

# RENDICONTI LINCEI

## MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

---

CARLO PETRONIO

### Holomorphic automorphism groups in certain compact operator spaces

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 1 (1990), n.2, p. 125–130.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN\\_1990\\_9\\_1\\_2\\_125\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_1990_9_1_2_125_0)

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1990.

**Geometria.** — *Holomorphic automorphism groups in certain compact operator spaces.* Nota di CARLO PETRONIO, presentata (\*) dal Socio E. VESENTINI.

ABSTRACT. — A class of Banach spaces of compact operators in Hilbert spaces is introduced, and the holomorphic automorphism groups of the unit balls of these spaces are investigated.

KEY WORDS: Compact operator; Unit ball; Isometry; Non-homogeneity.

RIASSUNTO. — *Gruppi di automorfismi ologomorfi in certi spazi di operatori compatti.* Viene introdotta una classe di spazi di Banach di operatori compatti tra spazi di Hilbert e viene indagato il gruppo degli automorfismi ologomorfi delle palle unitarie corrispondenti.

Let  $H$  and  $K$  be complex Hilbert spaces. We denote by  $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$  the complex Banach space of all bounded linear operators from  $H$  to  $K$  and by  $\mathcal{L}_0(H, K)$  the subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$  consisting of compact operators. We write  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_0(H)$  instead of  $\mathcal{L}(H, H)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_0(H, H)$ .

A theory of normed ideals in  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  was first sistematically introduced by Schatten in [8] and [9], leading to the definition of a class of subspaces  $\mathcal{L}_p(H)$  of  $\mathcal{L}_0(H)$  (for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), which are Banach spaces with respect to a suitable norm. In the first section of this paper this definition will be slightly generalized, introducing a class of subspaces of  $\mathcal{L}_0(H, K)$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_p(H, K)$  (for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). In sections, 2, 3 and 4 we examine the holomorphic automorphism group of the unit ball of these spaces. Our main result can be considered as an operator analogue of the theorem proved by Vesentini in [11] and [12] about the total non-homogeneity of the unit ball of an  $L^p$  space (provided  $p \neq 2, \infty$  and the space is not isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}$ ).

1. The inner product and the norm will be denoted respectively by  $(\cdot | \cdot)$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  in both  $H$  and  $K$ ; the inner product will be linear in the first argument and anti-linear in the second. If  $\phi \in H$  and  $\psi \in K$  we define an operator  $\psi \otimes \bar{\phi} \in \mathcal{F}(H, K)$  (the space of finite-rank operators) by  $(\psi \otimes \bar{\phi})(\phi_1) = (\phi_1 | \phi) \cdot \psi$ .

As is well-known (see e.g. [3, pp. 68-69]), every  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$  has a unique polar decomposition  $T = U[T]$ , where  $U \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$  is a partial isometry,  $\text{Ker}(U) = \text{Ker}(T)$  and  $[T] \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  is the unique positive square root of the positive hermitian operator  $T^*T$ .

Suppose now  $T$  is compact; since  $[T] = U^*T$ ,  $[T]$  is compact too, and therefore it is diagonalizable (see e.g. [3, pp. 86-87]): if we denote by  $\{\mu_n(T)\}$  the sequence of all non-zero eigenvalues of  $[T]$  repeated according to their geometric multiplicity and arranged in a non-increasing way, there exists an orthonormal sequence  $\{\phi_n\} \subset H$  such that

$$[T] = \sum_n \mu_n(T) \phi_n \otimes \bar{\phi}_n$$

(\*) Nella seduta del 18 novembre 1989.

for the norm-convergence (of course sequences are allowed to be finite). Since all the  $\phi_n$ 's belong to the initial space of  $U$ , the sequence  $\{U\phi_n\}$  is orthonormal too. It follows that  $T$  can be written in the so-called «canonical form»:

$$T = \sum_n \mu_n(T) \psi_n \otimes \bar{\phi}_n$$

where  $\{\psi_n\} \subset K$  is an orthonormal sequence.

