
BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

ZBIGNIEW DUSZYŃSKI

Remarks on \mathcal{S} -Closedness in Topological Spaces

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 8, Vol. 10-B
(2007), n.2, p. 469–483.

Unione Matematica Italiana

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_2007_8_10B_2_469_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Remarks on \mathcal{S} -Closedness in Topological Spaces.

ZBIGNIEW DUSZYŃSKI

Sunto. – *Relativamente al [27], sono provate alcune proprietà dei sottospazi \mathcal{S} -chiusi e dei sottoinsiemi \mathcal{S} -chiusi di uno spazio topologico. Sono studiate delle condizioni mediante le quali le applicazioni conservano alcuni sottospazi \mathcal{S} -chiusi.*

Summary. – *Corresponding to [27], some properties of \mathcal{S} -closed subspaces and subsets \mathcal{S} -closed relative to a topological space are proved. Conditions under which mappings preserve certain \mathcal{S} -closed subspaces are investigated.*

1. – Preliminaries.

Topological spaces are denoted by (X, τ) . Let S be a subset of a space (X, τ) . We denote the interior and the closure of S in this space by $\text{int}(S)$ (or $\text{int}_X(S)$) and $\text{cl}(S)$ (or $\text{cl}_X(S)$), respectively. The set S is said to be *regular open* (resp. *regular closed*) in (X, τ) , if $S = \text{int}(\text{cl}(S))$ (resp. $S = \text{cl}(\text{int}(S))$). The S is said to be *a-open* [23] (resp. *semi-open* [19]; *preopen* [20]; *semi-preopen* [1, 2]), if $S \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(S)))$ (resp. $S \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(S))$; $S \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(S))$; $S \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(S)))$). The complement to X of an *a-open* (resp. *semi-open*; *preopen*; *semi-preopen*) set is said to be an *a-closed* (resp. *semi-closed*; *preclosed*; *semi-preclosed*) set. The intersection of all *a-closed* (resp. *semi-closed*; *preclosed*; *semi-preclosed*) sets (in (X, τ)) containing S is called the *a-closure* (resp. *semi-closure*; *preclosure*; *semi-preclosure*) of S in (X, τ) , and it is denoted respectively by $a\text{-cl}(S)$ (or $a\text{-cl}_X(S)$), $\text{scl}(S)$ (or $\text{scl}_X(S)$), $\text{pcl}(S)$ (or $\text{pcl}_X(S)$), $\text{spcl}(S)$ (or $\text{spcl}_X(S)$). The set S is *a-closed* (resp. *semi-closed*; *preclosed*; *semi-preclosed*) if and only if $a\text{-cl}(S) = S$ (resp. $\text{scl}(S) = S$; $\text{pcl}(S) = S$; $\text{spcl}(S) = S$). Each closed subset of a space (X, τ) is *a-closed*, *semi-closed*, *preclosed*, and *semi-preclosed*. The collection of all *a-open* (resp. *semi-open*; *preopen*; *semi-preopen*) subsets of a space (X, τ) is denoted by $a\text{-O}(X, \tau)$ or τ^a (resp. $\text{SO}(X, \tau)$, $\text{PO}(X, \tau)$; $\text{SPO}(X, \tau)$). The family of all regular open (resp. regular closed; *semi-closed*) subsets of (X, τ) is denoted by $\text{RO}(X, \tau)$ (resp. $\text{RC}(X, \tau)$, $\text{SC}(X, \tau)$). Members of the intersection $\text{SR}(X, \tau) = \text{SO}(X, \tau) \cap \text{SC}(X, \tau)$ are called *semi-regular sets* [9]. A space (X, τ) is *extremally disconnected* (briefly *e.d.*) if $\text{cl}(V) \in \tau$ for each $V \in \tau$.

T. Thompson [40] has defined an (X, τ) to be \mathcal{S} -closed, if for every cover $\{V_a : a \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ of X there exists a finite subfamily $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} \text{cl}_X(V_a)$. T. Noiri [27] has defined a subset S of (X, τ) to be \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) , if for every cover $\{V_a : a \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ of S there exists a finite subfamily $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $S \subset \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} \text{cl}_X(V_a)$.

2. – \mathcal{S} -closed subspaces.

From [27, Corollary 3.4] we obtain, as a particular case, the following

COROLLARY 2.1. – *If A and B are both \mathcal{S} -closed regular open subspaces of a space (X, τ) , then $A \cap B$ is an \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X, τ) .*

Utilizing [27, Theorem 3.1], we can reexpress Corollary 2.1 as follows:

COROLLARY 2.1'. – *If sets A and B are both regular open and are \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) , then $A \cap B$ is an \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X, τ) .*

This result we generalize in the following way.

