
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

GERALD D. LUDDEN, MASAFUMI OKUMURA, KENTARO
YANO

Totally Real Stibmanifolds of Complex Manifolds

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 58 (1975), n.3, p. 346–353.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1975_8_58_3_346_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Geometria differenziale. — *Totally Real Submanifolds of Complex Manifolds.* Nota di GERALD D. LUDDEN (*), MASAFUMI OKUMURA e KENTARO YANO, presentata (**) dal Socio B. SEGRE.

RIASSUNTO. — Si approfondisce lo studio di certe sottovarietà di una varietà complessa, com'è specificato nella seguente Introduzione.

§ 1. INTRODUCTION

There have been many papers studying complex submanifolds of complex manifolds, especially of complex space forms (see [6] for a survey of results and references). Recently there have been a number of papers concerning arbitrary submanifolds of complex manifolds (see [1], [2], [4], [5], [7], [9]). In particular, Chen and Ogiue [2] have studied submanifolds M of \mathbf{M} such that $T_x(M) \cap \mathbf{J}T_x(M) = \{0\}$ for each x in M . The purpose of this paper is to study these submanifolds further. In particular in § 2 we consider the basic properties of such submanifolds and in § 3 we examine the Laplacian of the square of the length of the second fundamental form and prove a pinching theorem. § 4 is devoted to the study of parallel isoperimetric normal sections on these submanifolds.

§ 2. FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTIES

Let \mathbf{M} be a Hermitian manifold of complex dimension m and let \mathbf{J} be the almost complex structure and \mathbf{g} the Hermitian metric on \mathbf{M} . Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold immersed in \mathbf{M} satisfying $T_x(M) \cap \mathbf{J}T_x(M) = \{0\}$ for each $x \in M$, where $T_x(M)$ is the tangent space to M at x . Here we have identified $T_x(M)$ with its image under the differential of the immersion. We call such a submanifold M *totally real* or *anti-invariant*. If X is a vector field on M , we see $\mathbf{J}X$ is a vector field in the normal bundle of M . If ξ is a vector field in the normal bundle put

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{J}\xi = P\xi + Q\xi,$$

where $P\xi$ is the tangential part of $\mathbf{J}\xi$ and $Q\xi$ the normal part. Then P is a tangent bundle valued 1-form on the normal bundle and Q is an endomorphism of the normal bundle. Applying \mathbf{J} to (1) and $\mathbf{J}X$ and comparing and normal parts, we have

$$(2) \quad PQ\xi = 0, \quad (3) \quad Q^2\xi = -\xi - \mathbf{J}P\xi,$$

$$(4) \quad P\mathbf{J}X = -X, \quad (5) \quad Q\mathbf{J}X = 0,$$

(*) Work done under partial support by NSF Grant No. 36684.

(**) Nella seduta dell'8 marzo 1975.

where X is an arbitrary tangent vector field to M and ξ an arbitrary normal vector field. From (3) and (5) we see $Q^3 + Q = 0$ on the normal bundle (see [10]). We also see that $n \leq m$ since J is non-singular.

Let ∇ be the Riemannian connection of g . Then, the Gauss and Weingarten equations are

$$(6) \quad \nabla_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y), \quad (7) \quad \nabla_X \xi = -A_\xi X + \nabla_X^1 \xi.$$

Here ∇ is the Riemannian connection of the metric g induced on M from g (i.e. $g(X, Y) = g(X, Y)$), σ is the second fundamental form of the immersion, ∇^1 is the connection on the normal bundle induced from ∇ and $g(A_\xi X, Y) = g(\sigma(X, Y), \xi)$. A vector field ξ in the normal bundle is *parallel* if $\nabla^1 \xi = 0$. M is *totally geodesic* if $\sigma \equiv 0$. M is *minimal* if $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma(e_i, e_i) = 0$ for any local ortho-normal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of tangent vectors to M .