Now, for  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$  we define  $\|T\|_p \in [0, \infty]$  by

$$\|T\|_p = \begin{cases} \left(\sum \mu_n(T)^p\right)^{1/p} & \text{if } T \in \mathcal{L}_0(H, K), \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and we define  $\mathcal{L}_p(H, K) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K) : \|T\|_p < \infty\}$ . It is easily verified that  $\mathcal{L}_p(H, K) \supseteq \mathcal{F}(H, K)$ .

The proof of the following theorem imitates closely the argument given *e.g.* in [6] when  $K = H$  (cf. [7] for details):

**THEOREM 1.** For  $1 \leq p < \infty$  the natural linear structure and the map  $\|\cdot\|_p$  define on  $\mathcal{L}_p(H, K)$  a complex Banach space structure (a complex Hilbert space structure for  $p = 2$ ).

The introduction of these spaces is strongly related with the problem of defining a trace in the infinite-dimensional case. We briefly mention an equivalent definition of  $\|\cdot\|_p$  which exploits this concept (for all the proofs we refer to [7]).

**PROPOSITION 1.** If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ ,  $T \geq 0$  and  $\{\phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H$ , the (finite or infinite) sum of the positive-term series

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} (T\phi_\alpha | \phi_\alpha)$$

is independent of the choice of the basis. This sum will be indicated by  $\text{tr}(T)$  and called the trace of  $T$ .

Now let  $T \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ ; since  $[T] \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  is a positive operator, it can be raised to any positive real power, and the outcome is a positive operator again. We can then state the following:

**PROPOSITION 2.**  $\|T\|_p = (\text{tr}([T]^p))^{1/p}$ .

The proof of completeness in Theorem 1 is achieved in [7] by means of another result which has an independent interest. We begin with:

**PROPOSITION 3.** If  $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(H)$  and  $\{\phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $H$ , the series

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} (T\phi_\alpha | \phi_\alpha)$$

is absolutely convergent and its sum is independent of the choice of the basis. Once again this sum will be called the trace of  $T$ .

According to this proposition,  $\mathcal{L}_1(H)$  is often called the «trace class on  $H$ ».

**THEOREM 2.** If one of the following hypothesis holds:

- a)  $T \in \mathcal{L}_0(H, K)$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{L}_1(K, H)$ , b)  $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(H, K)$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{L}(K, H)$ ,

$$c) T \in \mathcal{L}_p(H, K), S \in \mathcal{L}_q(K, H) \quad (1 < p, q < \infty, 1/q + 1/p = 1)$$

then  $TS \in \mathcal{L}_1(K)$ ,  $ST \in \mathcal{L}_1(H)$  and  $\text{tr}(TS) = \text{tr}(ST)$ .

The following isometric isomorphisms hold:

$$a) \mathcal{L}_0(H, K)^* \cong \mathcal{L}_1(K, H), \quad b) \mathcal{L}_1(H, K)^* \cong \mathcal{L}(K, H), \quad c) \mathcal{L}_p(H, K)^* \cong \mathcal{L}_q(K, H)$$

the action of an operator  $S$  being defined in any case by  $S: T \mapsto \text{tr}(TS)$ .

**2.** We investigate now the group of all holomorphic automorphisms of the open unit ball of the spaces  $\mathcal{L}_p(H, K)$  for  $p = 0$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

We begin with  $\mathcal{L}_0(H, K)$ ; since it is a norm-closed ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ , it is in particular a  $J^*$ -algebra (see [4]) and therefore its unit ball is homogeneous: as Harris showed in [4] the group of all Möbius transformations operates transitively on it. Hence we only have to determine the isotropy group of the origin, *i.e.* the group of all linear isometries of  $\mathcal{L}_0(H, K)$  onto itself.

