

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

PREM CHANDRA

**Absolute Riesz summability**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 59 (1975), n.1-2, p. 68-76.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1975\\_8\\_59\\_1-2\\_68\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1975_8_59_1-2_68_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)*

*SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

**Analisi numerica.** — *Absolute Riesz summability* (\*). Nota (\*\*) di PREM CHANDRA, presentata dal Socio E. BOMPIANI.

RIASSUNTO. — Si generalizzano due teoremi dovuti all'Autore sulle serie di Fourier.

### I. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Let  $L(w)$  be a continuous, differentiable and monotonic increasing function of  $w$ , and let it tend to infinity with  $w$ . Suppose that  $\Sigma a_n$  be a given infinite series (1) then

$$\Sigma a_n \in |R, L(w), r| \quad (r > 0)$$

if (see Mohanty [4])

$$\int_h^\infty \frac{L'(w)}{(L(w))^{1+r}} \left| \sum_{n < w} (L(w) - L(n))^{r-1} L(n) a_n \right| dw$$

is convergent, where  $h$  is a positive number (Obrechhoff [5, 6]) and  $L'(w) = \frac{d}{dw} L(w)$ .

We define the summability  $|R, L(w), 0|$  equivalent to the absolute convergence.

Let  $f$  be  $2\pi$ -periodic function and  $L$ -integrable over  $(-\pi, \pi)$ . We assume, without any loss of generality, that the Fourier series of  $f$ , at a point  $t = x$ , is

$$(1.1) \quad \Sigma (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \equiv \Sigma A_n(x).$$

The series conjugate to (1.1) is

$$(1.2) \quad \Sigma (b_n \cos nx - a_n \sin nx) \equiv \Sigma B_n(x).$$

(\*) This paper is dedicated to the memory of my mother who left the physical world on 30 may, 1975.

(\*\*) Pervenuta all'Accademia il 25 luglio 1975.

(1) Summations are over  $1, 2, \dots, \infty$  when there is no indication to the contrary.

Throughout the paper we write

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) + f(x-t)\}.$$

$$(1.4) \quad \psi(t) = \frac{1}{2} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\}.$$

$$(1.5) \quad e(w) = \exp \{w/(\log w)^c\} \quad (c > 0, w \geq A > 1).$$

$$(1.6) \quad h(n) = (\log(n+1))^d \quad (d \geq 0).$$

$$(1.7) \quad e^{(1)}(w) = \frac{d}{dw} (e(w)).$$

$$(1.8) \quad e^a(w) = (e(w))^a \quad (\text{for finite } a).$$

$$(1.9) \quad P(w, r-1) = \{e(w) - e(m)\}^{r-1} \frac{e(m)h(m)}{m},$$

where  $m$  is the greatest integer contained in  $w$ .

## II. INTRODUCTION

In 1951, Mohanty [4; Theorem 3] proved the following:

THEOREM A. *Let  $b > 0$  and  $c = 1 + \frac{1}{b}$ . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad t^{-b} \varphi(t) \in \text{BV}(0, \pi)$$

*implies that*

$$\Sigma A_n(x) \in |R, e(w), 1|.$$

Generalising the above Theorem Chandra [2] proved the following:

THEOREM B. *Let,*

$$(2.2) \quad \text{for } 0 < b < 1, \quad c > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d \geq 0, \quad bc = 1 + d.$$

*Then (2.1), implies that  $\Sigma A_n(x) h(n) \in |R, e(w), 1|$ .*

The following analogue of Theorem B for conjugate series of the Fourier series is due to Chandra [3]:

THEOREM C. *Let (2.2) hold. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad t^{-b} \psi(t) \in \text{BV}(0, \pi)$$

*implies that*

$$\Sigma B_n(x) h(n) \in |R, e(w), 1|.$$

The object of this paper is to prove the following theorems which generalise Theorems B and C:

THEOREM 1. *Let (2.2) hold. Then (2.1) implies that*

$$\Sigma A_n(x) h(n) \in |R, e(w), r| \quad (r > b).$$

THEOREM 2. *Let (2.2) hold. Then (2.3) implies that*

$$\Sigma B_n(x) h(n) \in |R, e(w), r| \quad (r > b).$$

III. We shall use the following lemmas in the proofs of the theorems:

LEMMA 1.  $\Sigma a_n \in |R, L(w), r|$  ( $r \geq 0$ ) *implies that*

$$\Sigma a_n \in |R, L(w), r'| \quad (r' > r).$$

This is due to Obrechhoff [5, 6].