Assume now that M is Kaehler (i.e. $\nabla J = 0$). Differentiating JX and (1) and comparing tangential and normal parts we have

$$(8) \quad -A_{JY} X = P\sigma(X, Y), \quad (9) \quad \nabla_X^1(JY) = J\nabla_X Y + Q\sigma(X, Y),$$

$$(10) \quad P\nabla_X^1 \xi = \nabla_X(P\xi) - A_{Q\xi} X, \quad (11) \quad -JA_\xi X + Q\nabla_X^1 \xi = \sigma(X, P\xi) + \nabla_{X(Q\xi)}^1.$$

From (8) we have

$$-g(A_{JY} X, Z) = g(P\sigma(X, Y), Z),$$

or

$$-g(\sigma(X, Z), JY) = g(P\sigma(X, Y), Z).$$

If M is totally umbilical, that is $\sigma(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H$ for some normal vector field H , then $-g(X, Z)g(H, JY) = g(X, Y)g(PH, Z)$. Letting $X = Z$ and $Y = PH$ we have $g(X, X)g(PH, PH) = g(X, PH)^2$. Now every real curve ($n = 1$) in M is totally real. If $n > 1$ we see that $PH = 0$. If $n = m$, then $Q = 0$ and $J = P$. Thus we have

PROPOSITION 1. *If $n = m > 1$ and M is totally umbilical, then M is totally geodesic.*

Suppose now that M is a complex space form of constant holomorphic curvature c . Denote M by $M(c)$. Then the curvature operator R of $M(c)$ assumes the form,

$$R(X, Y)Z = c/4 \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + (JY, Z)JX - g(JX, Z)JY + 2g(X, JY)JZ\}.$$

If M is totally real then

$$(12) \quad R(X, Y)Z = c/4 \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\}$$

which is tangent to M . On the other hand, if $\mathbf{R}(X, Y)Z$ is tangent to M for all X, Y, Z and $\mathbf{c} \neq 0$ then we obtain $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{J}X, Y)\mathbf{J}X$ is tangent to M for all X, Y . Thus we have

PROPOSITION 2. ([2]). *If $\mathbf{R}(X, Y)Z$ is tangent to M for all X, Y and $\mathbf{c} \neq 0$, then M is a complex submanifold or is totally real.*

If M is totally real, the equations of Gauss and Codazzi become

$$(13) \quad g(\mathbf{R}(X, Y)Z, W) = \mathbf{c}/4 \{g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)\} + \\ + \mathbf{g}(\sigma(X, W), \sigma(Y, Z)) - \mathbf{g}(\sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W))$$

and

$$(14) \quad (\nabla_X \sigma)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y \sigma)(X, Z) = 0,$$

where

$$(\nabla_X \sigma)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^1(\sigma(Y, Z)) - \sigma(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \sigma(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

PROPOSITION 3 ([2]). *If M is a totally real, totally geodesic submanifold of a complex space form, $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{c})$, then M is of constant curvature $\mathbf{c}/4$.*

COROLLARY 4. *If $n = m > 1$ and M is totally real and totally umbilical in a complex space form $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{c})$, then M is of constant curvature $\mathbf{c}/4$.*

From equation (13) we see that

$$(15) \quad S(X, Y) = (n-1)\mathbf{c}/4 g(X, Y) + \\ + \sum_i \{\mathbf{g}(\sigma(e_i, e_i), \sigma(X, Y)) - \mathbf{g}(\sigma(e_i, X), \sigma(e_i, Y))\}$$

and

$$(16) \quad \rho = n(n-1)\mathbf{c}/4 + \\ + \sum_{i,j} \{\mathbf{g}(\sigma(e_i, e_i), \sigma(e_j, e_j)) - \mathbf{g}(\sigma(e_i, e_j), \sigma(e_i, e_j))\},$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a local orthonormal basis of tangent vectors to M . Here S is the Ricci tensor of M and ρ is the scalar curvature of M . If we let $\sigma(X, Y) = h^\lambda(X, Y)\xi_\lambda$, where $\{\xi_\lambda\}$ is a local ortho-normal basis of normal vectors to M , then (15) and (16) become

