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Equazioni a derivate parziali. - Variational inequalities and rearrangements. Nota di Angelo Alvino, Silvano Matarasso e Guido Trombetti, presentata (*) dal Socio E. Magenes.

Abstract. - We give comparison results for solutions of variational inequalities, related to general elliptic second order operators, involving solutions of symmetrized problems, using Schwarz spherical symmetrization.

Key words: Schwarz symmetrization; Comparison results; Variational elliptic inequalities.

Riassunto. - Disequazioni variazionali e riordinamenti. Si danno risultati di confronto per soluzioni di disequazioni variazionali, relative ad operatori ellittici del secondo ordine, riconducendosi a un problema a simmetria radiale con l'ausilio della simmetrizzazione di Schwarz.

## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be a second order differential operator defined by

$$
A u=-\left(a_{i j}(x) u_{x_{i}}\right)_{x_{j}}+\left(b_{i}(x) u\right)_{x_{i}}+d_{i}(x) u_{x_{i}}+c_{0}(x) u,
$$

where we use the standard convention on repeated subscripts. The coefficients belong to $L^{\infty}(\Omega)\left(\Omega=\right.$ open bounded subset of $\left.\mathbf{R}^{N}\right)$ and satisfy the following conditions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{i j}(x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j} \geqslant|\xi|^{2} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}^{N},  \tag{1}\\
\sum_{i}\left|b_{i}(x)+d_{i}(x)\right|^{2} \leqslant R^{2} \quad R \geqslant 0,  \tag{2}\\
\left(b_{i}(x)\right)_{x_{i}}+c_{0}(x) \geqslant c(x) \quad \text { on } \quad \sigma^{\prime}(\Omega), \quad c(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) . \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ a solution of the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(u, v-u) \geqslant \int_{\Omega} f(v-u) \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \quad u, v \geqslant 0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $a(.,$.$) is the bilinear form$

$$
a(\phi, \psi)=\int_{\Omega} a_{i j} \phi_{x_{i}} \psi_{x_{j}}-\int_{\Omega} b_{i} \phi \psi_{x_{i}}+\int_{\Omega} d_{i} \phi_{x_{i}} \psi+\int_{\Omega} c_{0} \phi \psi .
$$

Besides we consider the following symmetrized operator ( $\Delta=$ laplacian)

$$
A^{\#} U=-\Delta U+R|x|^{-1} x_{i} U_{x_{i}}+c_{\#}(x) U
$$

(*) Nella seduta del 9 maggio 1992.
and the related variational inequality $\left(\forall V \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega^{\#}\right), U, V \geqslant 0\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& a^{\#}(U, V-U)=  \tag{5}\\
& \quad=\int_{\Omega^{\#}}\left\{U_{x_{i}}(V-U)_{x_{i}}+\frac{R}{|x|} x_{i} U_{x_{i}}(V-U)+c_{\#} U(V-U)\right\} \geqslant \int_{\Omega^{*}} f^{\#}(V-U),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Omega^{\#}$ is the ball of $\mathbf{R}^{N}$ centered in $O$, such that $\left|\Omega^{\#}\right|=|\Omega|=$ meas $\Omega, f^{\#}(x)$ is the spherical decreasing rearrangement of $f, c_{\#}(x)$ is the spherical increasing rearrangement of $c$.

We assume that (5) has an unique spherical decreasing solution $U$; then we can «compare» the solution $u$ of (4) with the solution $U$ of the simpler problem (5). To be more specific, if $u^{*}, U^{*}$ denote the decreasing rearrangements of $u, U$ respectively, we get in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{s} \exp \left(-R C_{N}^{-1 / N} \sigma^{1 / N}\right) u^{*}(\sigma) d \sigma \leqslant \int_{0}^{s} \exp \left(-R C_{N}^{-1 / N} \sigma^{1 / N}\right) U^{*}(\sigma) d \sigma, \quad s \in[0,|\Omega|], \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{N}$ is the measure of the unit $N$-ball. This comparison result provides optimal bounds for norms of the solution $u$ of variational inequality (4) in terms of similar norms of the solution $U$ of problem (5); in particular (6) implies

$$
\sup _{x \in \Omega} u(x)=u^{*}(0) \leqslant U^{*}(0)=\sup _{x \in \Omega^{*}} U(x) .
$$

