

# RENDICONTI LINCEI

## MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI

---

JAIR MINORO ABE

### Curry algebras $N_1$

*Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Serie 9, Vol. 7 (1996), n.3, p. 125–128.*

Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei

[http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN\\_1996\\_9\\_7\\_3\\_125\\_0](http://www.bdim.eu/item?id=RLIN_1996_9_7_3_125_0)

L'utilizzo e la stampa di questo documento digitale è consentito liberamente per motivi di ricerca e studio. Non è consentito l'utilizzo dello stesso per motivi commerciali. Tutte le copie di questo documento devono riportare questo avvertimento.

Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Classe di Scienze Fisiche, Matematiche e Naturali. Rendiconti Lincei. Matematica e Applicazioni, Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei, 1996.

**Logica matematica.** — *Curry algebras*  $N_1$ . Nota di JAIR MINORO ABE, presentata (\*) dal Socio A. Bressan.

ABSTRACT. — In [6] da Costa has introduced a new hierarchy  $N_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq w$  of logics that are both paraconsistent and paracomplete. Such logics are now known as non-alethic logics. In this article we present an algebraic version of the logics  $N_i$  and study some of their properties.

KEY WORDS: Algebraic logic; Paraconsistent logic; Paracomplete logic; Non-alethic logic.

RIASSUNTO. — *Le algebre «Curry»*  $N_1$ . Nell'articolo [6] da Costa ha introdotto una nuova gerarchia  $N_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq w$ , di logiche che sono al tempo stesso paraconsistenti e paracomplete. Tali logiche sono adesso conosciute come logiche nonaletiche. In questo articolo presentiamo una versione algebrica della logica  $N_i$  e studiamo alcune proprietà.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, a number of different kinds of logic have been proposed with the aim of avoiding the property that from a contradiction anything may be deduced. Roughly speaking, these logics (called *paraconsistent* logics) allow formulas of the form  $A \& \neg A$  to be applied in a non-trivial manner in deductions. One such type of logic are the logics  $C_n$  of da Costa (see, e.g. [4, 5]). Their «duals», in a precise sense, are the logics known as *paracomplete* logics (systems  $P_n$ , see, e.g. [7]). A logic is called *paracomplete* if, according to it, a proposition and its negation can be both false.

In [6], da Costa describes a new hierarchy  $N_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq w$  of logics which are simultaneously paraconsistent and paracomplete. These logics were dubbed *non-alethic* by F. M. Quesada.

The aim of the present *Note* is to present an algebraic version of the logic  $N_1$ , developing some ideas of the authors and to study some of the main properties of this algebraic version of  $N_1$ .

## 2. THE CALCULUS $N_1$

We now present  $N_1$  formally. We begin with a language  $L$  consisting of a denumerable number of sentential variables closed as usual under  $\neg$  (negation),  $\rightarrow$  (implication),  $\vee$  (disjunction), and  $\&$  (conjunction); the symbol  $\leftrightarrow$  (for equivalence) is introduced as usual, and we have three new defined symbols:  $A^0$  is an abbreviation for  $\neg(A \& \neg A)$ ,  $A^*$  is an abbreviation for  $A \vee \neg A$ , and  $-A$  is an abbreviation for  $\neg A \& A^0$  (called strong negation). Capital latin letters are metalinguistic schematic variables.

(\*) Nella seduta dell'11 maggio 1996.

The postulates (axiom schemata and primitive rules of inference) of  $N_1$  are those of classical positive logic plus the following:

- (I)  $A^* \& B^0 \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A)$ ,
- (II)  $A^0 \& B^0 \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)^0 \& (A \& B)^0 \& (A \vee B)^0 \& (\neg A)^0$ ,
- (III)  $A^* \& B^* \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)^* \& (A \& B)^* \& (A \vee B)^* \& (\neg A)^*$ ,
- (IV)  $A^0 \rightarrow (A \rightarrow \neg \neg A) \& (A \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow B))$ ,
- (V)  $A^* \rightarrow (\neg \neg A \rightarrow A)$ ,
- (VI)  $A^0 \vee A^*$ .