LEMMA 2. *Let (2.2) hold and let  $0 < r \leq 1$ . Then, uniformly in  $0 < t \leq \pi$  and  $w \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) h(n) \frac{\exp(\text{int})}{n} &= \\ &= O \{ t^{-r} w^{-1} e^r(w) (\log w)^{d+c(1-r)} \} + P(w, r-1). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let  $w_1$  stand for the integral part of  $(w - \frac{1}{t})$ . Then, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) h(n) \frac{\exp(\text{int})}{n} &= \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{w_1} + \sum_{1+w_1}^m = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2, \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now for  $p$ , the integral part of  $e^{2c} \Gamma(c+4)$ , we write

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{n=1}^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{w_1} = O \{ e^{r-1}(w) \} + \sum_{n=p+1}^{w_1}.$$

Since  $(e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} \uparrow$  with  $n$  and  $\left\{ \frac{e(n)h(n)}{n} \right\} \uparrow$  with  $n > p$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=p+1}^{w_1} &= \sum_{n=p+1}^{w_1} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} \frac{e(n)h(n)}{n} \exp(\text{int}) = \\ &= O \left\{ \left( e(w) - e\left(w - \frac{1}{t}\right) \right)^{r-1} \frac{e\left(w - \frac{1}{t}\right)h(w)}{w - \frac{1}{t}} \max_{1+p \leq p' \leq w_1} \left| \sum_{n=p'}^{w_1} \exp(\text{int}) \right| \right\} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O \left\{ t^{-1} w^{-1} \left( t^{-1} e^{(1)} \left( w - \frac{1}{t} \right) \right)^{r-1} e^{(1)} \left( w - \frac{1}{t} \right) (\log w)^{c+d} \right\} = \\
 &= O \left\{ t^{-r} w^{-1} e^r (w) (\log w)^{d+c(1-r)} \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in  $0 < t < \pi$ . Therefore, on substituting the order-estimate for  $\sum_{n=p+1}^{w_1}$ , we get

$$\Sigma_1 = O \left\{ t^{-r} w^{-1} e^r (w) (\log w)^{d+c(1-r)} \right\},$$

uniformly in  $0 < t < \pi$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_2 &= O \left\{ \int_{w-\frac{1}{t}}^w (e(w) - e(y))^{r-1} \frac{e^{(1)}(y) h(y)}{y} dy \right\} + P(w, r-1) = \\
 &= O \left\{ \int_{w-\frac{1}{t}}^w (e(w) - e(y))^{r-1} \frac{e^{(1)}(y) (\log y)^{d+c}}{y} dy \right\} + P(w, r-1) = \\
 &= O \left\{ w^{-1} (\log w)^{d+c} \int_{w-\frac{1}{t}}^w (e(w) - e(y))^{r-1} e^{(1)}(y) dy \right\} + P(w, r-1) = \\
 &= O \left\{ w^{-1} (\log w)^{d+c} \left( e(w) - e \left( w - \frac{1}{t} \right) \right)^r \right\} + P(w, r-1) = \\
 &= O \left\{ w^{-1} (\log w)^{d+c} (t^{-1} e^{(1)}(w))^r \right\} + P(w, r-1) = \\
 &= O \left\{ t^{-r} w^{-1} e^r (w) (\log w)^{d+c(1-r)} \right\} + P(w, r-1),
 \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in  $0 < t \leq \pi$ .

On collecting the results for  $\Sigma_1$  and  $\Sigma_2$  we follow the proof.

LEMMA 3. *Uniformly in  $0 < t \leq \pi$  and for  $0 < b \leq 1$*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^t u^{b-1} \sin nu \, du = O(n^{-b})$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^t u^b \sin nu \, du = -t^b \frac{\cos nt}{n} + O(n^{-1-b}),$$

for large  $n$ .

