
BOLLETTINO UNIONE MATEMATICA ITALIANA

ALESSIO RUSSO

On Numbers which are Orders of Nilpotent Groups Only

Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana, Serie 9, Vol. 5 (2012), n.1,
p. 121–124.

Unione Matematica Italiana

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=BUMI_2012_9_5_1_121_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

On Numbers which are Orders of Nilpotent Groups Only

ALESSIO RUSSO

Abstract. – In [T. W. Müller, *An arithmetic theorem related to groups of bounded nilpotency class*, *J. Algebra* 300 (2006), 10-15] T. W. Müller characterizes the positive integers n satisfying the property that every group of order n is nilpotent of class bounded by a fixed positive integer c . In this article a different proof of the above result will be given.

1. – Introduction

Let \mathcal{X} be a class of groups. A positive integer n is said to be an \mathcal{X} -number if every finite group of order n is an \mathcal{X} -group. Many authors have investigated the \mathcal{X} -numbers for several choices of the class \mathcal{X} . The case in which \mathcal{X} is the class of cyclic groups was attributed to Burnside and appeared in many articles (see, for instance, [1], [2], [4]). A necessary and sufficient condition that there is only one group of order n is that $(n, \varphi(n)) = 1$, where $\varphi(n)$ is the totient function of Euler. Clearly, this condition is equivalent to saying that n is square-free, and no two prime factors p and q satisfy the congruence $p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$.

In what follows it is useful to consider the function $\psi(n)$ defined by extending multiplicatively the recursion for prime powers $\psi(1) = 1$, $\psi(p^s) = (p^s - 1)\psi(p^{s-1})$. Clearly, $\psi(n) = \varphi(n)$ if and only if n is square-free. Moreover, if $n = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_t^{n_t}$, p_i distinct primes, is a positive integer, then the condition $(n, \psi(n)) = 1$ is equivalent to saying that p_j does not divide $p_i^l - 1$, for all integers i, j and l with $1 \leq l \leq n_i$. Therefore n is a cyclic number if and only if $(n, \psi(n)) = 1$ and n is square-free.

The abelian case was considered by Dickson [1] and successively was rediscovered by Rédei [7, Satz 1] as an application of the theory of minimal non-abelian groups (a group G is said to be *minimal non- \mathcal{X}* , where \mathcal{X} is a class of groups, if G does not belong to \mathcal{X} , but all its proper subgroups are \mathcal{X} -groups). They proved that n is an abelian number if and only if $(n, \psi(n)) = 1$ and n is cube-free. The nilpotent numbers were firstly characterized in 1959 by Pazderski [6, Satz 1] as the numbers n such that $(n, \psi(n)) = 1$. Recently, Müller [5] has generalized latest results stating the following arithmetic condition for a number to be order only of nilpotent groups of bounded nilpotency class.

THEOREM 1. – *Fix $c \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, and let n be a positive integer. Then every group of order n is nilpotent with class at most c (briefly: n is a c -nilpotent number) if and only if n is $(c + 2)$ -power free and $(n, \psi(n)) = 1$.*

The proof of Müller involves P. Hall's bound on the automorphism group of a finite p -group (see, for instance, [9, 5.3.3]) and the Rédei's structure theorems for minimal non-abelian groups [7] and for minimal non-nilpotent groups [8]. The aim of this short article is to produce a different proof of the above theorem. In particular, concerning the structure of minimal non-nilpotent groups, only the non-simplicity of such groups will be used.

Most of our notation is standard and can be found in [9].

2. – Proof of the Theorem

Before we can prove the result we must establish a simple lemma which is well known to finite group theorists. We report the proof for convenience of the reader.

LEMMA 2. – *Let G be a finite group whose maximal subgroups are self-normalizing. Then there exist distinct maximal subgroups whose intersection is non-trivial.*

PROOF. – Suppose for a contradiction that any two maximal subgroups have trivial intersection. Let (M_1, M_2) be a pair of maximal subgroups of G such that M_2 is not a conjugate of M_1 . By hypothesis the conjugates of M_i ($i = 1, 2$) contain exactly $|G| - |G|/|M_i|$ elements $\neq 1$. It follows that

$$|G| - |G|/|M_1| + |G| - |G|/|M_2| < |G|,$$

and hence the contradiction $|M_1||M_2| < |M_1| + |M_2|$. □

Now we are in a position to prove the Theorem 1.

We divide the proof in two steps.

