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Equazioni a derivate parziali. - Three cylinder inequalities and unique continuation properties for parabolic equations. Nota di Sergio Vessella, presentata (*) dal Socio M. Primicerio.

Авstract. - We prove the following unique continuation property. Let $u$ be a solution of a second order linear parabolic equation and $S$ a segment parallel to the $t$-axis. If $u$ has a zero of order faster than any non constant and time independent polynomial at each point of $S$ then $u$ vanishes in each point, $\left(x, t^{\prime}\right)$, such that the plane $t=t^{\prime}$ has a non empty intersection with $S$.

Key words: Continuation of solutions; Stability estimates; Ill-posed Problem.

Riassunto. - Disuguaglianze dei tre cilindri e proprietà di continuazione unica per equazioni paraboliche. Dimostriamo la seguente proprietà di continuazione unica. Sia $u$ una soluzione di un'equazione parabolica lineare del secondo ordine e $S$ un segmento parallelo all'asse $t$. Se $u$ ha uno zero di ordine maggiore di qualsiasi polinomio non costante e indipendente dal tempo allora $u$ si annulla in ogni punto, ( $x, t^{\prime}$ ), tale che il piano $t=t^{\prime}$ intersechi $S$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $T$ be a positive number and $D$ a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$. Let $A(x, t)=$ $=\left\{a^{i j}(x, t)\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n}$ be a non analytic matrix valued function. Assume $A$ is symmetric and satisfies an uniformly ellipticity condition in $D \times(-T, T)$. Let us consider the parabolic operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\cdot)=\operatorname{div}(A(x, t) \nabla \cdot)-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u$ be a weak solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u)+b(x, t) \cdot \nabla u+c(x, t) u=0, \quad \text { in } D \times(-T, T), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ is a bounded vector valued function in $D \times(-T, T)$ and $c$ is a bounded function in $D \times(-T, T)$. Denote by $B_{r}$ the $n$-dimensional ball of radius $r$ centered in 0 . We are interested in two types of unique continuation properties.
(a) Unique continuation in the interior. Let $D=B_{1}$. We will prove, under suitable assumptions on $A$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-T}^{T} \int_{B_{r}} u^{2} d x d t=O\left(r^{\nu}\right) \text {, as } r \rightarrow 0 \text {, for every } \nu \in \mathbb{N} \text {, implies } u \equiv 0 \text {. } \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Unique continuation at the boundary. Let $\varphi$ be a sufficiently smooth function in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ satisfying $\varphi(0)=0$. Let $D=\left\{x=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \in B_{1} \mid \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)<x_{n}\right\}$. Set $\Gamma=\operatorname{graph}(\varphi) \cap B_{1}$.

Assume either $u=0$ on $\Gamma \times(-T, T)$ or $A \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}=0$ on $\Gamma \times(-T, T)$ (here $\mathbf{n}$ denotes the outer unit normal to graph $(\varphi)$ ). We will prove, under suitable assumptions on $A$ that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-T}^{T} \int_{B_{r} \cap D} u^{2} d x d t=O\left(r^{\nu}\right) \text {, as } r \rightarrow 0 \text {, for every } \nu \in \mathbb{N} \text {, implies } u \equiv 0 \text {. } \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A$ does not dependent on time and is Lipschitz continuous, stronger versions of the properties (a) and (b) are known. In this case, Lin in [9], has proved that if $\int_{B_{r}} u^{2}(x, 0) d x=O\left(r^{\nu}\right)$, for every $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, then $u(\cdot, 0) \equiv 0$. Similar results, concerning the continuation at the boundary have been proved in [1, 3, 4]. In [3, 4] three cylinder inequalities, with optimal exponent, are proved and applied to find sharp stability estimates for inverse problems with unknown boundaries.