We point out that, if $R=0$, (6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{s} u^{*} \leqslant \int_{0}^{s} U^{*}, \quad \forall s \in[0,|\Omega|] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

that implies (see [2] for example)

$$
\int_{\Omega} F(u) \leqslant \int_{\Omega^{*}} F(U)
$$

for all convex, nonnegative, Lipschitz continuous function $F$ such that $F(0)=0$. Moreover the stronger inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*}(s) \leqslant U^{*}(s) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be established when $0 \leqslant s \leqslant|\{x \in \Omega: c(x) \leqslant 0\}|$. Therefore if $c \leqslant 0$, from (8) we can derive an optimal lower bound for the coincidence set of $u$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u=0| \geqslant|U=0| \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $c=0,|U=0|$ can be evaluated: $|U=0|=|\Omega|-\bar{s}$, where $\bar{s}$ is the unique solution of the equation in $s$

$$
\int_{0}^{s} \exp \left(-R C_{N}^{-1 / N} \sigma^{1 / N}\right) f^{*}(\sigma) d \sigma=0
$$

As usual the procedure for obtaining comparison results as (6), (7) or (8) can be split into two steps. At first, integrating on the level sets of the solution $u$ to (4) an ordinary integro-differential inequality satisfied by the rearrangement $u^{*}$ of $u$ rises. The princi-
pal tools we use at this stage are the isoperimetric inequality [12], a coarea formula [16], Hardy inequality on rearrangements and so on. Then we handle the integrodifferential inequality in such a way to derive, via a maximum principle, the desired estimations.

This method was firstly developed by Talenti [25] who studied an elliptic equation without lower order terms; afterwords the method has been fitted to more general cases: see Alvino-Trombetti [5-7], Bandle [8], Chiti [11], P. L. Lions [22], Talenti [26], Alvino-Lions-Trombetti [1, 3, 4], Ferone-Posteraro [15], GiarrussoTrombetti [17], Trombetti-Vasquez [27].

Finally we mention the papers of Bandle-Mossino [9], Maderna-Salsa [23] who earlier established comparison results for solutions to variational inequalities. For variational parabolic inequalities see also Diaz-Mossino [14].

## 2. Preliminary results

If $\phi \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ we write $|\phi>t|:=|\{x \in \Omega: \phi(x)>t\}|, t \in \mathbf{R}$; then we set $\mu_{\phi}(t)=$ $=|\phi>t| t \in \mathbf{R}$ (distribution function of $\phi$ ), $\phi^{*}(s)=\sup \left\{t \mid \mu_{\dot{\zeta}}(t) \geqslant s\right\} s \in[0,|\Omega|]$ (decreasing rearrangement of $\phi$ ), $\phi_{*}(s)=\phi^{*}(|\Omega|-s) s \in[0,|\Omega|]$ (increasing rearrangement of $\phi$ ), $\phi^{\#}(x)=\phi^{*}\left(C_{N}|x|^{N}\right), x \in \Omega^{\#}$ (spherical symmetric decreasing rearrangement of $\phi$ ), $\phi_{\#}(x)=\phi_{*}\left(C_{N}|x|^{N}\right), x \in \Omega^{\#}$ (spherical symmetric increasing rearrangement of $\phi$ ). If $\phi=\phi^{+}-\phi^{-}$, where $\phi^{+}, \phi^{-}$are the positive and negative part of $\phi$, we have $\phi^{*}=\phi^{+*}-\phi_{*}^{-}$and $\phi_{*}=\phi_{*}^{+}-\phi^{-*}$. The distribution function $\mu_{\dot{\psi}}(t)$ maps the interval ]ess.inf $\phi$, ess.sup $\phi[$ into $] 0,|\Omega|\left[\right.$. If $\mu_{\hat{\zeta}}$ is strictly decreasing and continuous, $\phi^{*}$ is the inverse function of $\mu_{\dot{\gamma}}$; generally $\phi^{*}$ is the smallest decreasing function from [ $0,|\Omega|]$ such that $\phi^{*}\left(\mu_{\dot{\phi}}(t)\right) \geqslant t$ for every $t \in \mathbf{R}$. A basic property of $\phi^{*}$, as well as of any other type of rearrangement, is that $\phi^{*}$ and $\phi$ have the same distribution function. Consequently

$$
\int_{\Omega} F(\phi)=-\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(t) d \mu_{\dot{\phi}}(t)=\int_{\Omega^{*}} F\left(\phi^{\#}\right)=\int_{0}^{|\Omega|} F\left(\phi^{*}\right),
$$

whenever $F$ is non negative and convex; in particular we have

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p}}=\left\|u^{\#}\right\|_{L^{p}}=\left\|u^{*}\right\|_{L^{p}} \quad \forall p \in[1,+\infty] .
$$

For the main properties of rearrangements we refer to [2, 8, 13, 18, 19, 24, 26]. We just recall two results we will employ later on.

Lemma 2.1 (see [18]). If $f, g$ are measurable functions on $\Omega$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{|\Omega|} f^{*} g_{*} \leqslant \int_{\Omega} f g \leqslant \int_{0}^{|\Omega|} f^{*} g^{*} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(10) is known as Hardy inequality.

