

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

KURT MAHLER

**On formal power series as integrals of algebraic  
differential equations**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,  
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 50 (1971), n.2, p. 76–89.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1971\\_8\\_50\\_2\\_76\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1971_8_50_2_76_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

**Matematica.** — *On formal power series as integrals of algebraic differential equations.* Nota di KURT MAHLER, presentata (\*) dal Socio B. SEGRE.

*In memory of my dear friend Jan Popken.*

RIASSUNTO. — Si stabilisce l'esistenza di due costanti reali positive  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  siffatte che, per una qualsiasi serie formale di potenze  $\sum_0^\infty f_h z^h$  a coefficienti  $f_h$  complessi che sia soluzione di una qualche equazione differenziale algebrica, debba risultare  $|f_h| \leq \gamma_1 (h!)^{\gamma_2}$  per  $h = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

The following result will be proved. Let

$$f = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} f_h z^h$$

be a formal power series with complex coefficients which satisfies any algebraic differential equation. Then two positive constants  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  exist such that

$$|f_h| \leq \gamma_1 (h!)^{\gamma_2} \quad \text{for all } h.$$

This estimate is the best possible. For if  $n$  is any positive integer, the series

$$\sum_{h=0}^{\infty} (h!)^n z^h$$

is known to satisfy a linear differential equation with coefficients that are polynomials in  $z$ .

1. Denote by  $K$  an arbitrary subfield of the complex number field  $C$ , and by  $K^*$  the ring of all formal power series

$$f = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} f_h z^h, \quad g = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} g_h z^h, \quad \text{etc.},$$

with coefficients  $f_h, g_h, \dots$  in  $K$ . Here sum and product are as usual defined by

$$f + g = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} (f_h + g_h) z^h, \quad fg = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k=0}^h f_k g_{h-k} \right) z^h,$$

and the elements  $a$  of  $K$  are identified with the special series

$$a = a + \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} 0 \cdot z^h$$

and play the role of constants.

(\*) Nella seduta del 20 febbraio 1971.

Differentiation in  $K^*$  is defined formally by

$$\frac{d^k f}{dz^k} = f^{(k)} = \sum_{h=k}^{\infty} h(h-1)\cdots(h-k+1)f_h z^{h-k},$$

a notation used also for  $k = 0$  when

$$f^{(0)} = f.$$

In particular,

$$\frac{df}{dz} = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f = a \in K.$$

The usual rules for the derivatives of sum, difference, and product hold also in  $K^*$ .

An important mapping from  $K^*$  into  $K$  is defined by the formal substitution  $z = 0$ . For this substitution we use the notation

$$f(0) = f|_{z=0} = f_0.$$

More generally

$$f^{(k)}(0) = f^{(k)}|_{z=0} = k! f_k.$$

2. This paper is concerned with power series

$$f = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} f_h z^h$$

in  $K^*$  which satisfy any algebraic differential equation

$$(F) \quad F((w)) = F(z; w, w', \dots, w^{(m)}) = 0.$$

Here  $F(z; w_0, w_1, \dots, w_m) \equiv 0$  denotes a polynomial in the indeterminates  $z, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_m$  with coefficients in some extension field of  $K$ . By a well known method from linear algebra  $f$  can then be shown to satisfy also an algebraic differential equation (F) with coefficients in  $K$ ; only this case will therefore from now on be considered.

Put

$$F_{\mu}(z; w_0, w_1, \dots, w_m) = \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{\mu}} F(z; w_0, w_1, \dots, w_m) \quad (\mu = 0, 1, \dots, m),$$

and

$$F_{(\mu)}((w)) = F_{\mu}(z; w, w', \dots, w^{(m)}) \quad (\mu = 0, 1, \dots, m),$$

where  $w$  denotes an indeterminate element of  $K^*$ . There is no loss of generality in assuming that both

$$(1) \quad F_{(m)}((w)) \equiv 0$$

and

$$(2) \quad F_{(m)}((f)) \neq 0.$$

The integer  $m \geq 0$  is thus the exact order of the differential equation (F); when  $m = 0$ , (F) becomes an algebraic equation for  $f$ , a case which need not be excluded.

