = 0$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_\gamma$  is the  $\gamma$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure.

Hence the set  $\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$  has the measure zero.

Now, reasoning exactly as in theorem 5.1 of [4], it is easy to prove:

LEMMA 3.2. – *If  $u \in H^2(\Omega, R^N)$  is a solution to the system (1.1), if the assumptions (1.3) (1.4) hold, then, for every fixed  $\varepsilon \in \left(0, 1 - \frac{n}{p}\right)$ , it is possible to associate to every  $x^0 \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$  a ball  $B(x^0, R_{x^0}) \subset \Omega \setminus \mathcal{B}_2$  and a positive number  $\sigma_\varepsilon$  such that,  $\forall t \in (0, 1)$  and  $\forall y \in B(x^0, R_{x^0})$*

$$\Phi(u, y, t\sigma_\varepsilon) \leq (1 + A)t^{n(1 - \frac{2}{p}) - 2\varepsilon} \Phi(u, y, \sigma_\varepsilon)$$

and hence (see [4])

$$H(u) \in L^{2, n(1 - \frac{2}{p}) - 2\varepsilon}(B(x^0, R_{x^0}), R^{n^2N})$$

$$Du \in \mathcal{L}^{2, n(1 - \frac{2}{p}) - 2\varepsilon + 2}(B(x^0, R_{x^0}), R^{nN}).$$

From Lemma (3.2) the theorem 1.1 easily follows.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. FATTORUSSO - G. IDONE, *Partial Hölder continuity results for solutions of non linear non variational elliptic systems with strictly controlled growth*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova, **103** (2000), 23-29.
- [2] S. CAMPANATO,  $\mathcal{L}^{2, \lambda}$  theory for non linear non variational differential system, Rend. Matem. Serie VII, **10** Roma (1990), 531-549.
- [3] S. CAMPANATO, *Sistemi ellittici in forma di divergenza: Regolarità all'interno*. Quaderni scuola Normale Sup. Pisa, 1980.
- [4] S. CAMPANATO, *Hölder continuity and partial Hölder continuity results for  $H^{1, q}$ -solution of non linear elliptic system with controlled growth*, Rendiconti Sem. Mat. e Fis. Milano., Vol. LII (1982).

Università degli Studi di Reggio Calabria, Dipartimento di Ingegneria Elettronica  
e  
Matematica Applicata, via Graziella, Località Feo di Vito, Reggio Calabria, Italy