Lemma 2.2 If

$$
\int_{a}^{s} \phi \leqslant \int_{a}^{s} \psi, \quad \text { on } \quad[a, b]
$$

and $b \geqslant 0$ is a decreasing function on $[a, b]$ then

$$
\int_{a}^{s} \phi b \leqslant \int_{a}^{s} \psi b, \quad \text { on } \quad[a, b] .
$$

For simplicity we use the following notations

$$
\begin{gathered}
p(s):=\left(N C_{N}^{1 / N}\right)^{-2} s^{2 / N-2}, \quad e(s):=\exp \left(R C_{N}^{-1 / N} s^{1 / N}\right), \quad \beta(s)=e(s) p(s) \\
F_{u}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} e^{-1}\left(f^{*}-c_{*} u^{*}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The following Theorem provides the basic inequality in the subsequent developments.

Theorem 2.1. Let $u$ be solution of (4); then (a.e. on $[0,|u>0|]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
-d u^{*} / d s \leqslant \beta(s) F_{u}(s) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof we could refer to [3]; however for the sake of completeness we give a sketch of it. Consider the functions

$$
\phi_{b}(x):= \begin{cases}b & t+b<u(x) \\ u(x)-t & t<u(x) \leqslant t+b, \\ 0 & u(x) \leqslant t\end{cases}
$$

with $b \geqslant 0, t \in] 0$, sup $u\left[\right.$. We have $u \pm \phi_{b} \geqslant 0$ so we can replace the test function $v$ in (4) by the functions $u \pm \phi_{b}$; we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{b} a\left(u, \phi_{b}\right)=\frac{1}{b} \int_{\Omega} f \phi_{b}
$$

By ellipticity condition (1), letting $b$ go to zero, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{u>t}|\nabla u|^{2} \leqslant-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{u>t} b_{j} u_{x_{j}} u & +\int_{u>t} b_{j} u_{x_{j}}-  \tag{12}\\
& -\int_{u>t} c_{0} u-\int_{u>t}\left(b_{j}+d_{j}\right) u_{x_{j}}+\int_{u>t} f
\end{align*}
$$

Since (see [3])

$$
-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{u>t} b_{j} u_{x_{j}} u \leqslant t \int_{u>t}\left(c_{0}-c\right)
$$

setting $\phi(x)=\max \{u(x)-t, 0\}$, by and (3) and Hardy inequality (10), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{u>t} b_{j} u_{x_{j}} u+ & \int_{u>t} b_{j} u_{x_{j}}-\int_{u>t} c_{0} u \leqslant  \tag{13}\\
& \leqslant \int_{\Omega}\left(b_{j} \phi_{x_{j}}-c_{0} \phi+c \phi\right)-\int_{u>t} c u \leqslant-\int_{u>t} c u \leqslant-\int_{0}^{u(t)} c_{*} u^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu(t)=\mu_{u}(t)$ is the distribution function of $u$. Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
N C_{N}^{1 / N} \mu(t)^{1-1 / N} \leqslant\left(-\mu^{\prime}(t)\right)^{1 / 2}\left(-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{u>t}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{u>t}\left(b_{j}+d_{j}\right) u_{x_{j}}\right| \leqslant \frac{R}{N C_{N}^{1 / N}} \int_{t}^{+\infty} \mu(s)^{-1+1 / N}\left(-\mu^{\prime}(s)\right)\left(-\frac{d}{d s} \int_{u>s}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{u>t} f \leqslant \int_{0}^{\mu(t)} f^{*} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(14) is a consequence of the isoperimetric inequality [12], Fleming-Risheal coarea formula [16], Schwarz inequality (see [25] for a complete proof); (16) can be easily deduced from (10); for (15) we refer to [3].

From (12), (13), (15), (16), we obtain
$-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{u>t}|\nabla u|^{2} \leqslant$

$$
\leqslant \frac{R}{N C_{N}^{1 / N}} \int_{t}^{+\infty} \mu(s)^{-1+1 / N}\left(-\mu^{\prime}(s)\right)\left(-\frac{d}{d s} \int_{u>t}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{\mu(t)}\left(f^{*}-c_{*} u^{*}\right)
$$

By Gronwall lemma

$$
-\frac{d}{d t} \int_{u>t}|\nabla u|^{2} \leqslant e(\mu(t)) \int_{t}^{+\infty} e^{-1}(\mu)\left\{f^{*}(\mu)-c_{*}(\mu) u^{*}(\mu)\right\}\left(-\mu^{\prime}\right) ;
$$

hence, from (14) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\mu^{\prime}(t)\right]^{-1} \leqslant \beta(\mu(t)) F_{u}(\mu(t)) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By standard arguments (see [26] for instance), (17) can be written in terms of the «inverse» function $u^{*}$ of $\mu$; then we get (11).