3. The differential operator  $F((w))$  has the explicit form

$$(3) \quad F((w)) = \sum_{(\kappa)} p_{(\kappa)}(z) w^{(\kappa_1)} \dots w^{(\kappa_N)}.$$

Here the summation

$$\sum_{(\kappa)}$$

extends over all ordered systems

$$(\kappa) = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N)$$

of integers for which

$$(4) \quad 0 \leq \kappa_1 \leq m, \dots, 0 \leq \kappa_N \leq m; \quad \kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2 \leq \dots \leq \kappa_N; \quad 0 \leq N \leq n,$$

where  $n$  is a fixed positive integer, and the  $p_{(\kappa)}(z)$  are polynomials in  $K[z]$ . The integer  $N$  may vary with the system  $(\kappa)$ , and there is just one improper system  $(\kappa)$  denoted by  $(\omega)$  for which  $N = 0$ . The term in (3) corresponding to  $(\kappa) = (\omega)$  has the form

$$p_{(\omega)}(z)$$

and thus has no factors  $w^{(j)}$ , but is a polynomial in  $z$  alone.

4. An explicit expression for the successive derivatives

$$F^{(h)}((w)) = \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^h F((w)) \quad (h = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

of  $F((w))$  can be obtained by means of the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 1: Let  $h \geq 1$  and  $N \geq 0$  be arbitrary integers, and let

$$w_0, w_1, \dots, w_N$$

be any  $N+1$  elements of  $K^*$ . Then

$$(5) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^h (w_0 w_1 \dots w_N) = h! \sum_{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N} \frac{w_0^{(\lambda_0)}}{\lambda_0!} \frac{w_1^{(\lambda_1)}}{\lambda_1!} \dots \frac{w_N^{(\lambda_N)}}{\lambda_N!},$$

where the summation extends over all ordered systems of  $N+1$  integers  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$  satisfying

$$\lambda_0 \geq 0, \lambda_1 \geq 0, \dots, \lambda_N \geq 0; \quad \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N = h.$$

*Proof.* The assertion is evident when  $h = 1$ . Assume it has already been established for some  $h \geq 1$ . We now show that then it holds also for  $h + 1$  and hence is always true. On differentiating (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{h+1} (w_0 w_1 \cdots w_N) &= h! \sum_{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N} \sum_{\nu=0}^N \frac{w_0^{(\lambda_0)}}{\lambda_0!} \cdots \frac{w_{\nu}^{(\lambda_{\nu}+1)}}{\lambda_{\nu}!} \cdots \frac{w_N^{(\lambda_N)}}{\lambda_N!} = \\ &= h! \sum_{\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_N} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^N\right) \frac{w_0^{(\mu_0)}}{\mu_0!} \frac{w_1^{(\mu_1)}}{\mu_1!} \cdots \frac{w_N^{(\mu_N)}}{\mu_N!}, \end{aligned}$$

where the new summation extends over all ordered systems of  $N+1$  integers  $\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_N$  satisfying

$$\mu_0 \geq 0, \mu_1 \geq 0, \dots, \mu_N \geq 0 \quad ; \quad \mu_1 + \mu_0 + \dots + \mu_N = h + 1.$$

Since thus

$$h! \sum_{\nu=1}^N \mu_{\nu} = (h + 1)!,$$

the assertion follows.

5. Apply Lemma 1 to all the separate terms

$$p_{(\kappa)}(z) w^{(\kappa_1)} \cdots w^{(\kappa_N)}$$

in the formula (3) for  $F((w))$ . It follows then that

$$(6) \quad F^{(h)}((w)) = h! \sum_{(\kappa)} \sum_{[\lambda]} \frac{p_{(\kappa)}^{(\lambda_0)}(z)}{\lambda_0!} \frac{w^{(\kappa_1+\lambda_1)}}{\lambda_1!} \cdots \frac{w^{(\kappa_N+\lambda_N)}}{\lambda_N!}.$$

Here the inner summation

$$\sum_{[\lambda]}$$

extends over all ordered systems  $[\lambda] = [\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N]$  of  $N+1$  integers for which

$$(7) \quad \lambda_0 \geq 0, \lambda_1 \geq 0, \dots, \lambda_N \geq 0 \quad ; \quad \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N = h,$$

and  $N$  denotes the same integer as for the system  $(\kappa)$ . There is exactly one term

$$p_{(\omega)}^{(h)}(z)$$

in the development (6) for which  $N = 0$ . This term does not involve  $w$ , and it vanishes as soon as  $h$  exceeds the degree of the polynomial  $p_{(\omega)}(z)$ .

6. From its definition,  $F^{(h)}((w))$  is a polynomial in  $z$  and  $w, w', \dots, w^{(h+m)}$ . We next show that, for sufficiently large  $h$ ,  $F^{(h)}((w))$  is linear in the derivative  $w^{(k)}$ .