The proof of (3.1) is included in Lemma 2 of Chandra [1] and for the proof of (3.2), see Chandra [3]; (3.2).

## IV. PROOF OF THEOREM 1 (2)

We have

$$A_n(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(t) \cos nt \, dt = \frac{2}{\pi} \pi^{-b} \varphi(\pi) \int_0^\pi t^b \cos nt \, dt - \\ - \int_0^\pi d\{t^{-b} \varphi(t)\} \int_0^t u^b \cos nu \, du,$$

integrating by parts.

The series  $\sum A_n(x) h(n) \in |R, e(w), r| (r > b)$ , if

$$I = \int_3^\infty \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) h(n) \int_0^t u^b \cos nu \, du \right| dw = O(1),$$

uniformly in  $0 < t < \pi$ , since by (2.1)  $\pi^{-b} \varphi(\pi)$  and  $\int_0^\pi |d\{t^{-b} \varphi(t)\}|$  are finite.

Integrating the inner integral by parts, we have

$$I \leq t^b \int_3^\infty \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) h(n) \frac{\sin nt}{n} \right| dw + \\ + b \int_3^\infty \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} \frac{e(n) h(n)}{n} \int_0^t u^{b-1} \sin nu \, du \right| dw = \\ = I_1 + I_2, \quad \text{say.}$$

By (3.1) of Lemma 3, we have

$$P_n = \int_0^t u^{b-1} \sin nu \, du = O(n^{-b}),$$

therefore the series  $\sum \frac{h(n)}{n} P_n \in |R, e(w), o|$ . And, by Lemma 1, the convergence of  $I_2$  follows. Thus, for the proof of Theorem 1, we only require to prove that

$$J = \int_3^\infty \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) h(n) \frac{\sin nt}{n} \right| dw = O(t^{-b}),$$

uniformly in  $0 < t < \pi$ .

(2) For the proof of Theorems 1 and 2 we take  $0 < r \leq 1$  in view of Lemma 1 of the present paper.

For  $T = 3 \exp \{t^{-b/(1+d)}\}$ , we write

$$J = \int_3^T + \int_T^\infty = J_1 + J_2, \quad \text{say.}$$

Since  $\text{sint } nt = O(1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= O \left\{ \int_3^T \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} \frac{e(n)h(n)}{n} \right| dw \right\} = \\ &= O \left\{ \int_3^T \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} dw \int_3^w (e(w) - e(z))^{r-1} \frac{e(z)h(z)}{z} dz \right\} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now set, for  $y > 0$ ,

$$P_y = \int_3^T \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} dw \int_3^w (e(w) + y - e(z))^{r-1} \frac{e(z)h(z)}{z} dz.$$

Then, by changing the order of integration, we have

$$P_y = \int_3^T \frac{e(z)h(z)}{z} dz \int_z^T (e(w) + y - e(z))^{r-1} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} dw.$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad \int_3^T \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} dw \int_3^w (e(w) - e(z))^{r-1} \frac{e(z)h(z)}{z} dz &= \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} P_y = \\ &= \int_3^T \frac{e(z)h(z)}{z} dz \int_z^T (e(w) - e(z))^{r-1} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} dw \\ &= \int_3^T \frac{e(z)h(z)}{z} Q(z) dz. \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad Q(z) &= \left[ \frac{(e(w) - e(z))^r}{r e^{1+r}(w)} \right]_z^T + \frac{r+1}{r} \int_z^T \frac{(e(w) - e(z))^r}{e^{2+r}(w)} e^{(1)}(w) dw = \\ &= O\{e^{-1}(T)\} + O \left\{ \int_z^T \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^2(w)} dw \right\} = O\{e^{-1}(z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.1) and (4.2), we have that

$$J_1 = O \left\{ \int_3^T \frac{h(z)}{z} dz \right\} + O(1) = O(t^{-b}),$$

uniformly in  $0 < t < \pi$ .