Remark 2.1. From (11) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{u} \geqslant 0 \quad \text { on } \quad[0,|u>0|] . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

When does (11) become an equality? A close analysis of the proof shows it happens when $A=A^{\#}$ and the problem (5) has a spherical decreasing solution $U=U^{\#}$.

This circumstance is linked to spectral properties of the operator $A^{\#}$ : we suppose (see Proposition 2.1) the operator $A^{\#}$ to satisfy conditions that imply the following property

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\#} V \geqslant 0, \quad V \geqslant 0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega^{\#} \Rightarrow V \geqslant 0 \quad \text { on } \Omega^{\#} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.1. Let us assume $c \geqslant 0$ or, if $c^{-} \not \equiv 0$, let any one of the following equivalent three conditions be satisfied
i) there exists a non negative function $H \not \equiv 0$ such that the Dirichlet problem

$$
A^{\#} Z=H, \quad Z \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega^{\#}\right)
$$

bas a non negative solution $Z$;
ii) the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\#} \varphi=\lambda c^{-\#} \varphi, \quad \varphi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega^{\#}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is positive;
iii) there exists $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega^{*}} e^{-R|x|}\left(|\nabla \varphi|^{2}+c_{\#} \varphi^{2}\right) \geqslant \alpha \int_{\Omega^{\#}} e^{-R|x|}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega^{\#}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the problem (5) has a unique solution $U=U^{\#}$. Moreover property (19) bolds.
Remark 2.2. We observe that

$$
A^{\#} Z=H \Leftrightarrow-\left(e^{-R|x|} Z_{x_{i}}\right)_{x_{i}}+c_{\#} e^{-R|x|} Z=e^{-R|x|} H ;
$$

bence the first eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ of the problem (20) is real and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\min _{\hat{\xi} \in H_{0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega^{\#}} e^{-R|x|}\left(|\nabla \phi|^{2}+c_{\#} \phi^{2}\right) d x}{\int_{\Omega^{*}} e^{-R|x|} c^{-\#} \phi^{2} d x} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.1 is proved in Appendix; now we point out that the above arguments yield the following result:

Theorem 2.2. If one of the conditions in Proposition 2.1 is verified, then
(23) $\quad-d U^{*} / d s=\beta(s) F_{U}(s)$, a.e. on $\quad[0,|U>0|]$
where $U\left(=U^{\#}\right)$ is the unique solution of (5).
Remark 2.3. From regularity results (see [10] for instance), the solution $U$ of (5) belongs to $H^{2}\left(\Omega^{\#}\right)$ and then $\left.\left.U^{*} \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(] 0,|\Omega|\right]\right)$. Consequently if $|U>0|<|\Omega|$ then $U^{* \prime}(|U>0|)=0$ and from (23) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{U}(|U>0|)=0 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.4. We bave

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}(s)<0 \quad \text { on }[|U>0|,|\Omega|] \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Namely if $|U>0| \leqslant \inf \left\{s: c_{*}(s)>0\right\}=s_{1}$, then from (24)

$$
\int_{0}^{|U>0|} e^{-1} f^{*}=\int_{0}^{|U>0|} e^{-1} c_{*} U^{*} \leqslant 0
$$

so $f^{*}$ cannot be non negative on $[0,|U>0|]$. If $|U>0|>s_{1}$, from (23) we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.-\left(\beta^{-1} U^{* \prime}\right)^{\prime}+e^{-1} c_{*} U^{*}=e^{-1} f^{*} \quad \text { on }\right] s_{1},|U>0|[ \\
U^{*}(|U>0|)=U^{* \prime}(|U>0|)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

while $e^{-1} c_{*}>0$ and $U^{*}>0$ on $] s_{1},|U>0|\left[\right.$; by maximum principle $f^{*}$ cannot be non negative on $] s_{1},|U>0|[$. Then we get (25).

Remark 2.5. If $c=0$, from the Remark 2.3, either $|U>0|=|\Omega|$ or $|U>0|$ is the unique solution of the equation in $s$

$$
F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} e^{-1} f^{*}=0
$$

If $u$ is solution of $(4)$, by $(18), F(|u>0|) \geqslant 0$, and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u>0| \leqslant|U>0| \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which the optimal bound (9) for the coincidence set of $u$.
With Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 as a starting point we can establish the following crucial inequality.

