
ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI
RENDICONTI

MAZEN SHAHIN

On finite dimensional spaces of measurable functions

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 66 (1979), n.1, p. 3–5.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1979_8_66_1_3_0>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)*

SIMAI & UMI

<http://www.bdim.eu/>

Analisi funzionale. — *On finite dimensional spaces of measurable functions.* Nota di MAZEN SHAHIN, presentata (*) dal Socio G. SANSONE.

RIASSUNTO. — Com'è noto, un operatore autoaggiunto (o più in generale normale) in uno spazio di Hilbert è unitariamente equivalente all'operatore di moltiplicazione per una certa funzione $F: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ sul corrispondente spazio $L_2(X, dx)$.

Hanno interesse quelle perturbazioni di un dato operatore autoaggiunto per le quali muta non solo il modo di trasformarsi sotto l'azione dell'operatore, ma anche il suo dominio di definizione (in particolare ciò significa perturbazione delle condizioni iniziali). Perciò, grosso modo, ci si restringe a domini di definizione chiusi nel senso di Mackey.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{R} denote the set of real numbers and \mathbf{C} the set of complex numbers. Let $M(\mathbf{R})$ be the space of equivalence classes of complex-valued measurable functions on \mathbf{R} , under the equivalence relation: f equivalent to g means that $f(x) = g(x)$ a.e. on \mathbf{R} . We often write "function" when we mean an element of $M(\mathbf{R})$. Let H denote the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathbf{R})$. By (\cdot, \cdot) we denote the scalar product in H . For $\mathcal{F} \in M(\mathbf{R})$ we denote by $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})$ the mapping of $M(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow M(\mathbf{R})$ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}) f(x) = \mathcal{F}(x) f(x) \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbf{R},$$

and we let $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})$ to H ,

$$D(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})) = \{f \in H : \mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})f \in H\}$$

We introduce the following notation:

If N is a subset of $M(\mathbf{R})$, then

$$N^\perp = \left\{ f \in L_2(\mathbf{R}) : \forall g \in N \quad fg \in L_1(\mathbf{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} f\bar{g} dx = 0 \right\}.$$

If G is a subset of $L_2(\mathbf{R})$, then

$$G^\perp = \left\{ f \in M(\mathbf{R}) : \forall g \in G \quad fg \in L_1(\mathbf{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}} f\bar{g} dx = 0 \right\}.$$

We say that $U \subset M(\mathbf{R})$ is closed in the Mackey sense, or simply closed, if it coincides with its bi-annihilator (see [2] p. 80, [3]). Our purpose here is to study this property of finite dimensional spaces of measurable functions. Our main result is theorem 2.1.

(*) Nella seduta del 13 gennaio 1979.

2. SOME PROPERTIES OF FINITE DIMENSIONAL SUBSPACES

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $u_1, \dots, u_n \in M(\mathbb{R})$ and be linearly independent. Let $\mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{\nu} \mathcal{E}_{\nu}$ has measure zero. Then beginning with some ν the functions u_1, \dots, u_n are linearly independent on \mathcal{E}_{ν} .*

Proof. By contradiction. Suppose that there exist numbers

$$c_1^{(\nu)}, \dots, c_n^{(\nu)}, \quad \text{such that}$$

$$|c_1^{(\nu)}|^2 + \dots + |c_n^{(\nu)}|^2 = 1,$$

and a.e. on \mathcal{E}_{ν}

$$\sum_j c_j^{(\nu)} u_j(x) = 0$$

If (c_1, \dots, c_n) is a limit point of the sequence

$$\{(c_1^{(\nu)}, \dots, c_n^{(\nu)})\}_{\nu}^{\infty} = 1, \quad \text{then}$$

$$\sum_j c_j u_j(x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{R}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let U be a finite dimensional subspace of the (linear) space $M(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \dots$ be a sequence of sets as in proposition 2.1., and f a function $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, such that for every ν there exists a function u_{ν} from U such that the restriction of f to \mathcal{E}_{ν} is equal to the restriction of u_{ν} to \mathcal{E}_{ν} . Then $f \in U$.*

Proof. Let u_1, \dots, u_n be a basis of U . The functions f, u_1, \dots, u_n are linearly dependent on \mathcal{E}_{ν} ($\nu = 1, 2, \dots$). From proposition 2.1. it follows that f, u_1, \dots, u_n are linearly dependent on \mathbb{R} .