Let  $j$  be any integer in the interval

$$0 \leq j \leq \left\lfloor \frac{h-1}{2} \right\rfloor,$$

and define  $k$  in terms of  $h$  by

$$k = h + m - j.$$

Further denote by

$$F^{(h,k)}((w)) \cdot w^{(k)}$$

the sum of all terms on the right-hand side of (6) which have at least one factor  $w^{(k)}$ , and denote by

$$F_{(\alpha)}^{(h,k)}((w)) \cdot w^{(k)}$$

the sum of all those contributions to  $F^{(h,k)}((w))w^{(k)}$  which are obtained from the  $h$ -th derivative

$$(8) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^h (\hat{p}_{(\alpha)}(z)w^{(\alpha_1)} \dots w^{(\alpha_N)}) = h! \sum_{[\lambda]} \frac{\hat{p}_{(\alpha)}^{(\lambda_0)}(z)}{\lambda_0!} \frac{w^{(\alpha_1 + \lambda_1)}}{\lambda_1!} \dots \frac{w^{(\alpha_N + \lambda_N)}}{\lambda_N!}$$

of the term

$$\hat{p}_{(\alpha)}(z)w^{(\alpha_1)} \dots w^{(\alpha_N)}, \quad = t_{(\alpha)} \text{ say,}$$

in the representation (3) of  $F((w))$ . It is then clear that

$$(9) \quad F^{(h,k)}((w)) = \sum_{(\alpha)} F_{(\alpha)}^{(h,k)}((w)),$$

and that further

$$F_{(\omega)}^{(h,k)}((w)) = 0.$$

Hence there are non-zero contributions only from those terms  $t_{(\alpha)}$  for which

$$(\alpha) \neq (\omega) \quad \text{and therefore} \quad 1 \leq N \leq n.$$

7. Let now  $\nu$  be any element of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , and let  $\nu'$  be any element of this set which is distinct from  $\nu$ . It is obvious that the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{h}{k - \alpha_\nu}$$

vanishes if either

$$k - \alpha_\nu < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad k - \alpha_\nu > h.$$

It suffices therefore to consider those suffixes  $\nu$  for which

$$0 \leq k - \alpha_\nu \leq h.$$

Such suffixes will be said to be *admissible*.

To every admissible suffix  $\nu$  there exist systems  $[\lambda] = [\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N]$  of  $N+1$  integers satisfying both

$$(7) \quad \lambda_0 \geq 0, \lambda_1 \geq 0, \dots, \lambda_N \geq 0; \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_N = h$$

and

$$(10) \quad \alpha_\nu + \lambda_\nu = k.$$

Hence, by the definitions of  $j$  and  $k$ ,

$$\lambda_\nu = k - \alpha_\nu = (h - j) + (m - \alpha_\nu) \geq h - j > \frac{h}{2},$$

and therefore

$$\lambda_{\nu'} < \frac{h}{2}, \quad \alpha_{\nu'} + \lambda_{\nu'} < \frac{h}{2} + m = h + m - \frac{h}{2} \leq h + m - j = k.$$

It follows that the corresponding term

$$h! \frac{p_{(\alpha)}^{(\lambda_0)}(z)}{\lambda_0!} \frac{w^{(\alpha_1 + \lambda_1)}}{\lambda_1!} \dots \frac{w^{(\alpha_N + \lambda_N)}}{\lambda_N!}, \quad = T_{(\alpha), [\lambda]} \text{ say,}$$

on the right-hand side of (8) has one and only one factor  $w^{(k)}$ . Hence the contribution from  $T_{(\alpha), [\lambda]}$  to  $F_{(\alpha)}^{(h, k)}((w))$  is equal to

$$\frac{\partial T_{(\alpha), [\lambda]}}{\partial w^{(k)}} = \frac{h!}{\lambda_\nu!} \frac{p_{(\alpha)}^{(\lambda_0)}(z)}{\lambda_0!} \prod_{\nu'} \frac{w^{(\alpha_{\nu'} + \lambda_{\nu'})}}{\lambda_{\nu'}!}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1, also

$$\left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{h-k+\alpha_\nu} \left( p_{(\alpha)}(z) \prod_{\nu'} w^{(\alpha_{\nu'})} \right) = (h-k+\alpha_\nu)! \sum_{[\lambda]}' \frac{p_{(\alpha)}^{(\lambda_0)}(z)}{\lambda_0!} \prod_{\nu'} \frac{w^{(\alpha_{\nu'} + \lambda_{\nu'})}}{\lambda_{\nu'}!}$$