Lemma 2.3. Let u, $U$ solutions of (4), (5), respectively; assume that one of the conditions in Proposition 2.1 is fulfilled; then, if $w=u^{*}-U^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}-\beta(s) \int_{0}^{s} e^{-1} c_{*} w \geqslant 0, \quad \text { a.e. on }[0,|u>0|] . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (11) and (23) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}-\beta(s) \int_{0}^{s} e^{-1} c_{*} w \geqslant 0, \quad 0 \leqslant s \leqslant M \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=\min \{|u>0|,|U>0|\}$. If $|u>0| \leqslant|U>0|$ (27) is trivial. Otherwise we set

$$
\tilde{f}(s):= \begin{cases}f^{*}(s), & 0 \leqslant s \leqslant|U>0| \\ 0, & |U>0|<s \leqslant|u>0|\end{cases}
$$

by virtue of (24), (23) becomes

$$
-\frac{d U^{*}}{d s}=\beta(s) \int_{0}^{s} e^{-1}\left[\widetilde{f}-c_{*} U^{*}\right] \quad \text { a.e. on }[0,|u>0|] .
$$

Hence by (11)

$$
w^{\prime}-\beta(s) \int_{0}^{s} e^{-1} c * w \geqslant \begin{cases}0 & 0 \leqslant s \leqslant|U>0| \\ -\beta(s) \int_{|U>0|}^{s} e^{-1} f^{*} & |U>0|<s \leqslant|u>0|\end{cases}
$$

From Remark 2.4 we have (27).

## 3. Comparison results

As pointed out in the introduction the kind of comparison results we can derive from inequality (27) depends on the sign of the function $c(x)$. At first we consider the cases $c \equiv 0, c \geqslant 0, c \leqslant 0$; although these cases fall within a more general one (see Theorem 3.4), we prefer to give direct, simpler proofs.

When $c \equiv 0$ we have
Theorem 3.1. Let $u$ be a solution of (4) where the coefficients of operator $A$ satisfy (1), (2) and (3) with $c \equiv 0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*} \leqslant U^{*} \quad \text { on } \quad[0,|\Omega|] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can assume $f^{+} \not \equiv 0$, otherwise (29) is trivial for $u=U=0$. We have

$$
F_{u}(s)=F_{U}(s)=F(s)=\int_{0}^{s} e^{-1} f^{*} ;
$$

from (11), (26), (23) we get

$$
u^{*}(s) \leqslant \int_{s}^{|u>0|} \beta F \leqslant \int_{s}^{|U>0|} \beta F=U^{*}(s)
$$

that is (29).
Remark 3.1. The previous result is a slight generalization of a result of $[9,23]$ concerning the case $R=0$.

The following two theorems are concerned with the cases $c \geqslant 0$ and $c \leqslant 0$ respectively.

Theorem 3.2. Let $u$ be a solution of (4) and assume that operator $A$ satisfies (1), (2) and (3) with $c \geqslant 0$. Then we bave

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{*} \leqslant U^{*}, \quad \text { on } \quad[0, \bar{s}],  \tag{30}\\
\int_{s}^{s} e^{-1} u^{*} \leqslant \int_{\bar{s}}^{s} e^{-1} U^{*}, \quad \text { on }[\bar{s},|\Omega|], \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\bar{s}=\left|\left\{s: c_{*}(s)=0\right\}\right|$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $u$ be a solution of (4) and assume that operator $A$ satisfies (1), (2), and (3) with $c \leqslant 0$; if one of the conditions $i$ ), ii), iii) of Proposition 2.1. is verified, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*} \leqslant U^{*}, \quad \text { on } \quad[0,|\Omega|] \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. If $|u>0| \leqslant \bar{s}$, (31) is trivial. Then let it be $|u>0|>\bar{s}$; setting

$$
W(s)=\int_{\bar{s}}^{s} e^{-1} c_{*} w, \quad s \in[\bar{s},|u>0|]
$$

where $w=u^{*}-U^{*}$, by (27) we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.-\left(e c^{-1} W^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\beta W \leqslant 0, \quad \text { on } \quad\right] \bar{s},|u>0|[, \\
W(\bar{s})=0, \quad W^{\prime}(|u>0|) \leqslant 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, by maximum principle, we get $W(s) \leqslant 0$ on $[s,|u>0|]$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{s}}^{s} e^{-1} c_{*} u^{*} \leqslant \int_{\bar{s}}^{s} e^{-1} c_{*} U^{*}, \quad s \in[\bar{s},|u>0|] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 2.2, we deduce (31). From (31) we have $u^{*}(\bar{s}) \leqslant U^{*}(\bar{s})$; since $w^{\prime} \geqslant 0$ on $[0, \bar{s}]$, we get (30).