On the other side, in the case of $A$ time dependent, weaker forms than property (a) are known. If $A \in C^{2,2}$ then Lees and Protter in [8] have proved that if $\int_{-T}^{T} \int_{B_{r}} u^{2} d x d t=O\left(e^{-r^{-\nu}}\right)$, for every $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, then $u \equiv 0$. In [6] a three cylinder inequality is proved assuming that $A \in C^{3,1}$. The three cylinder inequality proved in [6] is a not simple consequence of the Carleman estimate proved in [5] with the same hypothesis on $A$. Because of the not uniformity in $u$ of the above mentioned three cylinder inequality, the best we can get is: if $\int_{-T}^{T} \int_{B_{r}} u^{2} d x d t=o\left(e^{-C|\log r|^{3}}\right)$, where $C$ is a positive constant, then $u \equiv 0$. A similar three cylinder inequality, in the hypothesis $A \in C^{3,1}$, for semilinear parabolic equations has been proved in [10].

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we prove property (a) for $L=$ $=\Delta-q_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. This is the prototype for more general results; namely the properties (a) and (b) (in the case $u=0$ on $\Gamma \times(-T, T)$ ) with the hypotheses $A \in C^{2,1}, \varphi \in C^{1+\alpha}$, where $\alpha \in(0,1]$. The exact statement of this results are given in Section 3 (Theorems 3 and 4) and proved in details in [11]. A crucial step in our proofs has been the application of the transformation used by Hörmander, [7, Section 3] to prove strong unique continuation for second order elliptic equations.

$$
\text { 2. The Case } L=\Delta-q_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}
$$

For any $r>0$ and $t_{0}>0$ denote by $Q_{r}^{t_{o}}$ the cylinder $B_{r} \times\left(-t_{0}, t_{0}\right)$. Theorems 1 and 2, below, are based on the following notations and hypotheses. Given the positive numbers $\lambda, \Lambda, R_{0}, T$, with $\lambda \geq 1$ and let $q_{0}$ be a given function on $Q_{R_{o}}^{T}$, assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{-1} \leq q_{0}(x, t) \leq \lambda, \text { if }(x, t) \in Q_{R_{0}}^{T},  \tag{2.1}\\
& R_{0}\left|\nabla q_{0}\right|+T\left|\frac{\partial q_{0}}{\partial t}\right| \leq \Lambda, \text { a.e. in } Q_{R_{0}}^{T} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By $L$ we denote the following parabolic operator

$$
(L u)(x, t)=\Delta u(x, t)-q_{0}(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t), \text { if }(x, t) \in Q_{R_{o}}^{T} .
$$

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$ we denote by $(\rho, \omega) \in(0,+\infty) \times S^{n-1}\left(S^{n-1}\right.$ being the unity sphere of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) the polar coordinates of $x$, with $\rho=|x|, \omega=\frac{x}{|x|}$. By $\partial_{\omega_{i}}, i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we denote the operator of derivation on the sphere, that is $\left(\partial_{\omega_{i}} \phi\right)(\omega)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\phi\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)\right)_{\mid x=\omega}$, where $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ and $\phi$ is a function differentiable on $S^{n-1}$. We denote by $\Delta_{\omega}$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator in the unit sphere, $\Delta_{\omega}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_{\omega_{i}}^{2}$. We denote by $\partial_{\omega}$ and $\nabla_{\rho, \omega}$, respectively, the vector operators $\left(\partial_{\omega_{1}}, \ldots, \partial_{\omega_{n}}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}, \rho^{-1} \partial_{\omega_{1}}, \ldots, \rho^{-1} \partial_{\omega_{n}}\right)$. Moreover, we denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the operator $L$ expressed in polar coordinates, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{L} \widetilde{u})(\rho, \omega, t)=(L u)(\rho \omega, t)=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \widetilde{u}}{\partial \rho^{2}}+\frac{n-1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}}{\partial \rho}+\frac{1}{\rho^{2}} \Delta_{\omega} \widetilde{u}-\widetilde{q}_{0} \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}}{\partial t}\right)(\rho, \omega, t), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(\rho, \omega, t) \in\left(0, R_{0}\right) \times S^{n-1} \times(-T, T)$, where $\widetilde{u}(\rho, \omega, t)=u(\rho \omega, t)$ and $\widetilde{q}_{0}(\rho, \omega, t)=$ $=q_{0}(\rho \omega, t)$. We shall fix the space dimension $n \geq 2$ throughout the paper, therefore we shall omit the dependence of various quantities on $n$. We denote by the letter $C$ the positive constants. The value of the constants may change from line to line, but we specify their dependence everywhere they appear. Sometimes, for any variable $s$, we shall write $u_{s}$ instead of $\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}$ and $u_{s s}$ instead of $\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial s^{2}}$.