THEOREM 2.2. – *Let $A, B \in \text{SC}(X, \tau)$ and $A \cap B \in \tau$. If A and B are both \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) , then $A \cap B$ is an \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X, τ) .*

PROOF. – Since $A \cap B \in \text{SC}(X, \tau) \cap \tau$, clearly we have $A \cap B \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ (see also for instance [11, Lemma 2.2 (2)]). So, it follows from [27, Theorems 3.3 and 3.1] that $A \cap B$ is an \mathcal{S} -closed subspace. \square

LEMMA 2.3. – *Let A be a subset of (X, τ) . Then, the following holds:*

- (a) [18, Proposition 2.7]. $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ iff $\text{scl}(A) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$.
- (b) [2, Theorem 2.20(a)]. $A \in a\text{-O}(X, \tau)$ iff $\text{spcl}(A) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)))$.
- (c) [2, Theorem 2.20(c)]. $A \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ iff $a\text{-cl}(A) = \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(A)))$.
- (d) $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ iff $\text{pcl}(A) = \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$.

PROOF. – To prove the case (d) we use [2, Theorem 1.5 (e)]. \square

THEOREM 2.4. – *Let an A be \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) . Then,*

- (a) $a\text{-cl}_X(A)$, $\text{scl}_X(A)$, $\text{pcl}_X(A)$, $\text{spcl}_X(A)$ are \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) ;
- (b₁) if $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$, then $\text{int}_X(\text{scl}_X(A))$ is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) ;
- (b₂) if $A \in a\text{-O}(X, \tau)$, then $\text{int}_X(\text{spcl}_X(A))$ is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) ;
- (b₃) if $A \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$, then $\text{int}_X(a\text{-cl}_X(A))$ is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) ;
- (b₄) if $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$, then $\text{int}_X(\text{pcl}_X(A))$ is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) .

PROOF. – (a). Proofs for all kinds of closures are quite similar to that of [27, Theorem 3.4] (for $\text{cl}(A)$).

(b). We apply: respective parts of the case (a), Lemma 2.3, and [27, Theorem 3.3]. \square

COROLLARY 2.5. – *If $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ (resp. $A \in a\text{-O}(X, \tau)$; $A \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$; $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$) is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) , then the set $\text{int}_X(\text{scl}_X(A))$ (respectively $\text{int}_X(\text{spcl}_X(A))$; $\text{int}_X(a\text{-cl}_X(A))$; $\text{int}_X(\text{pcl}_X(A))$) is an \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X, τ) .*

PROOF. – Follows from Theorem 2.4(b), Lemma 2.3, and [27, Theorem 3.1]. \square

REMARK 2.6. – Without difficulties one checks that [27, Theorem 3.5] is also true if we replace “ A is ... open ...” by “ A is an \mathcal{S} -closed a -open ...”. We obtain below that similar results hold also for weaker kinds of closure of a -open sets.

THEOREM 2.7. – *Let A be an \mathcal{S} -closed a -open subspace of (X, τ) . Then, $\text{scl}_X(A)$, $\text{spcl}_X(A)$, $a\text{-cl}_X(A)$, and $\text{pcl}_X(A)$ are \mathcal{S} -closed subspaces of (X, τ) .*

PROOF. – We apply respective parts of Lemma 2.3 for each considered case of weak closures, which are of the form $\text{int}(\text{cl}(\cdot))$ or $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\cdot))$. So, $\text{scl}_X(A)$, $\text{spcl}_X(A)$, $a\text{-cl}_X(A)$, and $\text{pcl}_X(A)$ are semi-open in (X, τ) . The proof for each case is quite similar to that of [27, Theorem 3.5] and hence we can leave details to the reader. \square

THEOREM 2.8. – *Let (X, τ) be an \mathcal{S} -closed space.*

(A) *Let A be a semi-closed (resp. a semi-preclosed) subset of (X, τ) . If $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ (resp. $A \in a\text{-O}(X, \tau)$) then A is an \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X, τ) .*

(B) *Let A be an a -closed (resp. a preclosed) subset of (X, τ) . If $A \in \text{SPO}(X, \tau)$ (resp. $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$) and $\text{Fr}(A)$ is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) , then A is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) .*

PROOF. – (A). This follows from Lemma 2.3 and [27, Corollary 3.2].

(B) follows from Lemma 2.3, [27, Theorem 3.3], and [27, Theorem 3.6] (see the proof of [27, Theorem 3.7]). \square

Recall that a space (X, τ) is called *locally \mathcal{S} -closed* [27, Definition 4.1], if each point of X has an open neighbourhood which is an \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X, τ) .

THEOREM 2.9. – (see [27, Theorem 4.1]). *For a space (X, τ) the following are equivalent:*

- (1) (X, τ) is locally \mathcal{S} -closed.

(2) Each point of X has an open neighbourhood which is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) .

(3) Each point of X has an open neighbourhood V such that $a\text{-cl}_X(V)$ (resp. $\text{scl}_X(V)$; $\text{pcl}_X(V)$; $\text{spcl}_X(V)$) is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) .

(4) Each point of X has an open neighbourhood V such that $\text{int}_X(a\text{-cl}_X(V))$ (resp. $\text{int}_X(\text{scl}_X(V))$; $\text{int}_X(\text{pcl}_X(V))$; $\text{int}_X(\text{spcl}_X(V))$) is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) .