The concepts of *proof*, of *deduction*, etc. are defined as in Kleene [8].

DEFINITION 2.1. In  $N_1$ :  $A \leq B =_{\text{def.}} \vdash A \rightarrow B$ ,  $A \equiv B =_{\text{def.}} A \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$ .

THEOREM 2.2.  $\leq$  is a quasi-order, and  $\equiv$  is an equivalence relation.

THEOREM 2.3. In  $N_1$ ,  $\neg$  is not compatible with the equivalence relation  $\equiv$ , and we have  $\vdash A \wedge \neg A \rightarrow B$ .

THEOREM 2.4. Adding the principle of the excluded middle,  $A \vee \neg A$ , to  $N_1$ , we get  $C_1$ .

THEOREM 2.5. Adding the principle of contradiction,  $\neg(A \& \neg A)$  to  $N_1$ , we get  $P_1$ .

THEOREM 2.6. Adjoining to  $N_1$  the schemata  $A \vee \neg A$  and  $\neg(A \& \neg A)$ , we obtain the classical propositional calculus.

THEOREM 2.7. The strong negation possesses all properties of the classical negation; for instance

$$\begin{aligned} \vdash (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ((A \rightarrow \neg B) \rightarrow \neg A), \quad \vdash A \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow B), \quad \vdash A \& \neg A \rightarrow B, \\ \vdash A \rightarrow \neg \neg A, \quad \vdash \neg \neg A \rightarrow A. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. THE CURRY ALGEBRAS $N_1$

The algebraic structures considered here are those seen in [2, 3].

From the algebraic point of view,  $N_1$  is a classical implicative lattice. By Theorem 2.3 it follows that this lattice has a first element. Due to (I)-(VI), we concluded that in this lattice there is an operator, denoted by  $'$ , possessing some properties of the Boolean complement. Summarizing,  $N_1$  is a Curry algebra  $N_1$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. A Curry algebra  $N_1$  is a classical implicative lattice  $\langle S, \equiv, \wedge, \vee, ' \rangle$  with greatest and smallest elements (not necessarily unique), 1 and 0, and with an operator ' satisfying the following properties, where  $p^0 = (p \wedge p)'$  and  $p^* = p \vee p'$ :

- 1)  $p^0 \wedge q^* \leq ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q') \rightarrow p'))$ ,
- 2)  $p^0 \wedge q^0 \leq (p \rightarrow q)^0 \wedge (p \wedge q)^0 \wedge (p \vee q)^0 \wedge (q')^0$ ,
- 3)  $p^* \wedge q^* \leq (p \rightarrow q)^* \wedge (p \wedge q)^* \wedge (p \vee q)^* \wedge (q')^*$ ,
- 4)  $p^0 \leq (p \rightarrow p'') \wedge (p \rightarrow (p' \rightarrow q))$ ,
- 5)  $p^* \leq p'' \rightarrow p$ ,
- 6)  $p^0 \vee q^* \equiv 1$ .

THEOREM 3.2. Adjoining to a Curry algebra  $N_1$  the postulate  $(p \wedge p) \equiv 1$ , we obtain a  $CP_1$ -algebra (for these algebras see [1]), and adjoining the postulate  $p \vee p' \equiv 1$  we get a  $C_1$ -algebra (for these algebras see [2]). Moreover if we add both postulates we obtain a Boolean algebra.

THEOREM 3.3. A Curry algebra  $N_1$  is distributive and has a greatest element.

DEFINITION 3.4. Let  $p$  be an element of a Curry algebra  $N_1$ . We put  $-p = p' \wedge p^0$ .

THEOREM 3.5. In a Curry algebra  $N_1$ ,  $-p$  is a Boolean complement of  $p$ , so  $p \vee -p \equiv 1$  and  $p \wedge -p \equiv 0$ .

THEOREM 3.6. In a Curry algebra  $N_1$ , the structure composed by the underlying set and by operations  $\wedge, \vee, -$ , is a Boolean algebra.