where the summation  $\sum_{[\lambda]}'$  is extended only over those systems  $[\lambda]$  which have both properties (7) and (10). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{[\lambda]}' \frac{\partial T_{(\alpha), [\lambda]}}{\partial w^{(k)}} &= \binom{h}{k-\alpha_\nu} \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{h-k+\alpha_\nu} \left( p_{(\alpha)}(z) \prod_{\nu'} w^{(\alpha_{\nu'})} \right) = \\ &= \binom{h}{k-\alpha_\nu} \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{h-k+\alpha_\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{(\alpha_\nu)}} \left( p_{(\alpha)}(z) w^{(\alpha_1)} \dots w^{(\alpha_N)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

whence, on summing over  $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,

$$F_{(\alpha)}^{(h, k)}((w)) = \sum_{\nu=1}^N \binom{h}{k-\alpha_\nu} \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{h-k+\alpha_\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{(\alpha_\nu)}} \left( p_{(\alpha)}(z) w^{(\alpha_1)} \dots w^{(\alpha_N)} \right).$$

Here the terms belonging to non-admissible suffixes  $\nu$  vanish on account of the factor  $\binom{h}{k-\alpha_\nu} = 0$ .

The formula so obtained may also be written as

$$F_{(\alpha)}^{(h, k)}((w)) = \sum_{\mu=0}^m \binom{h}{k-\mu} \left(\frac{d}{dz}\right)^{h-k+\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{(\mu)}} \left( p_{(\alpha)}(z) w^{(\alpha_1)} \dots w^{(\alpha_N)} \right),$$

because

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w^{(\mu)}} (\dot{p}_{(\alpha)}(z) w^{(\alpha_1)} \dots w^{(\alpha_N)}) = \sum_{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{(\alpha_\nu)}} (\dot{p}_{(\alpha)}(z) w^{(\alpha_1)} \dots w^{(\alpha_N)})$$

where  $\nu$  in  $\sum_{\nu}$  runs over all suffixes  $1, 2, \dots, N$  which satisfy  $\alpha_\nu = \mu$ .

Finally, by (3) and (9),

$$(11) \quad F^{(h,k)}(\langle w \rangle) = \sum_{\mu=0}^m \binom{h}{k-\mu} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{h-k+\mu} F_{(\mu)}(\langle w \rangle)$$

where, as in § 2,  $F_{(\mu)}(\langle w \rangle)$  denotes the partial derivative of  $F(\langle w \rangle)$  with respect to  $w^{(\mu)}$ . This formula is due to A. Hurwitz (1889) and S. Kakeya (1915).

8. The basic identities (6) and (11) hold for all elements  $w$  of  $K^*$ . We apply them now to the integral  $f$  of (F). We so firstly obtain the equations

$$(12) \quad F^{(h)}(\langle f \rangle) = h! \sum_{(\alpha)} \sum_{[k]} \frac{\dot{p}_{(\alpha)}^{(\lambda_0)}(z)}{\lambda_0!} \frac{f^{(\alpha_1+\lambda_1)}}{\lambda_1!} \dots \frac{f^{(\alpha_N+\lambda_N)}}{\lambda_N!} = 0 \quad (h=1, 2, 3, \dots),$$

and secondly, for all  $h=1, 2, 3, \dots$  and all  $j=0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{h-1}{2} \rfloor$ , find that

$$(13) \quad F^{(h,k)}(\langle f \rangle) = \sum_{\mu=0}^m \binom{h}{k-\mu} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{h-k+\mu} F_{(\mu)}(\langle f \rangle),$$

a formula which gives the coefficients of  $f^{(k)} = f^{(h+m-j)}$  in (12).

In (12) and (13) we finally put  $z=0$ . Since

$$\dot{p}_{(\alpha)}^{(\lambda_0)}(z) \Big|_{z=0} = \dot{p}_{(\alpha)}^{(\lambda_0)}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f^{(h)} \Big|_{z=0} = h! f_h,$$

this leads to the equations

$$(14) \quad h! \sum_{(\alpha)} \sum_{[k]} \frac{\dot{p}_{(\alpha)}^{(\lambda_0)}(0)}{\lambda_0!} \frac{(\alpha_1+\lambda_1)!}{\lambda_1!} \dots \frac{(\alpha_N+\lambda_N)!}{\lambda_N!} f_{\alpha_1+\lambda_1} \dots f_{\alpha_N+\lambda_N} = 0 \quad (h=1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

Furthermore, the coefficient of  $h! f_h$  on the left hand side is given by

$$F^{(h,k)}(\langle f \rangle) \Big|_{z=0} = \sum_{\mu=0}^m \binom{h}{k-\mu} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{h-k+\mu} F_{(\mu)}(\langle f \rangle) \Big|_{z=0}.$$