(5) Each point of X has an open neighbourhood V such that $\text{int}_X(a\text{-cl}_X(V))$ (resp. $\text{int}_X(\text{scl}_X(V))$; $\text{int}_X(\text{pcl}_X(V))$; $\text{int}_X(\text{spcl}_X(V))$) is an \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X, τ) .

PROOF. – (1) \Rightarrow (2) and (4) \Rightarrow (5) follow from [27, Theorem 3.1]. (2) \Rightarrow (3): the case (a) of Theorem 2.4. (3) \Rightarrow (4): Theorem 2.4. (5) \Rightarrow (1) is obvious. \square

LEMMA 2.10. – [13] (see also Acta Math. Hungar., 105 (3) (2004), p. 235).
In every space (X, τ)

$$V \cap \text{scl}(S) \subset \text{cl}(\text{scl}(V \cap S))$$

for each $S \subset X$ and $V \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$.

REMARK 2.11. – Recall that

$$\text{RO}(X, \tau) \cup \text{RC}(X, \tau) \subset \text{SR}(X, \tau),$$

[39, Lemma 2.3]. This inclusion is proper, in general.

The following theorem is a slight improvement of [27, Theorem 3.3] for the case of spaces that are not e.d.

THEOREM 2.12. – Assume that a space (X, τ) is not e.d. Let an $A \subset X$ be \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) and a set $B \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ or $B \in \text{SR}(X, \tau) \setminus \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ with $\text{cl}(B) = \text{scl}(B)$. Then $A \cap B$ is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) .

PROOF. – Suppose $A \cap B \subset \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} V_a$, where $V_a \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Since $B \in \text{SR}(X, \tau)$, thus $X \setminus B \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ [39, Lemma 2.2 (ii)] and

$$A \subset (X \setminus B) \cup \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} V_a.$$

But A is an \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) , thus there exists a finite subfamily $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$A \subset \text{cl}(X \setminus B) \cup \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} \text{cl}(V_a).$$

Utilizing Lemma 2.10 we obtain

$$A \cap B \subset (B \cap \text{scl}(X \setminus B)) \cup \bigcup_{a \in A_1} \text{cl}(V_a) = \bigcup_{a \in A_1} \text{cl}(V_a).$$

This shows that $A \cap B$ is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) . \square

REMARK 2.13. – (a). The author proved in [14] that a space (X, τ) is e.d. if and only if for each $S \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$, $\text{scl}(S) = \text{int}(\text{cl}(S)) = \text{cl}(\text{int}(S))$. Thus, by [24, Lemma 2] we obtain that in e.d. spaces $\text{cl}(S) = \text{scl}(S)$ for each $S \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$. The reversed implication is also true. This equivalence was proved in [9, Proposition 2.4].

(b). The author proved in [14] that a space (X, τ) is e.d. if and only if $\text{RO}(X, \tau) = \text{RC}(X, \tau)$. On the other hand, by [10, Proposition 2(i)] ($\text{SR}(X, \tau) = \text{RO}(X, \tau) \cap \text{RC}(X, \tau)$) and [39, Lemma 2.3] (see Remark 2.11), we have $\text{RO}(X, \tau) \cup \text{RC}(X, \tau) = \text{SR}(X, \tau)$ in any e.d. space. Consequently, in these spaces we have $\text{RO}(X, \tau) = \text{SR}(X, \tau)$. To give an example of a set $B \in \text{SR}(X, \tau) \setminus \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ for which $\text{cl}(B) = \text{scl}(B)$, it is enough to consider the space of reals with usual topology and $B = [0, 1]$.

COROLLARY 2.14. – (see [27, Corollary 3.2]). *If (X, τ) is an \mathcal{S} -closed not e.d. space and an $A \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ or $A \in \text{SR}(X, \tau) \setminus \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ with $\text{cl}(A) = \text{scl}(A)$, then A is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) .*

PROOF. – To see \mathcal{S} -closedness of A relative to (X, τ) we apply [27, Theorem 3.1] and Theorem 2.12. Notice that if $A \in \text{SR}(X, \tau)$ and $\text{cl}(A) = \text{scl}(A)$, then $A \in \text{RC}(X, \tau)$. \square

COROLLARY 2.15. – (see [27, Corollary 3.3]). *Let (X, τ) be not an e.d. space. If an A is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) and a set $B \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ or $B \in \text{SR}(X, \tau) \setminus \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ with $\text{cl}(B) = \text{scl}(B)$, then*

- (1) $A \cap B$ is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to B .
- (2) B is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) , if $B \subset A$.

PROOF. – (1) follows from Theorem 2.12 and [27, Theorem 3.2] (strong sufficiency). (2): Theorem 2.12. \square

The following corollary is an improvement of [27, Corollary 3.1].

COROLLARY 2.16. – *Let A and X_0 be a -open subsets of a space (X, τ) such that $A \subset X_0$. Then, A is an \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X_0, τ_{X_0}) if and only if A is an \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X, τ) .*

PROOF. – We use [33, Lemma 2], [27, Theorem 3.1], and [27, Theorem 3.2]. \square

The next corollary is an immediate consequence of [27, Theorem 3.2].