$$(15') \quad S(X, Y) = (n-1)\mathbf{c}/4 g(X, Y) + \\ + \sum_\lambda \left\{ (tr h^\lambda) h^\lambda(X, Y) - \sum_i h^\lambda(e_i, X) h^\lambda(e_i, Y) \right\},$$

and

$$(16') \quad \rho = n(n-1)\mathbf{c}/4 + \sum_\lambda (tr h^\lambda)^2 - \|\sigma\|^2,$$

respectively, where $tr h^\lambda$ is the trace of h^λ .

PROPOSITION 5 ([2]). *If M is a minimal totally real submanifold of a complex space form, then*

- 1) $S - (n - 1) c/4 g$ is negative semi-definite,
- 2) $\rho \leq n(n - 1) c/4$.

M is totally geodesic if and only if any of the following conditions are satisfied:

- 1) $\rho = n(n - 1) c/4$,

or

- 2) $S = (n - 1) c/4 g$,

or

- 3) $g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = c/4 \{g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)\}$.

Ricci's equation is

$$(17) \quad \mathbf{g}(R(X, Y)\xi, \zeta) = \mathbf{g}(R^N(X, Y)\xi, \zeta) - g([A_\xi, A_\zeta]X, Y),$$

where $R^N(X, Y) = [\nabla_X^1 \nabla_Y^1] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}^1$. Since \mathbf{M} is a complex space form we see that

$$\mathbf{g}(R(X, Y)\xi, \zeta) = c/4 \{g(Y, P\xi)g(X, P\zeta) - g(X, P\xi)g(Y, P\zeta)\}.$$

Thus (17) becomes

$$(17') \quad c/4 \{g(Y, P\xi)g(X, P\zeta) - g(X, P\xi)g(Y, P\zeta)\} = \\ = \mathbf{g}(R^N(X, Y)\xi, \zeta) - g([A_\xi, A_\zeta]X, Y).$$

If $n = m$, then $Q = 0$ and $P = J$. Also if ξ is a normal vector to M then $\xi = JZ$ for some vector Z tangent to M . Thus, from (9) we see that

$$\nabla_X^1 \xi = \nabla_X^1 (JZ) = J\nabla_X Z.$$

This implies that $R^N(X, Y)\xi = JR(X, Y)Z$. In this case (17') becomes

$$(18) \quad g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = \\ = c/4 \{g(Y, J\xi)g(X, J\zeta) - g(X, J\xi)g(Y, J\zeta)\} + g([A_\xi, A_\zeta]X, Y),$$

where $\xi = JZ$ and $\zeta = JW$. Thus we have the following.

THEOREM 6. *Let M be a totally real submanifold of dimension n of a complex space form $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{C})$ of a complex dimension n . If $[A_\xi, A_\zeta] = 0$ for any normal vectors ξ and ζ then M is of constant curvature $c/4$. If in addition, M is minimal then M is totally geodesic.*

Proof. The first statement follows from equation (18). For the second statement, comparing (18) and (13) we see

$$g(\sigma(X, W), \sigma(Y, Z)) - g(\sigma(X, Z), \sigma(Y, W)) = 0$$

for all tangent vectors X, Y, Z, W to M . Picking an orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}$ of the tangent vectors to M and letting $X = W = e_i$ and $Y = Z = e_j$ and summing over i we see $\sigma(e_i, e_j) = 0$ for all i and j . Thus the proof is done.

THEOREM 7. *If M is as in Theorem 6, then $R^N \equiv 0$ if and only if $R \equiv 0$.*

§ 3. LAPLACIAN OF $\|\sigma\|^2$

The purpose of this section is to prove the following.