Theorem 1. Let (2.1), (2.2) be satisfied. Let $k(y)=y+e^{y}$ and $\chi(\rho)=k^{-1}\left(\log \frac{\rho}{R_{0}}\right)$. There exist positive constants $\theta, \theta<1, C$ and $C_{1}, \theta$ depends on $\lambda$ and $\Lambda$ only, $C$ is an absolute constant, $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-T}^{T} \int_{0}^{R_{0}} \int_{S^{n-1}}\left(\tau \rho^{2}\left|\nabla_{\rho, \omega} \widetilde{u}\right|^{2}\right. & \left.+\tau^{3} \widetilde{u}^{2}\right) e^{(-2 \tau+1) \chi(\rho)} \rho^{-1} d \omega d \rho d t \leq \\
& \leq C \int_{-T}^{T} \int_{0}^{R_{0}} \int_{S^{n-1}}(\mathcal{L} \widetilde{u})^{2} e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)} \rho^{3} d \omega d \rho d t \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{\theta R_{o}}^{T} \backslash\{0\} \times(-T, T)\right)$ and $\tau \geq C_{1}$.
Proof. Let $u$ be a function in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{R_{o}}^{T} \backslash\{0\} \times(-T, T)\right)$. Introducing $z=\log \frac{\rho}{R_{0}}$ as a new coordinates instead of $\rho$, by (2.4) we have

$$
(\mathcal{L} \widetilde{u})\left(R_{0} e^{z}, \omega, t\right)=\left(\frac{e^{-2 z}}{R_{0}^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \widetilde{u}_{1}}{\partial z^{2}}+(n-2) \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_{1}}{\partial z}+\Delta_{\omega} \widetilde{u}_{1}\right)-\widetilde{q}_{1} \frac{\partial \widetilde{u}_{1}}{\partial t}\right)(z, \omega, t),
$$

for $(z, \omega, t) \in(-\infty, 0) \times S^{n-1} \times(-T, T)$, where $\widetilde{u}_{1}(z, \omega, t)=\widetilde{u}\left(R_{0} e^{z}, \omega, t\right)$ and $\widetilde{q}_{1}(z, \omega, t)=\widetilde{q}_{0}\left(R_{0} e^{z}, \omega, t\right)$.

Now we introduce the transformation (see [7, Section 3]) $z=k(y)$, where $k(y)=$ $=y+e^{y}$. Setting

$$
\begin{align*}
a(y) & =(n-2)\left(1+e^{y}\right)-\frac{e^{y}}{\left(1+e^{y}\right)}, \\
q(y, \omega, t) & =R_{0}^{2} e^{2 k(y)}\left(1+e^{y}\right)^{2} \widetilde{q}_{1}(k(y), \omega, t), \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and, defining the operator $P, P=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+a(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\left(1+e^{y}\right)^{2} \Delta_{\omega}-q \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, we get

$$
(\mathcal{L} \widetilde{u})\left(R_{0} e^{k(y)}, \omega, t\right)=\frac{e^{-2 k(y)}}{R_{0}^{2}\left(1+e^{y}\right)^{2}}\left(P \widetilde{u}_{2}\right)(y, \omega, t),
$$

for $(y, \omega, t) \in\left(-\infty, y_{0}\right) \times S^{n-1} \times(-T, T)$, where $y_{0}$ is such that $k\left(y_{0}\right)=0$ and $\widetilde{u}_{2}(y, \omega, t)=\widetilde{u}_{1}(k(y), \omega, t)$.