COROLLARY 2.17. – *Let $A \subset X_0 \subset X_1 \subset X$ and X_0, X_1 be a -open subsets of (X, τ) . Then, A is an \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X_0, τ_{X_0}) if and only if A is an \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X_1, τ_{X_1}) .*

Using [33, Lemma 2] and Corollary 2.16 we infer what follows.

COROLLARY 2.18. – *Let $A \subset X_0 \subset X_1 \subset X$ and A, X_0, X_1 be a -open subsets of (X, τ) . Then, A is \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X_0, τ_{X_0}) if and only if A is \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X_1, τ_{X_1}) .*

THEOREM 2.19. – *Let A be an \mathcal{S} -closed a -open subspace of (X, τ) . Then, $\text{scl}_X(A)$ is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to $(\text{cl}_X(A), \tau_{\text{cl}_X(A)})$.*

PROOF. – Let $\{V_a : a \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \text{SO}(\text{cl}_X(A), \tau_{\text{cl}_X(A)})$ be a cover of $\text{scl}_X(A)$. Obviously, $\{V_a : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a cover of A . Since $A \in \tau^a$, $\text{cl}_X(A) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$. Hence $V_a \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ [24, Theorem 1]. By [27, Theorem 3.1] the set A is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) . Thus, there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $A \subset \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} \text{cl}_X(V_a)$. This inclusion implies that $\text{scl}_X(A) \subset \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} \text{cl}_X(V_a)$. So, we obtain $\text{scl}_X(A) \subset \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} (\text{cl}_X(V_a) \cap \text{cl}_X(A)) = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} \text{cl}_{\text{cl}_X(A)}(V_a)$ and the proof is complete. \square

REMARK 2.20. – Let an $A \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ be such that $\text{cl}_X(A) \in \tau^a$. The set $\text{scl}_X(A)$ is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to $(\text{cl}_X(A), \tau_{\text{cl}_X(A)})$ if and only if A is an \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X, τ) .

PROOF. – It is enough to show that A is closed in (X, τ) . Namely, we have

$$\text{cl}_X(A) \subset \text{int}_X(\text{cl}_X(\text{int}_X(\text{cl}_X(A)))) \subset \text{cl}_X(A).$$

So, $\text{cl}_X(A) = \text{int}_X(\text{cl}_X(A))$ and by hypothesis $\text{cl}_X(A) = A$. \square

COROLLARY 2.21. – *Let an $A \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ be such that $\text{cl}_X(A) \in \tau^a$. Then, A is an \mathcal{S} -closed subspace of (X, τ) if and only if $\text{scl}_X(A)$ is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to $(\text{cl}_X(A), \tau_{\text{cl}_X(A)})$.*

Recall that a topological space (X, τ) is said to be *semi-connected* [32], if X cannot be written as a union of two nonempty disjoint semi-open sets in (X, τ) . In the opposite case a space is called *semi-disconnected*.

THEOREM 2.22. – *Let $A \neq \emptyset$ be \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) and $\text{cl}(A) \subsetneq X_0 \subset X$. If there exists a subfamily $\{V_a : a \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ such that **(a₁)** $A \supset \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} V_a$ and **(a₂)** $A \subset \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \text{cl}(V_a)$, then (X_0, τ_{X_0}) is semi-disconnected.*

PROOF. – We have $\text{cl}(V_a) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Since A is an \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) , thus by **(a₂)** there exists a finite subset $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} \text{cl}(V_a)$. Hence $\text{cl}(A) \subset \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} \text{cl}(V_a)$. On the other hand, by **(a₁)** we have $\text{cl}(A) \supset \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \text{cl}(V_a) \supset \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} \text{cl}(V_a)$. Thus, $\text{cl}(A) = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} \text{cl}(V_a)$ and hence

$$(1) \quad \text{cl}_{X_0}(A) = \bigcup_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1} \text{cl}_{X_0}(V_a).$$

By **(a₁)**, $V_a \subset X_0$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, thus by [19, Theorem 6] every set $V_a \in \text{SO}(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$. So, (1) implies that $\text{cl}_{X_0}(A) \in \text{SO}(X_0, \tau_{X_0})$ [19, Theorem 2]. To finish the proof it is enough to observe that $\emptyset \neq X_0 \setminus \text{cl}_{X_0}(A) \in \tau_{X_0}$. □

It is known that the family τ^a induced by τ forms a topology on X [23], which is different than τ , in general. Recall that for any $S \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ we have $a\text{-cl}(S) = \text{cl}(S)$ [18, Proposition 2.2].

THEOREM 2.23. – *Let (X, τ) be a space, $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$, $B \in \tau^a$, and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. If the set $A \cup B$ is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) , then the set B is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) .*

PROOF. – Let $\mathcal{F} = \{U_a : a \in \nabla\} \subset \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ be a cover of B . Then, the family $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A\}$ covers $A \cup B$. By hypothesis there exists a finite subfamily $\mathcal{F}' = \{U_{a_i} : i = 1, \dots, n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that

$$A \cup B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{a_i}) \cup \text{cl}(A).$$

So, we obtain

$$B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{a_i}) \cup a\text{-cl}(A \cap B) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{a_i}).$$

This shows that B is \mathcal{S} -closed relative to (X, τ) . □

In [15] the author has proved that a space (X, τ) is semi-disconnected if and only if there exist nonempty sets $U_1 \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$, $U_2 \in \tau^a$ such that $X = U_1 \cup U_2$ and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. Directly from this result and Theorem 2.23 one obtains the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.24. – Let (X, τ) be a semi-disconnected and S -closed space. Then there exists a nonempty a -open proper subset of X , S -closed relative to (X, τ) .