Here the expressions  $F_{(\mu)}(\langle f \rangle)$  are elements of  $K^*$ , hence have the explicit form

$$F_{(\mu)}(\langle f \rangle) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} F_{\mu,h} z^h \quad (\mu=0, 1, \dots, m)$$

with certain coefficients  $F_{\mu,h}$  in  $K$ . Thus

$$\left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{h-k+\mu} F_{(\mu)}(\langle f \rangle) \Big|_{z=0} = (h-k+\mu)! F_{\mu,h-k+\mu} \quad \text{for all } h \text{ and } \mu.$$



Therefore further

$$F^{(h,k)}((f)) \Big|_{z=0} = \sum_{\mu=0}^m \binom{h}{k-\mu} (h-k+\mu)! F_{\mu, h-k+\mu},$$

whence, on replacing  $\mu$  by  $m-\mu$  and remembering that  $k = h + m - j$ ,

$$(15) \quad F^{(h,k)}((f)) \Big|_{z=0} = \sum_{\mu=0}^m \binom{h}{j-\mu} (j-\mu)! F_{m-\mu, j-\mu}.$$

All these expressions are polynomials in  $h$  with coefficients in  $K$ . We can easily prove that they do not all vanish identically. For by hypothesis,

$$(2) \quad F_{(m)}((f)) \neq 0.$$

Hence the coefficients  $F_{m,h}$  do not all vanish, and so there exists an integer

$$t \geq 0,$$

such that

$$F_{m,0} = F_{m,1} = \dots = F_{m,t-1} = 0, \quad \text{but} \quad F_{m,t} \neq 0.$$

Thus, on choosing  $j = t$  and  $k = h + m - t$ ,

$$F^{(h,k)}((f)) \Big|_{z=0} = \binom{h}{t} t! F_{m,t} + \sum_{\mu=1}^m \binom{h}{t-\mu} (t-\mu)! F_{m-\mu, t-\mu}$$

is a polynomial in  $h$  of the exact degree  $t$  and certainly does not vanish identically.

It follows that there exists a smallest integer  $s$  satisfying

$$0 \leq s \leq t$$

such that the polynomial (15) vanishes identically in  $h$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$ , but that the polynomial

$$F^{(h,k)}((f)) \Big|_{z=0} = \sum_{\mu=0}^m \binom{h}{s-\mu} (s-\mu)! F_{m-\mu, s-\mu}, \quad \text{where} \quad k = h + m - s,$$

is not identically zero. On changing over from  $h$  to  $k$ , put

$$(16) \quad a(k) = F^{(k-m+s,k)}((f)) \Big|_{z=0} = \sum_{\mu=0}^m \binom{k-m+s}{s-\mu} (s-\mu)! F_{m-\mu, s-\mu}.$$

Then  $a(k)$  is now a polynomial in  $k$  which likewise does not vanish identically.

With  $s$ ,  $k$ , and  $a(k)$  as just defined, we can now assert that for

$$h = k - m + s \geq 2s + 1$$

and hence for

$$k \geq m + s + 1,$$

the left-hand side of the equation (14) involves at most the coefficients

$$f_0, f_1, \dots, f_k$$

of  $f$ , but is free of

$$f_{k+1}, f_{k+2}, \dots, f_{k+s} = f_{h+m}.$$

Furthermore, on this left-hand side,  $k!f_k$  has the exact factor  $a(k)$ .

9. The result just proved will enable us now to find both recursive equations and inequalities for the coefficients  $f_k$  of  $f$ .

Put, firstly,

$$\alpha(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{k!}{h!} & \text{if } k \geq h, \\ 1 & \text{if } k \leq h, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \geq h, \\ \frac{h!}{k!} & \text{if } k \leq h, \end{cases}$$

and, secondly,

$$A(k) = a(k)\alpha(k),$$

so that evidently all three expressions  $\alpha(k)$ ,  $\beta(k)$ , and  $A(k)$  are polynomials in  $k$  which do not vanish identically.

Thirdly, denote by

$$\varphi_k = \varphi_k(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{k-1})$$

the double sum

$$(17) \quad \varphi_k = -\beta(k) \sum_{(\alpha)} \sum_{[\lambda]}^* \frac{\hat{P}_{(\alpha)}^{(\lambda_0)}(0)}{\lambda_0!} \frac{(\alpha_1 + \lambda_1)!}{\lambda_1!} \dots \frac{(\alpha_N + \lambda_N)!}{\lambda_N!} f_{\alpha_1 + \lambda_1} \dots f_{\alpha_N + \lambda_N},$$

where the asterisk at  $\sum_{(\alpha)} \sum_{[\lambda]}^*$  signifies that all terms having one of the factors

$$f_k, f_{k+1}, \dots, f_{k+s}$$

are to be omitted.