We will denote the group of all linear isometries of a normed space  $F$  onto itself by  $\mathfrak{I}(F)$ . In [1] Franzoni proved the following:

**THEOREM.** 1) If  $\dim_C H \neq \dim_C K$  then

$$\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{L}(H, K)) = \{T \mapsto UTV: U \in \mathfrak{I}(K), V \in \mathfrak{I}(H)\}.$$

2) If  $\tau$  is a fixed transposition on  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  then

$$\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{L}(H)) = \{T \mapsto UTV: U, V \in \mathfrak{I}(H)\} \cup \{T \mapsto U\tau(T)V: U, V \in \mathfrak{I}(H)\}.$$

Since two Hilbert spaces having the same complex dimension can be regarded as identical, the above theorem determines  $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{L}(H, K))$  in every case. We can now prove the following:

**THEOREM 3.** Every element of  $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{L}_0(H, K))$  is the restriction of an element of  $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{L}(H, K))$ , and conversely.

**PROOF.** Since it can be verified directly from Franzoni's theorem that  $T \in \mathcal{L}_0(H, K)$ ,  $j \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{L}(H, K)) \Rightarrow j(T) \in \mathcal{L}_0(H, K)$ , we only have to prove that every element of  $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{L}_0(H, K))$  can be extended to an element of  $\mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{L}(H, K))$ . It follows from theorem 2 that the natural inclusion  $\mathcal{L}_0(H, K) \subset \mathcal{L}(H, K)$  is the inclusion of a Banach space into its bi-dual space; given  $j \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{L}_0(H, K))$  we have  $j^{**} \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathcal{L}(H, K))$ ,  $j = j^{**}|_{\mathcal{L}_0(H, K)}$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**3.** In this section we prove the main result of the present paper, *i.e.* the total non-homogeneity of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}_p(H, K)$  provided this space is not a Hilbert space.

The essential tool for this result is the following theorem proved by Stachó in [10] as a consequence of the general theory of bounded circular domains, first developed by Kaup and Upmeyer in [5]. For a Banach space  $F$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_s^2(F)$  denotes the space of continuous bi-linear symmetric functions from  $F \times F$  to  $F$ .

**THEOREM.** Let  $F$  be a complex Banach space and  $B$  its open unit ball. There exists a closed linear subspace  $F_0$  of  $F$  such that  $(\text{Aut}(B))(0) = F_0 \cap B$ . Moreover, given  $c \in F$ ,

we have  $c \in F_0$  if and only if there exists  $Q \in \mathcal{L}_s^2(F)$  such that  $\lambda(Q(a, a)) = \|a\|^2 \cdot \overline{\lambda(c)}$  whenever  $a \in F$ ,  $\lambda \in F^*$  and  $\lambda(a) = \|a\| \cdot \|\lambda\|$ .

Before stating our theorem we remark that  $\mathcal{L}_p(H, K)$  is a Hilbert space if and only if one of the following conditions holds: a)  $p = 2$ ; b)  $\dim_C H = 1$ ; c)  $\dim_C K = 1$ .

**THEOREM 4.** Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$  and  $H$  and  $K$  are at least 2-dimensional. Every holomorphic automorphism of the unit ball of  $\mathcal{L}_p(H, K)$  fixes the origin.

**PROOF.** We must show that  $\mathcal{L}_p(H, K)_0 = \{0\}$ . Equivalently, given  $c \in \mathcal{L}_p(H, K)$  and  $Q \in \mathcal{L}_s^2(\mathcal{L}_p(H, K))$  such that  $\lambda(Q(a, a)) = \|a\|^2 \cdot \overline{\lambda(c)} \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{L}_p(H, K)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}_p(H, K)^*$  with  $\lambda(a) = \|a\| \cdot \|\lambda\|$ , we must check that  $c = 0$ .

In order to prove that  $c = 0$  it is enough to show that  $(c\phi_1 | \psi_1) = 0$  for a pair of arbitrary unit vectors  $\phi_1 \in H$  and  $\psi_1 \in K$ .

