

# RENDICONTI LINCEI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

---

KAZIMIER WZODARCZYK

## The theorems of Glicksberg and Hurwitz for holomorphic maps in complex Banach spaces

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 4 (1993), n.2, p. 99–102.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

<[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN\\_1993\\_9\\_4\\_2\\_99\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_1993_9_4_2_99_0)>

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1993.

**Matematica.** — *The theorems of Glicksberg and Hurwitz for holomorphic maps in complex Banach spaces.* Nota di KAZIMIER WŁODARCZYK, presentata (\*) dal Socio E. Vesentini.

**ABSTRACT.** — General versions of Glicksberg's theorem concerning zeros of holomorphic maps and of Hurwitz's theorem on sequences of analytic functions is extended to infinite dimensional Banach spaces.

**KEY WORDS:** Fréchet holomorphic map; Local uniform convergence; Compact open topology.

**RIASSUNTO.** — *I teoremi di Glicksberg e di Hurwitz per applicazioni oloomorfe in spazi di Banach complessi.* I teoremi di Glicksberg e di Hurwitz sugli zeri di funzioni e sulle successioni di funzioni oloomorfe sono generalizzati ad applicazioni oloomorfe di spazi di Banach complessi di dimensione infinita.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

E. Rouché's theorem [9], its different statements discovered in  $\mathbb{C}$  by L. Fejér [5] and I. Glicksberg [3] and their applications (e.g. A. Hurwitz's theorem [4], Fundamental Theorem of Algebra and others) are important tools of investigations of zeros and their multiplicities of holomorphic maps in finite-dimensional complex analysis. For details, see e.g. J. B. Conway [1], M. Marden [7] and N. G. Lloyd [6].

The main results of this paper are of the above two types (see §2). We first prove a general version of Glicksberg's theorem for (Fréchet-) holomorphic maps in infinite-dimensional complex Banach spaces. We next prove, as an application of this, an extension of Hurwitz's theorem to sequences of holomorphic maps, convergent in the compact-open topologies. In particular, our results imply versions of the theorems of Glicksberg and Hurwitz in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (see §5). Our paper is a continuation of [11] and [12].

## 2. NOTATIONS AND MAIN RESULTS

Let  $U$  be a subset of a complex Banach space  $E$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{C}(U; E)$  the vector space of continuous maps  $f: U \rightarrow E$ . When  $U$  is open, we define in  $\mathcal{C}(U; E)$  the compact-open topology, i.e. the Hausdorff locally convex topology defined in  $\mathcal{C}(U; E)$  by the seminorms  $p_K$ ,

$$p_K: f \in \mathcal{C}(U; E) \rightarrow p_K(f) = \sup_{x \in K} \|f(x)\| \in \mathbb{R},$$

as  $K$  ranges over the family  $\mathcal{K}$  of compact subsets of  $U$ .

A map  $f$  is called holomorphic if the Fréchet derivative of  $f$  at  $x$  (denoted

(\*) Nella seduta del 12 dicembre 1992.

by  $Df(x)$ ) exists as a bounded complex linear map for each  $x$  in the domain of definition of  $f$ .

Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $E$ . It is well known (see e.g. L. Nachbin [8, Proposition 4, p. 23]) that the complex vector space  $\mathcal{H}(U; E)$  of maps  $f: U \rightarrow E$  holomorphic in  $U$  is a closed vector subspace of  $\mathcal{C}(U; E)$  for the compact-open topology, complete in the induced topology.

For subsets  $U$  of  $E$  we use the usual symbols  $\partial U$ ,  $\bar{U}$  and  $\text{int}(U)$  to denote the boundary, the closure and the interior of  $U$ , respectively.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $E$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}; E) \cap \mathcal{H}(\Omega; E)$  and let the set  $(I - f)(\Omega)$  be contained in a compact subset of  $E$ . If  $q \in \Omega$  and a neighbourhood  $V$  of  $q$  in  $\Omega$  are such that  $\bar{V} \subset \Omega$  and  $f(q) = 0$  but  $f(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \bar{V} \setminus \{q\}$ , then the positive integer  $k$  defined by  $k = \deg(f, V, 0)$  is called the multiplicity of zero of  $f$  at  $q$ .