DEFINITION 3.7. Let  $\langle S, \equiv, \rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, ' \rangle$  be a Curry algebra  $N_1$ , and  $\langle S, \equiv, \wedge, \vee, - \rangle$  be the Boolean algebra obtained as in the above theorem. Any Boolean algebra that is isomorphic to the quotient algebra of  $\langle S, \equiv, \wedge, \vee, - \rangle$  by  $\equiv$  is called Boolean algebra associated with the Curry algebra  $N_1$ .

THEOREM 3.8 (Representation Theorem). Any Curry algebra  $N_1$  is associated with a field of sets. Moreover, any Curry algebra  $N_1$  is associated with the field of sets simultaneously open and closed of a totally disconnected compact Hausdorff space.

#### 4. THE COMPLETENESS OF THE LOGIC $N_1$

It is easy to introduce the concepts of *filter*, *ultrafilter*, and *homomorphisms* between Curry algebras  $N_1$ . All usual properties from classical algebra are as expected: for instance, the shell of a homomorphism is a filter.

We would like to mention only the following results.

THEOREM 4.1 (Soundness). If  $A$  is a provable formula of the logic  $N_1$ , then  $b(A) \equiv 1$  for any homomorphism  $b$  from the set of all formulas of the logic  $N_1$  into any Curry algebra  $N_1$ .

PROOF. By induction on the length of proofs.

THEOREM 4.2. Let  $U$  be an ultrafilter in  $F$  (the set of all formulas of  $N_1$ ). Then, there is a homomorphism  $h$  from  $F$  into  $2$  (where  $2 = \{0, 1\}$  is the two-element Boolean algebra) such that the shell of  $h$  is  $U$ .

THEOREM 4.3 (Completeness). Let  $F$  be the set of all formulas of  $N_1$ , and  $A \in F$ . Let us suppose that  $h(A) \equiv 1$  for any homomorphism  $h$  from  $F$  into an arbitrary Curry algebra  $N_1$ . Then,  $A$  is a provable formula of  $N_1$ .

PROOF. Similar to the classical case, taking into account the previous theorem.

The algebraic treatment for the logics  $N_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq w$  does not offer difficulties. Besides the results presented here we would like to emphasize the importance of certain «pre-algebraical» structures (in the sense that the fundamental relation of the structure is an equivalence relation instead of equality). They were called Curry algebras not only as a homage to the american logician H. B. Curry, but because he is one of defendants of the use of pre-structures (see [3]). They are the central tool to deal algebraically with the majority of non-classical logics.

We hope to say something more about this in forthcoming papers.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are due to Prof. Newton C. A. da Costa for proofreading an earlier version of this paper.

I would like to thank the anonymous referees for the many helpful comments and suggestions provided.

The author is supported by CNPq grant 301114/92-7.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. ABE, *A note on Curry algebras*. Bulletin of the Section of Logic, Polish Academy of Sciences, vol. 16, n. 4, 1987, 151-158.
- [2] C. M. BARROS · N. C. A. DA COSTA · J. M. ABE, *Temas de Teoria dos Sistemas Ordenados, volume II: Sistemas de Curry*. 1993, to appear.
- [3] H. B. CURRY, *Foundations of Mathematical Logic*. Dover, New York 1977.
- [4] N. C. A. DA COSTA, *Calculs propositionnels pour les systèmes formels inconsistants*. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris, 1963, 3790-3792.
- [5] N. C. A. DA COSTA, *On the theory of inconsistent formal systems*. Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 1974, 497-510.
- [6] N. C. A. DA COSTA, *Logics that are both paraconsistent and paracomplete*. Atti Acc. Lincei Rend. fis., s. 8, vol. 83, 1989, 29-32.
- [7] N. C. A. DA COSTA · D. MARCONI, *A note on paracomplete logic*. Atti Acc. Lincei Rend. fis., s. 8, vol. 80, 1986, 504-509.
- [8] S. C. KLEENE, *Introduction to Metamathematics*. Van Nostrand, Princeton 1952.

Department of Informatics - ICET  
Paulista University  
Institute for Advanced Studies of University of São Paulo  
SÃO PAULO (Brasile)