By Lemma 2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= O \left\{ t^{-r} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{we(w)} (\log w)^{d+c(1-r)} dw \right\} + \int_3^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} P(w, r-1) dw = \\ &= O \left\{ t^{-r} \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{w (\log w)^{cr-d}} dw \right\} + \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \int_m^{m+1} \frac{e^{(1)}(w) P(w, r-1)}{e^{1+r}(w)} dw = \\ &= O \{ t^{-r} (\log T)^{d+1-cr} \} + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{e(w) h(m)}{m e^{1+r}(m)} [ \{ e(w) - e(m) \}^r ]_m^{m+1} = \\ &= O \{ t^{-r} (\log T)^{c(b-r)} \} + O \left\{ \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \frac{h(m)}{m} \left( \frac{e^{(1)}(m+1)}{e(m)} \right)^r \right\} \quad (\text{by (2.2)}) = \\ &= O(t^{-b}) + O(1) = O(t^{-b}), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in  $0 < t < \pi$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## V. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We have

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} t^{-b} \psi(t) t^b \sin nt \, dt = \\ &= \frac{2 \psi(h)}{\pi^{1+b}} \int_0^{\pi} u^b \sin nu \, du - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} d \{ t^{-b} \psi(t) \} \int_0^t u^b \sin nu \, du, \end{aligned}$$

integrating by parts.

The series  $\sum B_n(x) h(n) \in |R, e(w), r| (r > b)$ , if

$$J = \int_3^{\infty} \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) B_n(x) h(n) \right| dw$$



is convergent. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 J \leq & \frac{2 |\psi(x)|}{\pi^{1+b}} \int_3^\infty \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) h(n) \cdot \right. \\
 & \left. \cdot \int_0^\pi w^b \sin nu \, du \right| dw + \\
 & + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi |d\{t^{-b} \psi(t)\}| \int_3^\infty \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} \cdot e(n) h(n) \cdot \right. \\
 & \left. \cdot \int_0^t w^b \sin nu \, du \right| dw.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since, by (2.3),  $\pi^{-b} |\psi(\pi)|$  and  $\int_0^\pi |d\{t^{-b} \psi(t)\}|$  are finite, therefore, for the proof of the theorem, we only require to prove that

$$I = \int_3^\infty \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) h(n) \int_0^t w^b \sin nu \, du \right| dw = O(1),$$

uniformly in  $0 < t \leq \pi$ .

Now, by (3.2) of Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= O \left\{ t^b \int_3^\infty \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) h(n) \frac{\cos nt}{n} \right| dw \right\} + \\
 &+ O \left\{ \int_3^\infty \frac{e^{(1)}(w)}{e^{1+r}(w)} \left| \sum_{n < w} (e(w) - e(n))^{r-1} e(n) \frac{h(n)}{n^{1+b}} \right| dw \right\} = \\
 &= O(I_1) + O(I_2), \quad \text{say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of  $I_2$  follows from Lemma 1 since  $\sum \frac{h(n)}{n^{1+b}} \in |\mathbb{R}, e(w), o|$ . The uniform boundedness of  $I_1$ , in  $0 < t \leq \pi$ , runs parallel to that of  $I_1$  of Theorem 1 of this paper.

This terminates the proof of Theorem 2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. CHANDRA (1970) – *Absolute Riesz summability factors for Fourier series*, « Proc. Edin, Math. Soc. (2) », 17, 65–70.
- [2] P. CHANDRA – *On a theorem of Mohanty* (communicated).
- [3] P. CHANDRA (1972) – *A new criterion for the absolute Riesz summability of the conjugate series of a Fourier series*, « Mat. Vesnik », 9 (24), 23–26.
- [4] R. MOHANTY (1951) – *On the absolute Riesz summability of Fourier series and allied series*, « Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) », 52, 295–320.
- [5] N. OBRECHKOFF (1928) – *Sur la sommation absolue des séries de Dirichlet*, « Comptes Rendus, Paris », 186, 215–217.
- [6] N. OBRECHKOFF (1929) – *Über die absolute Summierung der Dirichletschen Reihen*, « Math. Zeit. », 30, 375–386.