Proof of Theorem 3.3. We assume $c<0$; otherwise we replace $c$ by $c-\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon>0$ and gain the result getting $\varepsilon$ go to zero. Setting

$$
W(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \delta w,
$$

where $w=u^{*}-U^{*}$ and $\delta=-e^{-1} c_{*}(>0)$, from (27) we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\delta^{-1} W^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\beta W \leqslant 0, \quad \text { on } \quad[0,|u>0|]  \tag{34}\\
W(0)=0, \quad W^{\prime}(|u>0|) \leqslant 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

At first we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(s) \leqslant 0 \quad s \in[0,|u>0|] . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (35) does not hold, as $w(|u>0|) \leqslant 0$, there exists $\tilde{s} \in] 0,|u>0|]$ such that $w(\tilde{s})=0$ and $w(s) \leqslant 0$ for $s \in[\tilde{s},|u>0|]$; hence $W^{\prime}(\tilde{s})=0$ and $W^{+} \not \equiv 0$ on $[0, \tilde{s}]$. The first eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}$ of the problem

$$
-\left(\delta^{-1} Z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\beta Z=\lambda \beta Z, \quad Z(0)=Z^{\prime}(\tilde{s})=0
$$

is the same as the first eigenvalue of the problem

$$
A^{\#} \varphi=\lambda c^{-\#} \varphi, \quad \varphi \in H_{0}^{1}(\widetilde{B})
$$

where $\widetilde{B}$ is the ball centered in $O$ such that $|\widetilde{B}|=\widetilde{s}$. The conditions on $A^{\#}$ (see $i i$ ) in Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2) yield that $\tilde{\lambda}$ is positive. Hence by (34), using variational characterization of $\tilde{\lambda}$,

$$
0 \leqslant \tilde{\lambda} \int_{0}^{\tilde{s}} \beta\left(W^{+}\right)^{2} \leqslant \int_{0}^{\tilde{s}}\left[\delta^{-1}\left(\frac{d W^{+}}{d s}\right)^{2}-\beta\left(W^{+}\right)^{2}\right] \leqslant 0
$$

and then $\mathrm{W}^{+}=0$ that is absurd.
From (35), integrating (34) on $[s,|u>0|]$, we easily obtain (32).
In the following Theorem we make no assumption concerning the sign of the function $c$. We assume $c^{+}, c^{-} \not \equiv 0$ in order not to fall in previous cases.

Theorem 3.4. Let $u$ be a solution of (4), with operator $A$ satisfying (1), (2), (3) and one of the conditions $i$ ), $i$ ), iii) of Proposition 2.1, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{*} \leqslant U^{*}, \quad \text { on } \quad[0, \bar{s}] \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{s}}^{s} e^{-1} u^{*} \leqslant \int_{\bar{s}}^{s} e^{-1} U^{*}, \quad \text { on } \quad[\bar{s},|\Omega|] \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{s}=\left|\left\{s: c_{*}(s) \leqslant 0\right\}\right|$.
From (27) we get

$$
-w^{\prime} \leqslant-\beta \int_{0}^{s} e^{-1}\left\{c_{*}^{+}+c^{-*}\right\} w+2 \beta \int_{0}^{s} e^{-1} c^{-*} w
$$

if we set $\delta=e^{-1}\left(c_{ \pm}^{+}+c^{-*}\right)$ and assume for sake of simplicity

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\{x \in \Omega: c=0\}|=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

the function

$$
W(s)=\int_{0}^{s} e^{-1}\left(c_{*}^{+}+c^{-*}\right) w
$$

verifies the following conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\delta^{-1} W^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\beta W \leqslant T W  \tag{39}\\
W(0)=0, \quad W^{\prime}(|u>0|) \leqslant 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T$ is the operator defined by

$$
T(\varphi):= \begin{cases}2 \beta(s) \varphi(s), & 0 \leqslant s \leqslant \bar{s}, \\ 2 \beta(s) \varphi(\bar{s}), & \bar{s}<s<|\Omega| .\end{cases}
$$

Our first goal is to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \leqslant 0 \quad \text { on } \quad[0,|u>0|] \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that this is not the case; then for some $\tilde{s} \in] 0,|u>0|]$ we have $W^{\prime}(\tilde{s})=0$, and $W^{+} \not \equiv 0$ on $[0, \tilde{s}]$, and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\delta^{-1} W^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\beta W \leqslant T W  \tag{41}\\
W(0)=0, \quad W^{\prime}(\tilde{s})=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $G$ be the Green operator of the problem

$$
-\left(\delta^{-1} Z^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\beta Z=g, \quad Z(0)=Z^{\prime}(\widetilde{s})=0 ;
$$