REMARK 2.25. – Let (X, τ) be S -closed and A be clopen. Then $X \setminus A$ is S -closed relative to (X, τ) (hence S -closed subspace of (X, τ) [27, Theorem 3.1]).

PROOF. – Obvious since $X \setminus A$ is clopen in (X, τ) . □

THEOREM 2.26. – Let a subset A of a space (X, τ) be clopen and be an S -closed subspace of (X, τ) . Then (X, τ) is S -closed if and only if $X \setminus A$ is an S -closed subspace of (X, τ) .

PROOF. – It follows from Remark 2.25, [27, Theorem 3.1], and [27, Theorem 3.6]. □

In [5] Cameron introduced the concept of I -compactness of a space. It was established [5, Corollary 3] that I -compact spaces are precisely the S -closed spaces which are e.d. Recall that a subset S of a space (X, τ) is I -compact relative to (X, τ) if every cover of S with semi-open sets has a finite subfamily interiors of closures of whose members cover S [37]. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is N -closed if every cover with regular open sets has a finite subcover [6]. A space is said to be *weakly Hausdorff* if for each point $x \in X$, $\{x\}$ is the intersection of all regular closed sets containing x [38].

THEOREM 2.27. – Let (X, τ) be weakly Hausdorff. If $A \in \text{PO}(X, \tau)$ is I -closed relative to (X, τ) and $X \setminus A$ is N -closed, then there exists a finite partition of X by regular open subsets of (X, τ) .

PROOF. – This is an immediate consequence of [37, Lemma 4.13] and [37, Theorem 4.16] (we use [11, Lemma 2.2(4)] and the well known fact that the intersection of two regular open sets is regular open too [12, p. 92, 22g]). □

3. – Mappings and S -closedness.

DEFINITION 3.1. – [17]. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **almost continuous** (in the sense of Husain), if for each $x \in X$ and each neighbourhood V of $f(x)$, $\text{cl}(f^{-1}(V))$ is a neighbourhood of x .

Mashhour et al. observed [20] that almost continuity in the sense of Husain coincides with *precontinuity* (i.e., $f^{-1}(V) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(f^{-1}(V)))$ for each $V \in \sigma$).

DEFINITION 3.2. – [19]. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is **semi-continuous** if $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ for every set $V \in \sigma$.

A. Neubrunnová showed [22] that precontinuity and semi-continuity are independent of each other.

DEFINITION 3.3. – [29]. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is **strongly semi-continuous** (Mashhour et al. [21] call these mappings **α -continuous**) if $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau^\alpha$ for each $V \in \sigma$.

DEFINITION 3.4. – [8]. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is **irresolute** if $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ for each $V \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$.

Each irresolute mapping is semi-continuous ($\tau \subset \text{SO}(X, \tau)$). Each α -continuous mapping is semi-continuous and precontinuous ($\tau \subset \text{SO}(X, \tau) \cap \text{PO}(X, \tau) = \tau^\alpha$ [30, Lemma 3.1]).

Janković showed the following.

THEOREM 3.5. – [18, Corollary 4.14]. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a precontinuous and irresolute mapping. If G is a subset S -closed relative to (X, τ) , then $f(G)$ is S -closed relative to (Y, σ) .

Without difficulties it may be observed that this theorem can be obtained with the use of [18, Proposition 3.1(c)].

Notions of precontinuity and irresoluteness are independent of each other as the following examples show.

EXAMPLE 3.6. – We apply [30, Example 3.11]. Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$, and let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be the identity mapping. Then f is irresolute and it is not precontinuous because $f^{-1}(\{b, c\}) \notin \text{PO}(X, \tau)$.

EXAMPLE 3.7. – (a). [30, Theorem 3.12] shows that there exists an α -continuous mapping which is not irresolute. We shall give an example of such a mapping. (b). Let $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$, and let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be defined as follows: $f(a) = f(b) = a$, $f(c) = c$. Then f is continuous but it is not irresolute since $f^{-1}(\{b, c\}) = \{c\} \notin \text{SO}(X, \tau)$.

The above examples show that α -continuity and irresoluteness are independent of each other, as it was observed in [30]. We recall now definitions of some weak forms of openness of mappings.

DEFINITION 3.8. – [36]. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **almost open in the sense of Singal** (briefly **a.o.S.**), if $f(U) \in \sigma$ for each $U \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$.

DEFINITION 3.9. – [41]. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **almost open in the sense of Wilansky** (briefly **a.o.W.**), if $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(V)) \subset \text{cl}(f^{-1}(V))$ for each $V \in \sigma$.