With this notation, we arrive at the basic recursive formula

$$(18) \quad A(k)f_k = \varphi_k(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}).$$

Here the polynomial  $A(k)$  is not identically zero, and hence, if  $k_0$  denotes any sufficiently large integer, then

$$(19) \quad A(k) \neq 0 \quad \text{if } k \geq k_0.$$

Thus, whenever  $k \geq k_0$ , then the recursive formula (17) expresses  $f_k$  as a polynomial in  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}$ . By means of this representation, we shall now establish an upper estimate for  $|f_k|$ .

10. For the moment, denote by  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$  arbitrary non-negative integers, and put

$$w_\nu = \alpha_\nu! (1 - z)^{-(\alpha_\nu+1)} \quad (\nu = 0, 1, \dots, N)$$

so that

$$w_\nu^{(\lambda_\nu)} = (\alpha_\nu + \lambda_\nu)! (1 - z)^{-(\alpha_\nu + \lambda_\nu + 1)} \quad (\nu = 0, 1, \dots, N)$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h!} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^h (w_0 w_1 \dots w_N) &= \\ &= \alpha_0! \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_N! \binom{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N + h + N}{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N + N} (1 - z)^{-(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N + h + N + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{h!} \left( \frac{d}{dz} \right)^h (w_0 w_1 \dots w_N) &= \\ &= \sum_{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N} \frac{(\alpha_0 + \lambda_0)!}{\lambda_0!} \dots \frac{(\alpha_N + \lambda_N)!}{\lambda_N!} (1 - z)^{-(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N + h + N + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

where the summation again extends over all systems  $[\lambda]$  with the properties (7). On comparing these two formulae, we obtain the identity

$$(20) \quad \sum_{[\lambda]} \frac{(\alpha_0 + \lambda_0)!}{\lambda_0!} \frac{(\alpha_1 + \lambda_1)!}{\lambda_1!} \dots \frac{(\alpha_N + \lambda_N)!}{\lambda_N!} = \binom{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N + h + N}{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N + N} \alpha_0! \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_N!.$$

Here assume that

$$\alpha_0 = 0 ; \quad 0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq m, \dots, 0 \leq \alpha_N \leq m ; \quad 1 \leq N \leq n.$$

Then

$$0 \leq \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N + N \leq (m + 1)n,$$

and so the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N + h + N}{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N + N} \leq \{h + (m + 1)n\}^{(m+1)n}.$$

The identity (19) implies therefore for all systems  $(\alpha)$  as before that

$$(21) \quad \sum_{[\lambda]} \frac{(\alpha_1 + \lambda_1)!}{\lambda_1!} \dots \frac{(\alpha_N + \lambda_N)!}{\lambda_N!} \leq m^{mn} \{h + (m + 1)n\}^{(m+1)n}.$$

11. The operator  $F((w))$  depends on only finitely many polynomials  $p_{(\alpha)}(z)$ , and these together have only finitely many coefficients

$$\frac{p_{(\alpha)}^{(\lambda_0)}(0)}{\lambda_0!}.$$

The maximum

$$c_0 = \max_{(\alpha), [\lambda]} \left| \frac{\beta_{(\alpha)}^{(\lambda_0)}(0)}{\lambda_0!} \right|$$

of the absolute values of all these coefficients is then a finite positive constant which, naturally, does not depend on  $k$ .

On the right-hand side of the formula (17) for  $\varphi_k$ , the double sum  $\sum_{(\alpha)} \sum_{[\lambda]}^*$  is a subsum of the double sum  $\sum_{(\alpha)} \sum_{[\lambda]}$ . It follows then from (17) that

$$(22) \quad |A(k)| |f_k| \leq |\beta(k)| \cdot c_0 \cdot m^{mn} \{k + (m+1)n - m + s\}^{(m+1)n} \cdot \max_{(\alpha), [\lambda]}^* |f_{\alpha_1 + \lambda_1} \cdots f_{\alpha_N + \lambda_N}|,$$

where  $\max^*$  is extended over all pairs of systems  $(\alpha)$ ,  $[\lambda]$  for which

$$(23) \quad 1 \leq N \leq n; \quad 0 \leq \alpha_1 + \lambda_1 \leq k - 1, \dots, 0 \leq \alpha_N + \lambda_N \leq k - 1.$$