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let U be as in proposition 2.2. Then there exists a sequence $\mathcal{E}'_1 \subset \mathcal{E}'_2 \subset \dots$ such that $\mathcal{E}'_1 \subset \mathcal{E}'_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{\nu} \mathcal{E}'_{\nu}$ is a set of measure zero and every function f in U is bounded on \mathcal{E}'_{ν} .*

Proof. If u_1, \dots, u_n is a basis for U , then it is sufficient to take

$$\mathcal{E}'_{\nu} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \max_j |u_j(x)| < \nu\}.$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $U \subset M$, $U \cap H = (0)$, $\dim U < \infty$, $\mathcal{F} \in M$, Then $D(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})) \cap U^{\perp}$ is dense in H .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{E}'_{ν} be as in proposition 2.3., and let

$$\mathcal{E}_{\nu} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| < \nu, |\mathcal{F}(x)| < \nu\} \cap \mathcal{E}'_{\nu}.$$

Let χ_{ν} be the characteristic function of \mathcal{E}_{ν} and

$$H_{\nu} = \chi_{\nu}(\mathcal{X}) H.$$

We have

$$\chi_\nu(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})U \subset H_\nu \subset H,$$

hence

$$(2.1) \quad H_\nu \cap U^\perp = H_\nu \ominus \chi_\nu(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})U.$$

Let $f \in H$ and $f \perp D(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})) \subset U^\perp$.

Then $f \perp H_\nu \cap U^\perp$, since $H_\nu \subset D(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X}))$.

Consequently

$$\chi_\nu(\mathcal{X})f \perp (H_\nu \cap U^\perp)$$

and by virtue of (2.1), we get

$$\chi_\nu(\mathcal{X})f \in \overline{\chi_\nu(\mathcal{X})U} = \chi_\nu(\mathcal{X})U.$$

The last relation and proposition 2.2 imply that $f \in U$, and since $U \cap H = (0)$, then $f = 0$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $U \subset M$, $\dim U < \infty$, $\mathcal{F} \in M$. Then*

$$[D(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})) \cap U^\perp]^\Gamma = U.$$

Proof. Obviously $U \subset [D(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})) \cap U^\perp]^\Gamma$, so it is sufficient to prove the opposite inclusion. Let

$$\omega \in [D(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})) \cap U^\perp]^\Gamma.$$

We set

$$\mathcal{E}'_\nu = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| < \nu, |\omega(x)| < \nu, |\mathcal{F}(x)| < \nu\} \cap \mathcal{E}'_\nu,$$

with \mathcal{E}'_ν as in proposition 2.3. We have

$$\omega \in [H_\nu \cap U^\perp]^\Gamma, \quad \text{since } H_\nu \cap U^\perp \subset D(\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})) \cap U^\perp.$$

Therefore

$$\chi_\nu(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})\omega \in [H_\nu \cap U^\perp]^\Gamma, \quad \text{and since}$$

$$H_\nu \cap U^\perp = H_\nu \ominus \chi_\nu(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})U, \quad \text{we get}$$

$$\chi_\nu(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})\omega \in [H_\nu \ominus \chi_\nu(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})U]^\Gamma.$$

Because $\chi_\nu(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})\omega \in H_\nu$, from the theorem on orthogonal projections we have $\chi_\nu(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})\omega \in \chi_\nu(\tilde{\mathcal{X}})U$, and by virtue of proposition 2.2, $\omega \in U$.

Acknowledgment. - The author wishes to express his appreciation to prof. V. È. Ljantse for suggesting this problem and for his useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. E. LJANTSE (1972) - *On closed operators in Hilbert spaces* (in Russian), *Teoriya Funktsiy Funktsionalnyy analiz i ikh Prilozheniya*, «Kharkov» 16, 165-184.
- [2] G. W. MACKEY (1945) - *On infinite-dimensional linear spaces*, «Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.», 57, 155-207.
- [3] D. A. RAIKOV (1965) - *Vector spaces*, Noordhoff-Groningen.