THEOREM 8. *Let M be a compact totally real minimal submanifold of dimension n of a complex space form $M(c)$ of complex dimension m and $c > 0$. If*

$$\|\sigma\|^2 \leq \frac{n}{2 - \frac{1}{p}} c/4,$$

where $p = 2m - n$, then M is totally geodesic. A local theorem is obtained by replacing the condition that M is compact by M having constant scalar curvature.

Proof. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a local orthonormal basis for the tangent vectors to M and $\{\xi_1 = Je_1, \dots, \xi_n = Je_n, \xi_{n+1}, \dots, \xi_p\}$ a local orthonormal basis for the normal vectors to M . Then, from Proposition 3.5 of [2] we have

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\sigma\|^2 &= \|\nabla \sigma\|^2 + \sum_{\lambda, \nu=1}^p \text{tr}(A_\lambda A_\nu - A_\nu A_\lambda)^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{\lambda, \nu=1}^p (\text{tr} A_\lambda A_\nu)^2 + nc/4 \|\sigma\|^2 + c/4 \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \text{tr} A_\alpha^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $A_\lambda = A_{\xi_\lambda}$ and Δ is the Laplacian operator.

We have the following lemma from [3].

LEMMA 9. *Let A and B be symmetric $(n \times n)$ -matrices. Then*

$$-\text{tr}(AB - BA)^2 \leq 2 \text{tr} A^2 \text{tr} B^2.$$

Applying Lemma 9 to (19) and proceeding as in [9] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\sigma\|^2 &\geq \|\nabla \sigma\|^2 - 2 \sum_{\lambda \neq \nu} \text{tr} A_\lambda^2 \text{tr} A_\nu^2 - \sum (\text{tr} A_\lambda A_\nu)^2 + \\ &\quad + nc/4 \|\sigma\|^2 + c/4 \sum \text{tr} A_\alpha^2 = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \|\nabla\sigma\|^2 + \mathbf{c}/4 \sum \text{tr} A_\alpha^2 + n\mathbf{c}/4 \|\sigma\|^2 - 2 \sum_{\lambda < \nu} \text{tr} A_\lambda^2 \text{tr} A_\nu^2 - (\sum \text{tr} A_\lambda^2)^2 \\
&= \|\nabla\sigma\|^2 + \mathbf{c}/4 \sum \text{tr} A_\alpha^2 + n\mathbf{c}/4 \|\sigma\|^2 - p^2 \sigma_1^2 - p(p-1) \sigma_2 \\
&= \|\nabla\sigma\|^2 + \mathbf{c}/4 \sum \text{tr} A_\alpha^2 + n\mathbf{c}/4 \|\sigma\|^2 - (2p^2 - p) \sigma_1^2 + p(p-1) (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2) \\
&= \|\nabla\sigma\|^2 + \mathbf{c}/4 \sum \text{tr} A_\alpha^2 + n\mathbf{c}/4 \|\sigma\|^2 + p(p-1) (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2) - \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|\sigma\|^4 \\
&\geq \left[n\mathbf{c}/4 - \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|\sigma\|^2\right] \|\sigma\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $p\sigma_1 = \sum \text{tr} A_\lambda^2$ and $p(p-1) \sigma_2 = 2 \sum_{\lambda < \nu} \text{tr} A_\lambda^2 \text{tr} A_\nu^2$. This holds since we can assume $\text{tr} (A_\lambda A_\nu) = 0$ if $\lambda \neq \nu$ and $p^2(p-1) (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2) = \sum_{\lambda < \nu} (\text{tr} A_\lambda^2 - \text{tr} A_\nu^2)^2 \geq 0$.

If $n\mathbf{c}/4 - \left(2 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \|\sigma\|^2 \geq 0$ then we see that $\Delta \|\sigma\|^2 \geq 0$. If M is compact, the well known lemma of E. Hopf says that $\Delta \|\sigma\|^2 = 0$. Also, note that if the scalar curvature ρ of M is constant then (16') shows that $\|\sigma\|^2$ is constant and hence $\Delta \|\sigma\|^2 = 0$. From the above equations, we see that $\Delta \|\sigma\|^2 = 0$ implies that $\nabla\sigma = 0$, $\sum \text{tr} A_\alpha^2 = 0$ and $\sum_{\lambda < \nu} (\text{tr} A_\lambda^2 - \text{tr} A_\nu^2)^2 = 0$. Thus $A_\lambda = 0$ for all \mathbf{c} and hence M is totally geodesic.