**REMARK 2.1.** It is worth noticing that if  $1 \notin \sigma[D(1 - f)(q)]$ , then  $k = 1$  and if  $1 \in \sigma[D(1 - f)(q)]$ , then  $k \geq 2$ ; here  $\sigma[A]$  denotes the spectrum of a compact linear operator  $A$  on  $E$ .

We shall use these notations and the definition in proving the following Glicksberg-type theorem for  $E$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of a complex Banach space  $E$ . Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}; E) \cap \mathcal{H}(\Omega; E)$ , and let the sets  $(I - f)(\Omega)$  and  $(I - g)(\Omega)$  be contained in compact subsets of  $E$ . If

$$(2.1) \quad \|f - g\| < \|f\| + \|g\| \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

then  $f$  has finitely many zeros in  $\Omega$  and, by counting multiplicity,  $f$  and  $g$  have the same number of zeros in  $\Omega$ .

We can also use Theorem 2.1 to obtain a Hurwitz-type theorem for  $E$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of a complex Banach space  $E$ , let the maps  $f_n \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega; E)$  be such that the sets  $(I - f_n)(\Omega)$  are contained in compact subsets of  $E$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and let the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converge on  $\Omega$  in the compact-open topology to some map  $f$ . If  $\|f(x)\| > 0$  on  $\partial W$  where  $W$  is some closed subset of  $\Omega$  such that  $\partial W$  is compact and  $\text{int}(W) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $f$  has finitely many zeros in  $\text{int}(W)$  and, for all sufficiently large  $n$ , by counting multiplicity,  $f_n$  and  $f$  have the same number of zeros in  $\text{int}(W)$ .

For the special case when  $E = C^n$ , see §5.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

Inequality (2.1) implies

$$(3.1) \quad \|f\| > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|g\| > 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Let  $H(\cdot, \cdot): [0, 1] \times \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow E$  denote a map defined by  $H(t, x) = x - tF(x) - (1-t)G(x)$  where  $F = I - f$  and  $G = I - g$ . Let us observe that

$$\|H(t, x)\| > 0 \quad \text{for all } (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times \partial\Omega.$$

Indeed, if  $t = 0$  and  $x \in \partial\Omega$  then  $H(0, x) = g(x) \neq 0$  by (3.1). Now, let  $H(\eta, y) = 0$  for some  $0 < \eta < 1$  and  $y \in \partial\Omega$ . Then  $H(\eta, y) = \eta f(y) + (1-\eta)g(y) = 0$  and, simultaneously, by (2.1),  $\|\eta f(x) - \eta g(x)\| < \eta\|f(y)\| + \eta\|g(y)\|$ . Thus we conclude that  $\|g(y)\| = \|\eta f(y) - \eta g(y)\| < \eta\|f(y)\| + \eta\|g(y)\| = (1-\eta)\|g(y)\| + \eta\|g(y)\| = \|g(y)\|$ , a contradiction. Moreover,  $H(1, x) = f(x) \neq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  by (3.1).

From the assumptions and the above considerations we infer that there exists an open set  $V$  such that  $\bar{V} \subset \Omega$ ,  $\|f(x)\| > 0$  and  $\|g(x)\| > 0$  on  $\bar{\Omega} \setminus V$  and  $\|H(t, x)\| > 0$  for all  $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times (\bar{\Omega} \setminus V)$ .

Now, note that, by using [10], the following statements can be obtained:

$$\deg(f, V, 0) = \deg(g, V, 0),$$

$$V \cap (I - F)^{-1}(0) = \Omega \cap f^{-1}(0) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \quad \text{and} \quad V \cap (I - G)^{-1}(0) = \Omega \cap g^{-1}(0) = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \quad \text{for some } m, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and, consequently,}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \deg(f, A_i, 0) = \sum_{j=1}^m \deg(g, B_j, 0);$$

here  $A_i$  ( $B_j$ ) are small neighbourhoods of  $x_i$  ( $y_j$ ) such that the sets  $\bar{A}_i$  ( $\bar{B}_j$ ) are pairwise disjoint and  $\bar{A}_i \subset V$  ( $\bar{B}_j \subset V$ ). From this, by Definition 2.1, we get the desired conclusion.