(1) *A positive integer $n = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_t^{n_t}$, p_i distinct primes, is a nilpotent number if and only if $(n, \psi(n)) = 1$.*

First suppose that n is a nilpotent number. For a contradiction let $p_i^l \equiv 1 \pmod{p_j}$, for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ and $l \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. Denote by N an elementary abelian p_i -group of order p_i^l . It is well known that $\text{Aut}N \cong GL(l, p_i)$, and hence $|\text{Aut}N| = (p_i^l - 1)(p_i^l - p_i) \cdots (p_i^l - p_i^{l-1})$. It follows that there exists an automorphism a of N of order p_j , so that the non-trivial semi-direct product

$H = \langle a \rangle \times N$ is not nilpotent (see, for example, [9, 5.2.4]). Let now K be a group of order $n/p_i^l p_j$. Then the direct product $G = H \times K$ is a non-nilpotent group of order n . This contradiction shows that $(n, \psi(n)) = 1$.

Conversely, suppose that $(n, \psi(n)) = 1$ and for a contradiction, let n be the least positive integer satisfying the latter condition which is not a nilpotent number. It follows that there exists a group G which is minimal non-nilpotent. Suppose that every maximal subgroup of G is self-normalizing. By Lemma 2 there exist distinct maximal subgroups M_1 and M_2 such that $X = M_1 \cap M_2$ is not trivial. Choose M_1 and M_2 so that X has largest possible order. If $N_G(X) \neq G$, we may consider a maximal subgroup M of G such that $N_G(X) \leq M$ and $M \neq M_1$. Clearly, $X < N_G(X) \cap M_1$ since M_1 is nilpotent. It follows the contradiction $X < M \cap M_1$. Thus G is not simple, and let N_1 be a proper non-trivial normal subgroup of G . Then $Z(N_1)$ contains a minimal G -invariant subgroup N which is an elementary abelian p_i -group, for some $p_i \in \{p_1, \dots, p_t\}$. Moreover, as the proper factors of G are nilpotent too, then N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G . Let $\Phi(G)$ be the Frattini subgroup of G . By a result of Wielandt (see, for instance, [9, 5.2.16]) $G/\Phi(G)$ is not nilpotent. Thus $\Phi(G)$ is trivial, and hence there exists a maximal subgroup M of G such that $G = M \times N$. Clearly, $C_M(N) = \{1\}$ by the uniqueness of N . It follows that M is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aut}N$, so that p_j divides $p_i^l - 1$ for some $j \neq i$ and $l \in \{1, \dots, n_i\}$. This last contradiction completes the proof of (1).

(2) Let $n = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_t^{n_t}$, p_i distinct primes, be a nilpotent number. Then n is c -nilpotent if and only if n is $(c+2)$ -power free.

Let n be a c -nilpotent number, and assume for a contradiction that there exists a prime p such that p^{c+2} divides n . Let P be a group of order p^{c+2} and class $c+1$ (such a group is said to be of *maximal class* – see, for instance, [3, Kapitel III] for a general reference on this topic). If Q is an abelian group, then the direct product $G = P \times Q$ is nilpotent with class $c+1$, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that n is $(c+2)$ -power free. Let G be a finite group of order n . Since G is nilpotent, then $G = G_{p_1} \times \cdots \times G_{p_t}$, where each G_{p_i} is the unique p_i -Sylow subgroup of G . As the nilpotency class of G_{p_i} is at most $n_i - 1$ (see, for instance, [9, 5.3.1]), then by hypothesis the nilpotency class of G_{p_i} is at most c . It follows that G is nilpotent with class at most c as required. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. E. DICKSON, *Definitions of a group and a field by independent postulates*, Trans. Math. Soc., **6** (1905), 198-204.
- [2] J. A. GALLIAN - D. MOULTON, *When Z_n is the only group of order n ?*, Elem. Math., **48** (1993), 117-119.
- [3] B. HUPPERT, *Endliche Gruppen I*, 2nd edition, Springer, Berlin (1967).

- [4] D. JUNGNIKEL, *On the uniqueness of the cyclic group of order n* , Amer. Math. Monthly., **99** (1992), 545-547.
- [5] T. W. MÜLLER, *An arithmetic theorem related to groups of bounded nilpotency class*, J. Algebra, **300** (2006), 10-15.
- [6] G. PAZDERSKI, *Die Ordnungen, zu denen nur Gruppen mit gegebener Eigenschaft gehören*, Arch. Math., **10** (1959), 331-343.
- [7] L. RÉDEI, *Das schiefe Produkt in der Gruppentheorie*, Comm. Math. Helv., **20** (1947), 225-264.
- [8] L. RÉDEI, *Die endlichen einstufig nicht nilpotenten Gruppen*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **4** (1956), 303-324.
- [9] D. J. S. ROBINSON, *A course in the theory of groups*, 2nd edition, Springer, New York (1996).

Dipartimento di Matematica, Seconda Università di Napoli,
Via Vivaldi 43, I - 81100 Caserta (Italy).
E-mail: alessio.russo@unina2.it

Received May 20, 2011 and in revised form August 8, 2011