

---

ATTI ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI  
CLASSE SCIENZE FISICHE MATEMATICHE NATURALI  
**RENDICONTI**

---

PIERO MANGANI, ANNALISA MARCJA

**$\aleph_1$ -Boolean spectrum, and stability**

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche,  
Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti, Serie 8, Vol. 72 (1982), n.5, p. 269–272.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA\\_1982\\_8\\_72\\_5\\_269\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLINA_1982_8_72_5_269_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

---

*Articolo digitalizzato nel quadro del programma  
bdim (Biblioteca Digitale Italiana di Matematica)  
SIMAI & UMI*

<http://www.bdim.eu/>



# RENDICONTI

DELLE SEDUTE

DELLA ACCADEMIA NAZIONALE DEI LINCEI

Classe di Scienze fisiche, matematiche e naturali

*Seduta dell'8 maggio 1982*

*Presiede il Presidente della Classe GIUSEPPE MONTALENTI*

## SEZIONE I

(Matematica, meccanica, astronomia, geodesia e geofisica)

**Logica matematica.** —  $\aleph_1$ -Boolean spectrum and stability<sup>(\*)</sup>. Nota di PIERO MANGANI<sup>(\*\*)</sup> e ANNALISA MARCJA<sup>(\*\*\*)</sup>, presentata<sup>(\*\*\*\*)</sup> dal Socio G. ZAPPA.

RIASSUNTO. — Si dimostra che la conoscenza delle algebre di Boole dei definibili di modelli di cardinalità  $\aleph_1$  di una teoria elementare è sufficiente per decidere il suo tipo di stabilità.

### 0. INTRODUCTION

In [1] and [2] we studied some properties of elementary theories, using Boolean algebras of parametrically definable subsets of their models. In this paper we will show that knowing such Boolean algebras of power  $\aleph_1$  is sufficient to give information about stability of a theory (see Theorem 3.1).

In the following  $T$  will be a complete, quantifier eliminable theory in a countable language.  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}', \mathcal{N}, \dots$  will always denote models of  $T$  and the domains of these models will be denoted by  $M, M', N, \dots$ . If  $A \subseteq M$ , the expanded structure  $(\mathcal{M}, a)_{a \in A}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_A$  and its language by

(\*) Work performed under the auspices of the Italian C.N.R. (G.N.S.A.G.A.).

(\*\*) Istituto Matematico «U. Dini» - Firenze.

(\*\*\*) Libera Università degli Studi di Trento - Dipartimento di Matematica.

(\*\*\*\*) Nella seduta dell'8 maggio 1982.

$L(A)$ ;  $F_A^1$  will be the set of formulas of  $L(A)$  having only one free variable and by  $\mathcal{B}(A)$  will be denoted the Boolean algebra obtained by  $F_A^1$ , modulo the equivalence relation:  $\phi, \psi \in F_A^1, \phi \sim_A \psi$  if and only if  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}_A) \models \forall v (\phi(v) \leftrightarrow \psi(v))$ . The Stone space of  $\mathcal{B}(A)$  will be denoted, as usual, by  $S(A)$ .

## 1. $\aleph_1$ -BOOLEAN SPECTRUM OF A THEORY

Let  $k$  be an infinite cardinal.

DEFINITION 1.1. We call  $k$ -Boolean spectrum of  $T$  ( $\text{Spec}_k(T)$ ) the set of isomorphism types of  $\mathcal{B}(M)$ , where  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  and  $|M| = k$ .

Obviously  $1 \leq |\text{Spec}_k(T)| \leq 2^k$ . The following theorem relates power of  $\text{Spec}_k(T)$  ( $k \geq \aleph_1$ ) with the categoricity of  $T$ .

THEOREM 1.2. Let  $k \geq \aleph_1$ .  $|\text{Spec}_k(T)| = 1$  if and only if  $T$  is  $k$ -categorical.

*Proof.*:  $\leftarrow$  obvious.

$\rightarrow$  In [1] we proved the theorem (Thm. 3.7) under the hypotheses of  $\omega$ -stability of  $T$ . But the hypothesis that  $|\text{Spec}_k(T)| = 1$  implies  $\omega$ -stability of  $T$ , as remarked by D. Lascar and J. Baldwin (private communications). In fact it is easy to verify that types on  $A$ ,  $A \subseteq M$ , realized by  $\mathcal{M}$ , correspond to equivalence classes on the set of atoms of  $\mathcal{B}(M)$  ( $\text{At}(\mathcal{B}(M))$ ), modulo the relation  $m \equiv_A m_1$  if and only if for every  $a \in \mathcal{B}(A)$ ,  $m < a$  if and only if  $m_1 < a$ . As in [3] thm. 3.7 page 527, we can prove that for every infinite cardinal  $k$ , there exists  $\mathcal{M} \models T$ ,  $|M| = k$  such that for every  $A \subseteq M$ ,  $|A| \leq \aleph_0$ , there exist at most countably many classes modulo  $A$  in  $\text{At}(\mathcal{B}(M))$ . If  $T$  is not  $\omega$ -stable, there exists a model  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $|N| = k$ , having uncountably many classes  $\equiv_N$  in  $\text{At}(\mathcal{B}(N))$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{B}(M)$  cannot be isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}(N)$ .