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

We have that  $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega; E)$  (see [8, Proposition 4, p. 23]). Moreover, the set  $(I - f)(\Omega)$  is contained in a compact subset of  $E$ ,  $\text{int}(W) \cap f^{-1}(0) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [10, Lemma 2(c)]) and  $\delta = \inf\{\|f(x)\|: x \in \partial W\} > 0$ .

On the other hand, in particular,

$$\|f_n(x) - f(x)\| \leq p_{\partial W}(f_n - f) < \frac{1}{2} \delta < \|f(x)\| \leq \|f(x)\| + \|f_n(x)\| \quad \text{on } \partial W$$

for all sufficiently large  $n$ . From this and Theorem 2.1 we get the desired conclusion.

#### 5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

If  $U \subset E$  is open and  $\mathcal{X}$  is the family of all finite unions of closed balls completely interior in  $U$  (in norm), then the corresponding topology in  $\mathcal{C}(U; E)$  is called the topology of local uniform convergence. It is well known (see e.g. T. Franzoni and E. Vesentini [2, Chapt. IV, §3]) that the topology of local uniform convergence is finer than the compact-open topology and the two topologies are equivalent if and only if  $\dim_E E < \infty$ . When  $\dim_E E < \infty$ , the compactness assumptions in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 may be omitted.

As corollaries from our main results and their proofs we get the following theorems of Glicksberg and Hurwitz for  $C^n$  with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $C^n$  and let  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}; E) \cap \mathcal{H}(\Omega; E)$ . If  $\|f - g\| < \|f\| + \|g\|$  on  $\partial\Omega$  then  $f$  has finitely many zeros in  $\Omega$  and, by counting multiplicity,  $f$  and  $g$  have the same number of zeros in  $\Omega$ .*

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $C^n$ . If the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  of maps  $f_n \in \mathcal{H}(\Omega; C^n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , converges on  $\Omega$  in the topology of local uniform convergence to some map  $f$ , and  $\|f\| > 0$  on  $\partial B$  for some ball  $B = B(a; r) = \{x \in C^n : \|x - a\| < r\}$  such that  $\bar{B} \subset \Omega$ , then  $f$  has finitely many zeros in  $B$  and, for all sufficiently large  $n$ , by counting multiplicity,  $f_n$  and  $f$  have the same number of zeros in  $B$ .*

**REMARK 5.1.** If  $n = 1$ , Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 imply the results of I. Glicksberg [3], [1, p. 125] and A. Hurwitz [4], [1, p. 152], respectively.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. B. CONWAY, *Functions of One Complex Variable*. Graduate Texts in Math., 11, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg-Tokyo 1978.
- [2] T. FRANZONI - E. VESENTINI, *Holomorphic Maps and Invariant Distances*. North Holland Mathematics Studies, 40, Amsterdam-New York-Oxford 1980.
- [3] I. GLICKSBERG, *A remark on Rouché's theorem*. Amer. Math. Monthly, 83, 1976, 186-187.
- [4] A. HURWITZ, *Über die Nullstellen der Bessel'schen Funktion*. Math. Ann., 33, 1889, 246-266.
- [5] S. LIPKA, *Eine Verallgemeinerung des Rouchéschen Satzes*. J. Reine Angew. Math., 160, 1929, 143-150.
- [6] N. G. LLOYD, *Degree Theory*. Cambridge Tracts in Math., 73, Cambridge University Press, 1978.
- [7] M. MARDEN, *The Geometry of the Zeros*. Math. Surveys, 3, Amer. Math. Soc., New York 1949.
- [8] L. NACHBIN, *Topology on Spaces of Holomorphic Mappings*. Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 47, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1969.
- [9] E. ROUCHÉ, *Mémoire sur la série de Lagrange*. J. Ecole Polytech., 22, 1862, 217-218.
- [10] J. T. SCHWARTZ, *Compact analytic mappings of B-spaces and a theorem of Jane Cronin*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 16, 1963, 253-260.
- [11] K. WŁODARCZYK, *Fixed points and invariant domains of expansive holomorphic maps in complex Banach spaces*. Adv. Math., to appear.
- [12] K. WŁODARCZYK, *The Rouché theorem for holomorphic maps in complex Banach spaces*. Complex Variables Theory Appl., 20, 1992, 71-73.

Institute of Mathematics  
University of Łódź  
Banacha 22 - 90238 ŁÓDŹ (Polonia)