Rose has proved [35, Theorem 11], that a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a.o.W. if and only if $f(U) \in \text{PO}(Y, \sigma)$ for each subset $U \in \tau$.

DEFINITION 3.10. – [3]. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is **semi-open** if $f(U) \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$ for each $U \in \tau$.

Notions of a.o.S., a.o.W., and of semi-openness (as given above), are independent of each other (see respective examples in [28]).

DEFINITION 3.11. – [34]. A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is **weakly open** if $f(U) \subset \text{int}(f(\text{cl}(U)))$ for each set $U \in \tau$.

Each a.o.S. mapping is weakly open [28, Lemma 1.4], but the converse is not true, in general [28, Example 1.5]. Notions of weak openness and a.o.W. are independent of each other (respective examples in [28]).

LEMMA 3.12. – [25, Theorem 1]. *Every a.o.W. and semi-continuous mapping is irresolute.*

Combining Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.12 we obtain the following generalization of [26, Theorem 2.1].

THEOREM 3.13. – *If a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a -continuous and a.o.W., and if a G is S -closed relative to (X, τ) , then $f(G)$ is S -closed relative to (Y, σ) .*

REMARK 3.14. – Notions of a.o.W. and a -continuity are independent of each other. The mapping f from [28, Example 1.6] is a.o.W., while it is not a -continuous. The mapping f from Example 3.7(b) is a -continuous and it is not a.o.W., since $f^{-1}(\text{cl}(\{b\})) \not\subset \text{cl}(f^{-1}(\{b\})) = \emptyset$.

LEMMA 3.15. – [28, Theorem 1.12]. *Every a.o.S. and semi-continuous mapping is irresolute.*

Combining Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.15 we get the following.

THEOREM 3.16. – *If a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a -continuous and a.o.S., and if a G is S -closed relative to (X, τ) , then $f(G)$ is S -closed relative to (Y, σ) .*

REMARK 3.17. – Notions of a.o.S. and a -continuity are independent of each other. [28, Example 1.7] shows that there exists an a.o.S. mapping which is not a -continuous. In Example 3.7(b) the mapping f is not a.o.S. because $f(X)$ is not open in the range.

LEMMA 3.18. – [28, Theorem 1.14]. *If a space (Y, σ) is e.d. and a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is semi-open and semi-continuous, then f is irresolute.*

Recall that a semi-open semi-continuous (hence a -continuous) mapping, must not be irresolute if the range is not e.d. [31, Example 19].

Applying Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.18 we obtain what follows.

THEOREM 3.19. – *Let a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a -continuous and semi-open. If (Y, σ) is e.d. and $G \subset X$ is S -closed relative to (X, τ) , then $f(G)$ is S -closed relative to (Y, σ) .*

REMARK 3.20. – Semi-openness and a -continuity of an f are independent notions, even if the range of f is e.d. (a). [28, Example 1.8] shows that the f (from this example) is a -continuous and not semi-open. (b). [28, Example 1.9] shows that a mapping may be semi-open and not a -continuous, but the range in this example is not e.d. (c). Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$, $Y = \{a, b\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$. The mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ defined as follows: $f(a) = f(b) = a, f(c) = b$, is semi-open and not a -continuous.

DEFINITION 3.21. – [16]. *A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **somewhat continuous** if for each set $V \in \sigma$ with $f^{-1}(V) \neq \emptyset$, there exists a set $U \in \tau$ such that $\emptyset \neq U \subset f^{-1}(V)$.*

Each semi-continuous mapping is somewhat continuous [16] (semi-continuity and quasi-continuity are equivalent [22]), but the converse is not true in general [16, Example 1].

LEMMA 3.22. – [28, Theorem 1.11]. *If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a weakly open somewhat continuous injection, then it is irresolute.*

Using once again Theorem 3.5 and Lemma 3.22 we obtain the following.

THEOREM 3.23. – *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an a -continuous weakly open injection. If G is S -closed relative to (X, τ) , then $f(G)$ is S -closed relative to (Y, σ) .*

REMARK 3.24. – Weak openness and a -continuity are independent notions. (a). The mapping f from [28, Example 1.5] is weakly open, but it is not a -continuous

(in fact, it is not semi-continuous). **(b).** Let $X = \{a, b\} = Y$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$, and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{a\}\}$. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be the identity mapping. Then f is continuous and it is not weakly open, because $f(\{b\}) \not\subset \text{int}(f(\text{cl}(\{b\}))) = \emptyset$.

The next theorem is an immediate consequence of Theorems 3.13, 3.16, 3.19, 3.23 (for the respective parts).

THEOREM 3.25. – *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a mapping.*

(1) *If f is a -continuous and a.o.W., and if (X, τ) is S -closed, then $f(X)$ is S -closed relative to (Y, σ) .*

(2) *If f is a -continuous and a.o.S., and if (X, τ) is S -closed, then $f(X)$ is S -closed relative to (Y, σ) .*

(3) *If f is a -continuous and semi-open, (Y, σ) is e.d., and if (X, τ) is S -closed, then $f(X)$ is S -closed relative to (Y, σ) .*

(4) *If f is an a -continuous weakly open injection, and if (X, τ) is S -closed, then $f(X)$ is S -closed relative to (Y, σ) .*

Using Theorem 3.25 (3) one trivially obtains the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.26. – *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a surjection and (Y, σ) be e.d. If f is a -continuous, semi-open and if (X, τ) is S -closed, then (Y, σ) is I -compact.*

It is interesting to compare this corollary with [37, Theorem 5.5].