The estimate (22) can be slightly simplified. Let  $k_0$  be the same constant as in (19). There exist then two further positive constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , both independent of  $k$ , such that

$$\left| \frac{\beta(k)}{A(k)} \right| \leq k^{c_1} \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0,$$

and hence also

$$(24) \quad \left| \frac{\beta(k)}{A(k)} \right| \cdot c_0 \cdot m^{mn} \{k + (m+1)n - m + s\}^{(m+1)n} \leq k^{c_2} \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

Next, with any two systems  $(\alpha)$  and  $[\lambda]$  we can associate a further ordered system of  $N$  integers  $\{\nu\} = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N\}$  by putting

$$(25) \quad \nu_1 = \alpha_1 + \lambda_1, \dots, \nu_N = \alpha_N + \lambda_N.$$

Then, by (23),

$$(26) \quad 1 \leq N \leq n; \quad 0 \leq \nu_1 \leq k - 1, \dots, 0 \leq \nu_N \leq k - 1.$$

Further, by the properties of  $(\alpha)$  and  $[\lambda]$ ,

$$\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_N = (\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N) + h = k + (\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_N - m + s),$$

and hence there exists a further positive constant  $c_3$  independent of  $k$  such that

$$(27) \quad \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_N \leq k + c_3.$$

It follows therefore finally from (22) and (24) that

$$(28) \quad |f_k| \leq k^{c_2} \cdot \max_{\{\nu\}} |f_{\nu_1} \cdots f_{\nu_N}| \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0,$$

where the maximum is extended over all systems  $\{\nu\}$  with the properties (26) and (27).

12. We may now, without loss of generality, assume that

$$(29) \quad k_0 > c_3 + 1.$$

Choose any  $k_0$  positive numbers  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{k_0-1}$  such that

$$(30) \quad 0 < u_0 < u_1 < \dots < u_{k_0-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad |f_k| \leq e^{u_k} \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, k_0 - 1,$$

and then, for each suffix  $k \geq k_0$ , define recursively a number  $u_k$  by the equation

$$(31) \quad u_k = c_2 \log k + \max_{\{v\}} (u_{v_1} + \dots + u_{v_N}).$$

Here  $\{v\}$  is to run again over all systems of integers with the properties (26) and (27). For use below, denote by  $S_k$  the set of all such systems  $\{v\}$ .

We assert that with this definition of  $u_k$ ,

$$(32) \quad |f_k| \leq e^{u_k} \quad \text{for all suffixes } k \geq 0.$$

For this is certainly true for  $k \leq k_0 - 1$ , and it is for larger  $k$  a consequence of (28) and (31) because

$$|f_k| \leq \exp(c_2 \log k + \max(u_{v_1} + \dots + u_{v_N})) = e^{u_k}.$$

Let now again  $k \geq k_0$ , hence, by (29),

$$k > c_3 + 1.$$

The recursive formula (31) implies then that

$$(33) \quad u_{k+1} - u_k = c_2 \log \frac{k+1}{k} + \max_{\{v'\} \in S_{k+1}} (u_{v'_1} + \dots + u_{v'_{N'}}) - \max_{\{v\} \in S_k} (u_{v_1} + \dots + u_{v_N}).$$

Here  $S_k$  evidently is a subset of  $S_{k+1}$ ; the maximum over  $S_{k+1}$  is therefore not less than that over  $S_k$ , and so (33) implies that

$$(34) \quad u_{k+1} - u_k \geq c_2 \log \frac{k+1}{k} > 0 \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

Together with the first inequalities (30), this proves that the numbers  $u_k$  form a strictly increasing sequence of positive numbers.

13. Consider now any system  $\{\pi\} = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{N^*}\}$  in  $S_{k+1}$  at which the maximum

$$\max_{\{v'\} \in S_{k+1}} (u_{v'_1} + \dots + u_{v'_{N'}}) = u_{\pi_1} + \dots + u_{\pi_{N^*}}$$

is attained. Since the numbers  $u_k$  are positive and strictly increasing, the suffixes  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{N^*}$  cannot all be zero; moreover, since

$$\pi_1 + \dots + \pi_{N^*} \leq k + c_3 + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad k > c_3 + 1,$$

at most one of these suffixes can be as large as  $k$ . Denote by

$$\pi_{N^*} > 0$$

the largest of the suffixes  $\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{N^*}$ , or one of them if several of these suffixes have the same maximum value. The other suffixes

$$\pi_1, \dots, \pi_{N^*-1}$$

are then non-negative and less than  $k$ . Hence the system  $\{v^0\} = \{v_1^0, \dots, v_{N^0}^0\}$  defined by