We remark that  $\phi_1 \otimes \overline{\psi_1} \in \mathcal{F}(K, H) \subset \mathcal{L}_p(H, K)^*$  and moreover

$$(\phi_1 \otimes \overline{\psi_1})(c) = \text{tr}(c \cdot \phi_1 \otimes \overline{\psi_1}) = \text{tr}((c\phi_1) \otimes \overline{\psi_1}) = (c\phi_1 | \psi_1).$$

Let  $\phi_2 \in H$  and  $\psi_2 \in K$  be unit vectors respectively orthogonal to  $\phi_1$  and  $\psi_1$  and for  $\rho > 0$  and  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$  let  $a = \psi_1 \otimes \overline{\phi_1} + \rho e^{i\theta} \psi_2 \otimes \overline{\phi_2} \in \mathcal{F}(H, K) \subset \mathcal{L}_p(H, K)$ ,  $\lambda = \phi_1 \otimes \overline{\psi_1} + \rho^{p-1} e^{-i\theta} \phi_2 \otimes \overline{\psi_2} \in \mathcal{F}(K, H) \subset \mathcal{L}_p(H, K)^*$ . We show first that  $\lambda(a) = \|a\| \cdot \|\lambda\|$ ; in fact, if  $p = 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_1(H, K)^* \cong \mathcal{L}(K, H)$  and  $\|a\|_1 = 1 + \rho$ ,  $\|\lambda\| = 1$ ,  $\lambda(a) = 1 + \rho$  while, if  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_p(H, K)^* \cong \mathcal{L}_q(K, H)$  and  $\|a\|_p = (1 + \rho^p)^{1/p}$ ,  $\|\lambda\|_q = (1 + \rho^{q(p-1)})^{1/q} = (1 + \rho^p)^{1-1/p}$ ,  $\lambda(a) = 1 + \rho^p$ . It follows that  $\lambda(Q(a, a)) = \|a\|^2 \cdot \overline{\lambda(c)}$ , which, setting  $\gamma_j = (\phi_j \otimes \overline{\psi_j})(c)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ),  $\beta_{jk}^l = (\phi_l \otimes \overline{\psi_l})(Q(\psi_j \otimes \overline{\phi_j}, \psi_k \otimes \overline{\phi_k}))$  ( $j, k, l = 1, 2$ ), can be re-written as  $(\rho^2 \beta_{22}^1) e^{2i\theta} + (2\rho \beta_{21}^1 + \rho^{p-1} \beta_{22}^2 - (1 + \rho)^{2/p} \rho^{p-1} \overline{\gamma_2}) e^{i\theta} + (\beta_{11}^1 + 2\rho^p \beta_{12}^2 - (1 + \rho)^{2/p} \overline{\gamma_1}) + (\rho^{p-1} \beta_{11}^2) e^{-i\theta} = 0$ . This identity holds for all  $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$  (remark that the numbers  $\gamma_j$  and  $\beta_{jk}^l$  are independent of  $\theta$  and  $\rho$ ), hence all the coefficients of the powers of  $e^{i\theta}$  are 0; in particular

$$\beta_{11}^1 + 2\rho^p \beta_{12}^2 - (1 + \rho)^{2/p} \overline{\gamma_1} = 0 \quad \forall \rho > 0.$$

Dividing by  $\rho^2$  and passing to the limit as  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} 2\beta_{12}^2 \rho^{p-2}$$

exists and equals  $\overline{\gamma_1}$ . Since  $p \neq 2$  this limit must vanish. Hence  $\gamma_1 = 0$ , i.e.  $(c\phi_1 | \psi_1) = 0$  and the proof is complete.  $\square$

**4.** Since  $\mathcal{L}_2(H, K)$  is a Hilbert space, its open unit ball is homogeneous (see e.g. [2]) and the isotropy group of the origin consists of all unitary operators. These operators can be explicitly constructed as soon as an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{L}_2(H, K)$  is exhibited.

Since for  $T, S \in \mathcal{L}_2(H, K)$  we have  $(T|S) = \text{tr}(S^*T)$ , we can easily prove the following:

**PROPOSITION 4.** If  $\{\phi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  and  $\{\psi_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$  are orthonormal bases of  $H$  and  $K$  respectively,

$$\{\psi_\beta \otimes \overline{\phi_\alpha}\}_{\substack{\alpha \in A \\ \beta \in B}}$$

is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{L}_2(H, K)$ .

We conclude with the description of  $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{L}_1(H, K))$ .

**THEOREM 5.** Every element of  $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{L}_1(H, K))$  is the restriction of an element of  $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{L}(H, K))$ , and conversely.