## 2. TREES IN A BOOLEAN ALGEBRA

DEFINITION 2.1. A subset  $\mathcal{C}$  of a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is said a tree if the following conditions hold true:

- 1)  $0 \notin \mathcal{C}$  ,  $1 \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- 2) For every  $x \in \mathcal{C}$  the set  $\hat{x} = \{y \in \mathcal{C} : y <^* x\}$  is well ordered.  
( $<^*$  denotes the reverse order of  $\mathcal{B}$ ).

The order type of  $x$  is said the *order* of  $x$  and is denoted by  $o(x)$ . A *branch* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a maximal linearly ordered subset of  $\mathcal{C}$ . The *length* of a branch  $X$  is defined as  $\sup \{o(x) : x \in X\}$ .  $\alpha$ -*level* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is the set  $U_\alpha$  of the elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ , having order  $\alpha$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. *If  $k$  is a cardinal and  $\alpha$  an ordinal,  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $(k, \alpha)$ -tree if and only if every branch of  $\mathcal{T}$  has length  $\alpha$  and every element of  $\mathcal{T}$  has exactly  $k$  pairwise disjoint immediate successors.*

If the theory  $T$  has a model  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$  contains a tree  $\mathcal{T}$ , we shortly say that  $T$  contains  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Let  $\mu_0 = \inf \{\mu \in \text{card} : 2^\mu > 2^{\aleph_0}\}$  and  $k_0 = \inf \{k \in \text{card} : k > 2^{\aleph_0} \text{ and } k^{\aleph_0} > k\}$  (Observe that  $\aleph_1 \leq \mu_0 \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ ).

LEMMA 2.3. (a)  $T$  contains a  $(2, \mu_0)$ -tree if and only if  $T$  contains a  $(2, \omega_1)$ -tree. (b)  $T$  contains a  $(k_0, \omega)$ -tree if and only if  $T$  contains a  $(\aleph_1, \omega)$ -tree.

*Proof:* (a)  $\rightarrow$  trivial

$\leftarrow$  Remember that the language is countable; then there is a formula such that infinite instances of it occur in almost all branches. Hence the result follows by compactness theorem (details are omitted).

(b)  $\rightarrow$  trivial

$\leftarrow$  In every  $\alpha$ -level, infinite instance of the same formula  $\phi_\alpha$  occur. Again, the result follows by compactness theorem.

### 3. CONDITIONS FOR STABILITY

THEOREM 3.1. (a)  $T$  is stable if and only if for every  $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Spec}_{\aleph_1}(T)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  does not contain a  $(2, \omega_1)$ -tree. (b)  $T$  is superstable if and only if for every  $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Spec}_{\aleph_1}(T)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  does not contain either a  $(2, \omega_1)$ -tree, or a  $(\aleph_1, \omega)$ -tree. (c)  $T$  is  $\omega$ -stable if and only if for every  $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Spec}_{\aleph_1}(T)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  does not contain a  $(2, \omega)$ -tree, if and only if every  $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Spec}_{\aleph_1}(T)$  is superatomic, if and only if every  $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Spec}_{\aleph_0}(T)$  is superatomic.

*Proof:* (a)  $\rightarrow$ . If some  $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Spec}_{\aleph_1}(T)$  contains a  $(2, \omega_1)$ -tree,  $T$  contains a  $(2, \mu_0)$ -tree, by Lemma 2.3. Then the structure  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by parameters occurring in the tree is such that  $|A| \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$  and  $|S(A)| = 2^{\mu_0} > 2^{\aleph_0}$ , contradicting the stability of  $T$  (remember that  $T$  is stable if and only if it is  $2^{\aleph_0}$  stable).

$\leftarrow$  If  $T$  is unstable, it follows that there is an unstable formula  $\phi$  ([4] Thm. 2.13, page 36). By the "unstable formula theorem" ([4] Thm. 2.2, page 30) the set  $\Gamma(\phi, \alpha)$  is consistent for every ordinal  $\alpha$  and hence  $T$  contains a  $(2, \omega_1)$ -tree.

(b)  $\rightarrow$  If  $T$  is superstable, then  $T$  does not contain a  $(2, \omega_1)$ -tree by (a); furthermore if  $T$  contains a  $(\aleph_1, \omega)$ -tree,  $T$  contains a  $(k_0, \omega)$ -tree by lemma 2.3 (b). Then the structure  $A$  generated by parameters occurring in the tree is

such that  $|A| \leq k_0$  and  $|S(A)| = k_0^{\aleph_0} > k_0$ , contradicting the superstability of  $T$  (remember that  $T$  is superstable if and only if it is  $k_0$ -stable).

← It follows that  $T$  is stable. If  $T$  is not superstable, then  $T$  contains a  $(\aleph_1, \omega)$ -tree by Theorems 3.9 (page 46) and 3.14 (page 53) of Shelah [4].

(c) see [1], remembering that a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is superatomic if and only if it does not contain any  $(2, \omega)$ -tree.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. MANGANI and A. MARCJA (1980) - *Shelah rank for boolean algebras and some application to elementary theories I* « *Algeb. Univ.* », 10, 247-257.
- [2] A. MARCJA (1982) - *An algebraic approach to superstability.* « *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* », Serie VI 1-A (1) 71-76.
- [3] M. MORLEY (1965) - *Categoricity in power.* « *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* », 114, 514-538.
- [4] S. SHELAH (1978) - *Classification theory and the number of non isomorphic models.* North Holland, Amsterdam.