$$N^0 = N^* \quad , \quad v_1^0 = \pi_1, \dots, v_{N^0-1}^0 = \pi_{N^*-1}, \quad v_{N^0}^0 = \pi_{N^*} - 1 \geq 0$$

belongs to the set  $S_k$ , and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\{v\} \in S_k} (u_{v_1} + \dots + u_{v_N}) &\geq u_{\pi_1} + \dots + u_{\pi_{N^*-1}} + u_{\pi_{N^*}-1} = \\ &= \max_{\{v'\} \in S_{k+1}} (u_{v'_1} + \dots + u_{v'_{N'}}) - (u_{\pi_{N^*}} - u_{\pi_{N^*}-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$u_{\pi_{N^*}} - u_{\pi_{N^*}-1} \leq \max_{v=0,1,\dots,k-1} (u_{v+1} - u_v).$$

Therefore, on combining the equation (33) with these two inequalities, it follows that

$$(35) \quad u_{k+1} - u_k \leq c_2 \log \frac{k+1}{k} + \max_{v=0,1,\dots,k-1} (u_{v+1} - u_v) \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

14. Finally put

$$v_k = u_{k+1} - u_k \quad \text{and} \quad c_4 = \max(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{k_0-1}),$$

so that  $c_4$  is a further positive constant independent of  $k$ . Now, by (35),

$$v_k \leq c_2 \log \frac{k+1}{k} + \max_{v=0,1,\dots,k-1} v_v \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0,$$

or equivalently,

$$v_k \leq c_2 \log \frac{k+1}{k} + \max(c_4, v_{k_0}, v_{k_0+1}, \dots, v_{k-1}) \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

This inequality implies that

$$(36) \quad v_k \leq c_2 \log \frac{k+1}{k_0} + c_4 \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

For this assertion certainly is true if  $k = k_0$ . Assume then that  $k > k_0$  and that the assertion has already been proved for all suffixes up to and including  $k-1$ . Then

$$\max(c_4, v_{k_0}, v_{k_0+1}, \dots, v_{k-1}) \leq c_2 \log \frac{k}{k_0} + c_4,$$

whence

$$v_k \leq c_2 \log \frac{k+1}{k} + c_2 \log \frac{k}{k_0} + c_4 = c_2 \log \frac{k+1}{k_0} + c_4.$$

This proves that the estimate (36) holds also for the suffix  $k$  and therefore is always true.

On putting

$$c_5 = c_4 - c_2 \log k_0,$$

the inequality (36) shows that

$$u_{k+1} - u_k \leq c_2 \log(k+1) + c_5 \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

We apply this formula for the successive suffixes  $k_0, k_0 + 1, \dots, k - 1$ , and add all the results. This leads to the estimate

$$u_k \leq u_{k_0} + c_2 \log(k!/k_0!) + c_5(k - k_0) \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0,$$

which, by (32), is equivalent to

$$|f_k| \leq e^{u_{k_0} + c_5(k - k_0)} (k!/k_0!)^{c_2} \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

In this formula,  $k!$  increases more rapidly than any exponential function of  $k$ . We arrive then finally at the following result where we have replaced the suffix  $k$  again by  $h$ .

THEOREM: *Let*

$$f = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} f_h z^h$$

*be a formal power series with real or complex coefficients which satisfies an algebraic differential equation. Then there exist two positive constants  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  such that*

$$(37) \quad |f_h| \leq \gamma_1 (h!)^{\gamma_2} \quad (h = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

By way of example, one can easily show that if  $r$  is any positive integer, then

$$f = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} (h!)^r z^h$$

satisfies a linear differential equation, with coefficients that are polynomials in  $z$ . It is thus in general not possible to improve on the estimate (37).

The theorem seems to be new. In §§ 12-14, its proof makes use of an idea by a young Canberra mathematician, Mr. A. N. Stokes. For the technique of applying the algebraic differential equation to the coefficients  $f_h$  I am of course greatly indebted to Popken's doctor thesis (1935).

#### REFERENCES

- HURWITZ A. (1889) - *Sur le développement des fonctions satisfaisant à une équation différentielle algébrique*, « Ann. de l'École Norm. », (3), 6, 327-332; Werke, Bd. 2, 295-298.  
 KAKEYA S. (1915) - *On a power series with rational coefficients satisfying an algebraic differential equation*, Science Reports Tôhoku Imp. University. 4, 7-20.  
 POPKEN J. (1935) - *Über arithmetische Eigenschaften analytischer Funktionen*, Amsterdam, N. V. Noord-Hollandsche Uitgeversmaatschappij.