obviously $G$ is a linear, positive, compact operator from $\mathcal{C}^{0}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{0}$. By (41) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \leqslant G(T(W))=K(W) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=G \circ T$ is a linear, positive, compact operator acting on the space $\mathfrak{C}^{0}$. Now we use some properties on positive operators (see [20] for an exhaustive treatment). From (42), by using theorem 2.5 of [20], the operator $K$ has an unique (within the norm) positive characteristic vector $\phi, K \phi=\mu \phi$ with $\mu \geqslant 1$. However, if $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of problem (20) with $\Omega^{\#}$ replaced by the ball centered in $O$ whose measure is $\tilde{s}$ and $\varphi$ is a relative eigenfunction, we have (see also (23))

$$
-\frac{d \varphi^{*}}{d s}=\beta \int_{0}^{s}\left\{\left(1+\tilde{\lambda}_{1}\right) c^{-*}-c_{*}^{+}\right\} \varphi^{*} e^{-1}
$$

it follows

$$
-\left(\delta^{-1} \Phi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\beta \Phi=\left(2+\tilde{\lambda}_{1}\right) \beta \int_{0}^{s} c^{-*} \varphi^{*} e^{-1}
$$

with

$$
\Phi(s):=\int_{0}^{s} \delta \varphi^{*}
$$

thus

$$
\Phi=\left(1+2^{-1} \tilde{\lambda}_{1}\right) K \Phi
$$

Hence $\Phi$ is a positive characteristic vector of $K$ and then $1+\tilde{\lambda}_{1} / 2=\mu^{-1}$. Since $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}>0$ (see $i i$ ) in Proposition 2.1 and Remark 2.2), we get $\mu<1$ that is absurd. Then we have (40), from which we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(0) \leqslant 0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is

$$
\sup _{x \in \Omega} u(x)=u^{*}(0) \leqslant U^{*}(0)=\sup _{x \in \Omega^{*}} U^{\#}(x)
$$

Moreover, from (27) we have, if $s \in[0, \bar{s}]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime} \geqslant-\beta(s) W(s) \geqslant 0 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

So in order to obtain (36), we have to show that $w(\bar{s}) \leqslant 0$. Namely, if $w(\bar{s})>0$, since $w(|u>0|) \leqslant 0$, by (43) there exists $s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime} \in[0,|u>0|]$ such that $s^{\prime}<\bar{s}<s^{\prime \prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.w\left(s^{\prime}\right)=w\left(s^{\prime \prime}\right)=0, \quad w(s)>0 \quad \text { on } \quad\right] s^{\prime}, s^{\prime \prime}[. \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (27), if $s>\bar{s}$,

$$
w^{\prime}(s) \geqslant \beta(s)\left(-W(\bar{s})+\int_{\bar{s}}^{s} e^{-1} c_{*}^{+} w\right)
$$

and then from (40) and (45) we have $w^{\prime}(s) \geqslant 0$ on $\left[\bar{s}, s^{\prime \prime}\right]$, that is absurd. Now we show the validity of (37). From (27), if $\bar{s}<s \leqslant|u>0|$, we have

$$
w^{\prime}+\beta(s) W(\bar{s})-\beta(s) \int_{\bar{s}}^{s} e^{-1} c_{*}^{+} w \geqslant 0
$$

and then by (40)

$$
w^{\prime}-\beta(s) \int_{\bar{s}}^{s} e^{-1} c_{*}^{+} w \geqslant 0, \quad \text { on } \quad[\bar{s},|u>0|]
$$

Setting

$$
\bar{W}(s)=\int_{\bar{s}}^{s} e^{-1} c_{*}^{+} w=\int_{\bar{s}}^{s} \delta w,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(\delta^{-1} \bar{W}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\beta \bar{W} \leqslant 0, & \text { on } \quad] \bar{s},|u>0|[,  \tag{46}\\ \bar{W}(\bar{s})=0, \quad \bar{W}^{\prime}(|u>0|) \leqslant 0 & \end{cases}
$$

and then, by maximum principle $\bar{W}(s) \leqslant 0$ on $[\bar{s},|u>0|]$, that is (37).
Thus Theorem is proved under condition (38); let us show that the result is valid without this supplementary hypothesis. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 3.3 we replace the coefficient $c_{\#}$ in (5) by

$$
c_{\varepsilon}(x)= \begin{cases}c_{\#}(x)-\varepsilon & \text { if } c_{\#}(x) \leqslant 0 \\ c_{\#}(x) & \text { if } c_{\#}(x)>0\end{cases}
$$