DEFINITION 3.27. – *A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be **contra-semi-open** if $f(U) \in \text{SC}(Y, \sigma)$ for every $U \in \tau$.*

LEMMA 3.28. – *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a.o.W. and contra-semiopen. Then $f(U) \in \text{RO}(Y, \sigma)$ for each $U \in \tau$.*

PROOF. – By [35, Theorem 11] and by Definition 3.27 we have

$$f(U) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(U))) \subset f(U). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 3.29. – *Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a -continuous, a.o.W., and contra-semiopen. If (X, τ) is an S -closed space and $G \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$, then $f(G)$ is an S -closed subspace of (Y, σ) .*

PROOF. – This follows from Lemma 3.28, Theorem 3.13, [27, Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.1]. □

Recall that a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is semi-continuous if and only if $f(\text{scl}(A)) \subset \text{cl}(f(A))$ for every subset $A \subset X$ [7, Theorem 1.6].

LEMMA 3.30. – Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a.o.S., contra-semiopen, and semi-continuous. Then $f(U) \in \text{RO}(Y, \sigma)$ for each $U \in \tau$.

PROOF. – By [7, Theorem 1.16] and [18, Proposition 2.7(a)] we have $f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(U)) \subset \text{cl}(f(U))$. But f is contra-semiopen, therefore applying [34, Theorem 4] we obtain what follows

$$f(U) \subset \text{int}(f(\text{int}(\text{cl}(U)))) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(U))) \subset f(U).$$

This shows that $f(U) \in \text{RO}(Y, \sigma)$ for any $U \in \tau$. \square

THEOREM 3.31. – Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a-continuous, a.o.S., and contra-semiopen. If (X, τ) is an S -closed space and $G \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$, then $f(G)$ is an S -closed subspace of (Y, σ) .

PROOF. – We apply Lemma 3.30, Theorem 3.16, [27, Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.1]. \square

LEMMA 3.32. – Let a space (Y, σ) be e.d. and a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be semi-open and contra-semiopen. Then $f(U) \in \text{RO}(Y, \sigma)$ for each $U \in \tau$.

PROOF. – For any $U \in \tau$ we have what follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(U))) &\subset f(U) \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(f(U))) \\ &= \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(f(U)))) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(U))). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

THEOREM 3.33. – Let a space (Y, σ) be e.d. and a mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a-continuous, semi-open, and contra-semiopen. If (X, τ) is an S -closed space and $G \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$, then $f(G)$ is an S -closed subspace of (Y, σ) .

PROOF. – This follows from Lemma 3.32, Theorem 3.19, [27, Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.1]. \square

LEMMA 3.34. – Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be weakly open, contra-semiopen, and precontinuous. Then $f(U) \in \text{RO}(Y, \sigma)$ for each $U \in \tau$.

PROOF. – By hypothesis and by [18, Proposition 3.1 (c)] we have

$$f(U) \subset \text{int}(f(\text{cl}(U))) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(f(U))) \subset f(U). \quad \square$$

THEOREM 3.35. – Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an a-continuous, weakly open and contra-semiopen injection. If (X, τ) is an S -closed space and $G \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$, then $f(G)$ is an S -closed subspace of (Y, σ) . \square

REMARK 3.36. – It is easy to see that the statement “ (X, τ) is an S -closed space and $G \in \text{RO}(X, \tau)$ ” in conclusions of Theorems 3.29, 3.31, 3.33, and 3.35 may be

replaced by “ G is regular open S -closed subspace of (X, τ) ”. Thus, these theorems give conditions under which a mapping preserves regular open S -closed subspaces.

By Remark 3.36, respective theorems mentioned in this remark, and by [27, Theorem 4.1(5)], we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 3.37. – *Let (X, τ) be a locally S -closed space and $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a mapping.*

(1) *If f is an α -continuous, a.o.W. (or a.o.S.), and contra-semiopen surjection, then (Y, σ) is locally S -closed.*

(2) *If (Y, σ) is e.d. and f is an α -continuous, semi-open, and contra-semi-open surjection, then (Y, σ) is locally S -closed.*

(3) *If f is an α -continuous, weakly open, and contra-semiopen bijection, then (Y, σ) is locally S -closed.*