COROLLARY 10 ([2]). *Let M be a compact, minimal, totally real submanifold of dimension n of a complex space form $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{c})$, $\mathbf{c} > 0$, of complex dimension n . If*

$$\|\sigma\|^2 < \frac{n(n+1)}{(2n-1)} \mathbf{c}/4$$

then M is totally geodesic.

Proof. In this case $\sum \text{tr} A_\alpha^2 = \|\sigma\|^2$ and $p = n$ so that the inequality in the above proof becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta \|\sigma\|^2 &\geq \|\nabla\sigma\|^2 + (n+1) \mathbf{c}/4 \|\sigma\|^2 + p(p-1) (\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2) - \\
&\quad - \left(2 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \|\sigma\|^4 \geq \left[(n+1) \mathbf{c}/4 - \left(2 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \|\sigma\|^2\right] \|\sigma\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Again we see by Hopf's lemma $\Delta \|\sigma\|^2 = 0$ so $\|\sigma\| = 0$.

Remark. In Corollary 10 the condition is a strict inequality. The authors will consider equality in a forthcoming paper.

§ 4. PARALLEL ISOPERIMETRIC SECTIONS

A section ξ of the normal bundle is called *isoperimetric* if $\text{tr} A_\xi$ is constant.

Let M be a totally real submanifold of a complex space form $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{c})$.

Now we can write equation (14) as

$$(14') \quad \Sigma \{(\nabla_X h^\lambda)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y h^\lambda)(X, Z)\} \xi_\lambda + \\ + \Sigma \{h^\lambda(Y, Z) \nabla_X^\perp \xi_\lambda - h^\lambda(X, Z) \nabla_Y^\perp \xi_\lambda\} = 0,$$

or, if we let $\nabla_X^\perp \xi_\lambda = \Sigma L_{\lambda\nu}(X) \xi_\nu$, as

$$(14'') \quad (\nabla_X A_\lambda) Y - (\nabla_Y A_\lambda) X - \Sigma \{L_{\lambda\nu}(X) A_\nu Y - L_{\lambda\nu}(Y) A_\nu X\} = 0.$$

If ξ is a parallel normal section then we can assume ξ is a unit vector field since its length is constant. Denote a unit parallel normal section by ξ_1 and use it as the first vector in an local ortho-normal basis of normal vectors. Then $L_{1\nu}$ are all zero and so (14'') gives $(\nabla_X A_1) Y = (\nabla_Y A_1) X$. From equation (17') we see that

$$(20) \quad [A_1, A_\lambda] X = c/4 \{g(X, P\xi_1) P\xi_\lambda - g(X, P\xi_\lambda) P\xi_1\}.$$

Let $f_1 = \|A_1\|^2$. After a long calculation similar to that in [8], we find

$$(21) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta f_1 = \|\nabla A_1\|^2 + c/4 \{n \operatorname{tr} A_1^2 - (\operatorname{tr} A_1)^2\} + \\ + \Sigma \{ \operatorname{tr} A_\lambda \operatorname{tr} (A_1^2 A_\lambda) - (\operatorname{tr} A_1 A_\lambda)^2 \}.$$

The following lemma appears in [8].