and consider the perturbed problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{\varepsilon}^{\#}\left(U^{\varepsilon}, V-U^{\varepsilon}\right)=\int_{\Omega^{\#}}\left[U_{x_{i}}^{\varepsilon}\left(V-U^{\varepsilon}\right)_{x_{i}}+\frac{R}{|x|} x_{i} U_{x_{i}}^{\varepsilon}\left(V-U^{\varepsilon}\right)+c_{\varepsilon} U^{\varepsilon}\left(V-U^{\varepsilon}\right)\right] \geqslant \\
& \geqslant \int_{\Omega^{\#}} f^{\#}\left(V-U^{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \forall V \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega^{\#}\right), \quad \text { with } U^{\varepsilon}, \quad V \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The above arguments yield (36), (37), with the rearrangement of $U^{\varepsilon}$ instead of $U^{*}$; getting $\varepsilon$ go to zero we get the result.

## Appendix

A. Proof of Proposition 2.1.
i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) We have

$$
\lambda_{1} \int_{\Omega^{\#}} c^{-\#} e^{-R|x|} \phi_{1} Z=\int_{\Omega^{*}} e^{-R|x|} H \phi_{1}
$$

where $\phi_{1}$ is the first eigenfunction; we conclude observing that $\phi_{1}$ does not change sign on $\Omega^{\#}$.
ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii) From Remark 2.2 we have

$$
\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right) \int_{\Omega^{\#}} e^{-R|x|} c^{-\#} \phi^{2} \leqslant \int_{\Omega^{\#}} e^{-R|x|}\left(|\nabla \phi|^{2}+c_{\#}^{+} \phi^{2}\right), \quad \phi \in H_{0}^{1}
$$

and then, with $0<\alpha<1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega^{\#}} e^{-R|x|}\left(|\nabla \phi|^{2}+c_{\#} \phi^{2}\right)-\alpha \int_{\Omega^{*}} e^{-R|x|}|\nabla \phi|^{2}= \\
&=(1-\alpha) \int_{\Omega^{*}} e^{-R|x|}|\nabla \phi|^{2}+\int_{\Omega^{*}} e^{-R|x|}\left(c_{\#}^{+}-c^{-\#}\right) \phi^{2} \geqslant \\
& \geqslant\left(\lambda_{1}-\alpha-\alpha \lambda_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega^{*}} e^{-R|x|} c^{-\#} \phi^{2}+\alpha \int_{\Omega^{*}} e^{-R|x|} c_{\#}^{+} \phi^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we conclude choosing $0<\alpha<\lambda_{1} /\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)$.
iii) $\Rightarrow$ i) By coercivity we have existence and unicity of the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(e^{-R|x|} Z_{x_{i}}\right)_{x_{i}}+c_{\#} e^{-R|x|} Z=e^{-R|x|} H  \tag{47}\\
Z \in H_{0}^{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and hence of $A^{\#} Z=H, Z \in H_{0}^{1}$. By (47) we have, with $H \geqslant 0$,

$$
\alpha \int_{\Omega^{\#}} e^{-R|x|}\left|\nabla Z^{-}\right|^{2} \leqslant \int_{\Omega^{\#}} e^{-R|x|}\left[\left|\nabla Z^{-}\right|^{2}+c_{\#}\left|Z^{-}\right|^{2}\right]=-\int_{\Omega^{*}} e^{-R|x|} H Z^{-} \leqslant 0 .
$$

Then $Z^{-}=0$. With similar arguments we can prove (19).
Since $U$ is solution of (5) iff $U$ is solution of the variational inequality

$$
\int_{\Omega^{*}} e^{-R|x|}\left[U_{x_{i}}(\phi-U)_{x_{i}}+c_{\#} U(\phi-U)\right] \geqslant \int_{\Omega^{\#}} e^{-R|x|} f^{\#}(\phi-U),
$$

by $i i i$ ) we obtain the existence and unicity of solution $U$ (spherically symmetric). Now we show $U=U^{*}$, that is $U$ is also spherically decreasing. For sake of simplicity we assume $f^{\#}$ and $c_{\#}$ sufficiently smooth. Deriving with respect to $\rho=|x|$ the equation

$$
A^{\#} U=f^{\#}, \quad \text { on } \quad\{x: U>0\}
$$

we obtain setting $v=U_{\rho}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\rho \rho}-\frac{n-1}{\rho} v_{\rho}+R v_{\rho}+\left(\frac{n-1}{\rho^{2}}+c_{\#}\right) v=f_{\rho}^{\#}-c_{\# \rho} U . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $f_{p}^{\#}-c_{\#_{p}} U \leqslant 0$ and $v(0)=0, v(|U>0|) \leqslant 0$. The operator at left side in (48) is $A^{\#}+(n-1)|x|^{-2}$ and obviously it has the property (19); then $v=U_{\rho} \leqslant 0$.
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