The reader is advised to compare Theorem 3.37 with [27, Theorem 4.4].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. E. ABD EL-MONSEF - S. N. EL-DEEB - R. A. MAHMOUD, *β -open sets and β -continuous mappings*, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., **12** (1983), 77-90.
- [2] D. ANDRIJEVIĆ, *Semi-preopen sets*, Mat. Vesnik, **38** (1986), 24-32.
- [3] N. BISWAS, *On some mappings in topological spaces*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., **61** (1969), 127-135.
- [4] D. E. CAMERON, *Properties of S -closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1978), 581-586.
- [5] D. E. CAMERON, *Some maximal topologies which are QHC*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **75** (1979), 149-156.
- [6] D. A. CARNAHAN, *Locally nearly compact spaces*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., **6** (4) (1972), 146-153;
- [7] C. G. CROSSLEY - S. K. HILDEBRAND, *Semi-closed sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Texas J. Sci., **22** (1971), 123-126.
- [8] C. G. CROSSLEY - S. K. HILDEBRAND, *Semi-topological properties*, Fundamenta Mathematicae, **74** (1972), 233-254.
- [9] G. DI MAIO, T. NOIRI, *On s -closed spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **18** (3) (1987), 226-233.
- [10] K. DLASKA - N. ERGUN - M. GANSTER, *On the topology generated by semi-regular sets*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **25** (11) (1994), 1163-1170.
- [11] J. DONTCHEV - T. NOIRI, *Contra-semicontinuous functions*, Math. Pannon., **10** (2) (1999), 159-168.
- [12] J. DUGUNDJI, *Topology*, Allyn&Bacon, Inc., Boston 1966.
- [13] Z. DUSZYŃSKI, *On pre-semi-open mappings*, submitted.
- [14] Z. DUSZYŃSKI, *On some classes of subsets in extremally disconnected spaces*, to appear in Far East J. Mat. Sci. (2007).
- [15] Z. DUSZYŃSKI, *On some concepts of weak connectedness of topological spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., **110** (1-2) (2006), 81-90.

- [16] K. R. GENTRY, H. B. HOYLE III, *Somewhat continuous functions*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, **21(96)** (1971), 5-12.
- [17] T. HUSAIN, *Almost continuous mappings*, Prace Mat., **10** (1966), 1-7.
- [18] D. S. JANKOVIĆ, *A note on mappings of extremally disconnected spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., **46** (1-2) (1985), 83-92.
- [19] N. LEVINE, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70** (1963), 36-41.
- [20] A. S. MASHHOUR - M. E. ABD EL-MONSEF - S. N. EL-DEEB, *On pre-continuous and weak pre-continuous mappings*, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, **53** (1982), 47-53.
- [21] A. S. MASHHOUR - I. A. HASANEIN - S. N. EL-DEEB, *α -continuous and α -open mappings*, Acta Math. Hungar., **41** (1983), 213-218.
- [22] A. NEUBRUNNOVÁ, *On certain generalizations of the notion of continuity*, Mat. Časopis, **23** (4) (1973), 374-380.
- [23] O. NJÅSTAD, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific J. Math., **15** (1965), 961-970.
- [24] T. NOIRI, *On semi-continuous mappings*, Lincei-Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat., **54** (1973), 210-214.
- [25] T. NOIRI, *On semi- T_2 spaces*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, **90** (1976), 215-220.
- [26] T. NOIRI, *On S -closed spaces*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, **91** (1977), 189-194.
- [27] T. NOIRI, *On S -closed subspaces*, Lincei-Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat., **64** (1978), 157-162.
- [28] T. NOIRI, *Semi-continuity and weak-continuity*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, **31(106)** (1981), 314-321.
- [29] T. NOIRI, *A function which preserves connected spaces*, Čas. pěst. mat., **107** (1982), 393-396.
- [30] T. NOIRI, *On α -continuous functions*, Čas. pěst. mat., **109** (1984), 118-126.
- [31] Z. PIOTROWSKI, *On semi-homeomorphisms*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., (5) **16-A** (1979), 501-509.
- [32] V. PIPITONE - G. RUSSO, *Spazi semiconnessi a spazi semiaperti*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, **24** (2) (1975), 273-285.
- [33] I. L. REILLY - M. K. VAMANAMURTHY, *Connectedness and strong semi-continuity*, Čas. pěst. mat., **109** (1984), 261-265.
- [34] D. A. ROSE, *Weak openness and almost openness*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci., **7**(1) (1984), 35-40.
- [35] D. A. ROSE, *Weak continuity and almost continuity*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci., **7**(2) (1984), 311-318.
- [36] M. K. SINGAL - ASHA RANI SINGAL, *Almost-continuous mappings*, Yokohama Math. J., **16** (1968), 63-73.
- [37] D. SIVARAJ, *I -compact subsets*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., (6) **3-B** (1984), 87-98.
- [38] T. SOUNDARARAJAN, *Weakly Hausdorff spaces and cardinality of topological spaces*, General Topology and its application to Modern Analysis and Algebra III, Proc. Conf. Kanpur 1968, Academia, Prague, (1977), 301-306.
- [39] S. F. TADROS, A. B. KHALAF, *On regular semi-open sets and s^* -closed spaces*, Tamkang J. Math., **23**(4) (1992), 337-348.
- [40] T. THOMPSON, *S -closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **60** (1976), 335-338.
- [41] A. WILANSKY, *Topics in functional analysis*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **45**, Springer-Verlag 1967.

Institute of Mathematics, Casimirus the Great University,
Pl. Weysenhoffa 11, 85-072 Bydgoszcz, Poland