**PROOF.** Every  $j \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{L}(H, K))$  can be written in one of the following forms:

$$a) j: T \mapsto UTV; \quad b) j: T \mapsto U\tau(T)V.$$

Thus, for  $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(H, K)$  we have respectively:

$$a) \|j(T)\|_1 = \text{tr}([j(T)]) = \text{tr}(((UTV)^*(UTV))^{1/2}) = \\ = \text{tr}((V^*T^*TV)^{1/2}) = \text{tr}(V^*(T^*T)^{1/2}V) = \text{tr}([T]) = \|T\|_1.$$

$$b) \|j(T)\|_1 = \|\tau(T)\|_1 = \|T\|_1.$$

It follows that the restriction of  $j$  defines an element of  $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{L}_1(H, K))$ .

Conversely, suppose  $j \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{L}_1(H, K))$ .

Since  $\mathcal{L}_1(H, K)^* \cong \mathcal{L}(K, H)$ ,  $j^*$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{L}(K, H))$ , and therefore it has one of the following forms:

$$a) j^*: S \mapsto USV; \quad b) j^*: S \mapsto U\tau(S)V.$$

For  $T \in \mathcal{L}_1(H, K)$ ,  $S \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$  we have respectively:

$$a) S(j(T)) = (j^*(S))(T) = \text{tr}(j^*(S) \cdot T) = \text{tr}(USVT) = \text{tr}(SVTU) = S(VTU).$$

$$b) S(j(T)) = \text{tr}(U\tau(S)VT) = \text{tr}(\tau(T)\tau(V)S\tau(U)) = \\ = \text{tr}(S\tau(U)\tau(T)\tau(V)) = S(\tau(U)\tau(T)\tau(V)).$$

It follows that, respectively,  $a) j: T \mapsto VTU$ ;  $b) j: T \mapsto \tau(U)\tau(T)\tau(V)$ ,

thus  $j$  is the restriction of an element of  $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{L}(H, K))$  (remark that  $\tau(U)$  and  $\tau(V)$  are unitary operators).  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express his sincere acknowledgement to Prof. E. Vesentini who suggested the idea of writing this note and who introduced the author to the fascinating field of infinite-dimensional holomorphy.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. FRANZONI, *The group of holomorphic automorphisms in certain  $J^*$ -algebras*. Ann. di Mat. Pura e Appl., (IV), 127, 1981, 51-66.
- [2] T. FRANZONI - E. VESENTINI, *Holomorphic Maps and Invariant Distances*. North-Holland Math. Studies, 69, 1980.
- [3] P. R. HALMOS, *A Hilbert space problem book*. Van Nostrand Company Inc., 1967.
- [4] L. A. HARRIS, *Bounded symmetric homogeneous domains in infinite dimensional spaces*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 364, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer 1973, 13-40.
- [5] W. KAUP - H. UPMEIER, *Banach spaces with biholomorphically equivalent unit balls are isomorphic*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 58, 1976, 129-133.

- [6] C. A. MCCARTHY,  $c_p$ . Israel J. Math., 5, 1967, 249-271.
- [7] C. PETRONIO, *Variazioni su un tema di Thullen: domini limitati non omogenei in spazi di Banach*. (Tesi di laurea) Università degli Studi di Pisa, A.A. 1988/89.
- [8] R. SCHATTEN, *A theory of cross-spaces*. Princeton University Press, 1950.
- [9] R. SCHATTEN, *Norm ideals of completely continuous operators*. *Ergebn. der Math.*, 27, Springer-Verlag, 1960
- [10] L. L. STACHÒ, *A short proof of the fact that biholomorphic automorphisms of the unit ball in certain  $L^p$  spaces are linear*. *Acta Sci. Math.*, 41, 1979, 381-383.
- [11] E. VESENTINI, *Automorphisms of the unit ball*. In: *Several Complex Variables*. Cortona, 1976/77. Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa 1978, 282-284.
- [12] E. VESENTINI, *Variations on a theme of Carathéodory*. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, (4), 6, 1979, 39-68.

Scuola Normale Superiore  
Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7 - 56100 PISA