LEMMA 11. Let A_1, \dots, A_p be a symmetric linear transformations of an n -dimensional inner product space V . Assume that $[A_1, A_\lambda] = 0$ for $\lambda = 1, \dots, p$. If $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V for which $A_1 e_i = \lambda_i e_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ then

$$\Sigma \{ \operatorname{tr} A_\lambda \operatorname{tr} (A_1^2 A_\lambda) - (\operatorname{tr} A_1 A_\lambda)^2 \} + nc \operatorname{tr} A_1^2 - c (\operatorname{tr} A_1)^2 = \\ = \sum_{i < j} \left\{ c + \sum_\lambda [a_{ii}^\lambda a_{jj}^\lambda - (a_{ij}^\lambda)^2] \right\} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2,$$

where (a_{ij}^λ) is the matrix of A_λ .

We shall use these facts to prove the following.

THEOREM 12. Let M be a compact totally real submanifold of a complex space form $\mathbf{M}(c)$. If M has non-negative sectional curvature and admits a parallel, isoperimetric normal section ξ such that $P\xi = 0$ and $A\xi$ has n distinct eigenvalues everywhere on M , then M is flat.

Proof. From (20) we see that $P\xi_1 = 0$ implies $[A_1, A_\lambda] = 0$ for all λ . Thus we can apply Lemma 11 to (21) and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta f_1 = \|\nabla A_1\|^2 + \sum_{i < j} K_{ij} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2,$$

where K_{ij} is the sectional curvature of the section spanned by $\{e_i, e_j\}$ and λ_i are the eigenvalues of A_1 . Since the K_{ij} are non-negative we have that $\Delta f_1 \geq 0$ so that Hopf's lemma says $\Delta f_1 = 0$. Thus since $\lambda_i - \lambda_j \neq 0$ for $i \neq j$ we have $K_{ij} = 0$ and the proof is done.

COROLLARY 13. *Let M be a compact totally real surface immersed in a complex space form $\mathbf{M}(c)$ of complex dimension > 2 . If the Gaussian curvature of M is non-negative and M admits a parallel, isoperimetric, umbilic-free normal section then M is flat.*

Remark. A generalization of Corollary 13 appears in [2].

THEOREM 14. *Let M be a compact, minimal, totally real submanifold of a complex space form $\mathbf{M}(c)$. Suppose*

- 1) *the real dimension n of M is less than the complex dimension m of \mathbf{M} ,*
- 2) *$R^N \equiv 0$ on \mathbf{M} .*

Then there exist $2m - 2n$ parallel isoperimetric, sections on M and if one of these sections has n distinct eigenvalues everywhere on M and the sectional curvature of M is non-negative then M is flat.

Proof. This follows from known facts and Theorem 13.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. ABE (1973) - *Applications of a Riccati type differential equation to Riemannian manifolds with totally geodesic distributions*, «Tohoku Math. Journ.», 25, 425-444.
- [2] B. Y. CHEN and K. OGIUE (1974) - *On totally real submanifolds*, «Trans. of AMS», 193, 257-266.
- [3] S. S. CHERN, M. P. DO CARMO and S. KOBAYOSHI - *Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length. Functional analysis and Related Fields*. (Proc. Conf. for M. Stont, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, IL 1968), Springer, New York, 1970, 59-75.
- [4] C. S. HOUE (1973) - *Some totally real minimal hypersurfaces in CP^2* «Proc. of AMS», 40, 240-244.
- [5] H. B. LAWSON JR. (1969) - *Rigidity Theorems in rank 1 symmetric spaces*, «J. of Diff. Geom.», 3, 367-377.
- [6] K. OGIUE - *Differential geometry of Kaehler submanifolds*, Notes from Michigan State University, to appear in «Advances in Mathematics».
- [7] M. OKUMURA - *Submanifolds of real codimension p of a complex projective space*, to appear.
- [8] B. SMYTH (1973) - *Submanifolds of constant mean curvature*, «Math. Ann.», 205, 265-280.
- [9] J. A. WOLFE (1963) - *Elliptic spaces in Grassman manifolds*, «Illinois J. of Math.», 7, 447-462.
- [10] K. YANO (1963) - *On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type $(1, 1)$ satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$* , «Tensor N. S.», 14, 99-109.