Set $\widetilde{u}_{2}=e^{\tau y} v, P_{\tau}(v)=e^{-\tau y} P\left(e^{\tau y} v\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{0} & =\tau^{2}+a(y) \tau, \quad B_{1}=2 \tau+a(y),  \tag{2.7}\\
P_{\tau}^{(1)}(v) & =B_{0} v+\frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial y^{2}}+\left(1+e^{y}\right)^{2} \Delta_{\omega} v, \\
P_{\tau}^{(2)}(v) & =B_{1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}-q \frac{\partial v}{\partial t},
\end{align*}
$$

we have $P_{\tau}(v)=P_{\tau}^{(1)}(v)+P_{\tau}^{(2)}(v)$.
Denoting by $\int($.$) the integral \int_{-T}^{T} \int_{-\infty}^{y_{0}} \int_{S^{n-1}}(). d \omega d \rho d t$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(P_{\tau}(v)\right)^{2}=2 \int P_{\tau}^{(1)}(v) P_{\tau}^{(2)}(v)+\int\left(P_{\tau}^{(1)}(v)\right)^{2}+\int\left(P_{\tau}^{(2)}(v)\right)^{2} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Examine the integrals at the right hand side of (2.8).
We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \int P_{\tau}^{(1)}(v) P_{\tau}^{(2)}(v)=2 \int\left(1+e^{y}\right)^{2} B_{1} \Delta_{\omega} v v_{y}-2 \int\left(1+e^{y}\right)^{2} q \Delta_{\omega} v v_{t}+  \tag{2.9}\\
& \quad+\int\left(B_{0} B_{1}\left(v^{2}\right)_{y}-B_{0} q\left(v^{2}\right)_{t}+B_{1}\left(v_{y}^{2}\right)_{y}-2\left(q v_{t} v_{y}\right)_{y}+q\left(v_{y}^{2}\right)_{t}+2 q_{y} v_{t} v_{y}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By the symmetry of the operator $\Delta_{\omega}$ and the anti-symmetry of the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ we obtain

$$
2 \int\left(1+e^{y}\right)^{2} B_{1} \Delta_{\omega} v v_{y}=-\int\left(\left(1+e^{y}\right)^{2} B_{1}\right)_{y} v \Delta_{\omega} v,
$$

therefore

$$
2 \int\left(1+e^{y}\right)^{2} B_{1} \Delta_{\omega} v v_{y}=\int\left(\left(1+e^{y}\right)^{2} B_{1}\right)_{y}\left|\partial_{\omega} v\right|^{2} \geq 2 \tau \int\left|\partial_{\omega} v\right|^{2} e^{y}, \quad \text { if } \tau \geq \frac{3}{2} .
$$

Moreover, this inequality and integrations by parts in the second and third integral at the right hand side of (2.9) give

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \int P_{\tau}^{(1)}(v) & P_{\tau}^{(2)}(v) \geq 2 \tau \int\left|\partial_{\omega} v\right|^{2} e^{y}+\int\left(1+e^{y}\right)^{2}\left(2\left(\partial_{\omega} q \cdot \partial_{\omega} v\right) v_{t}-\left|\partial_{\omega} v\right|^{2} q_{t}\right)+ \\
& +\int\left(\left(-\left(B_{0} B_{1}\right)_{y}+\left(B_{0} q\right)_{t}\right) v^{2}-\left(a^{\prime}(y)+q_{t}\right)\left(v_{y}\right)^{2}+2 q_{y} v_{t} v_{y}\right), \quad \text { if } \tau \geq \frac{3}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality and (2.8) give

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int\left(P_{\tau}(v)\right)^{2} \geq 2 \tau \int\left|\partial_{\omega} v\right|^{2} e^{y}-C_{1} \Lambda R_{0}^{2} \int\left|\partial_{\omega} v \| v_{t}\right| e^{3 y}-C_{2} \int\left|\partial_{\omega} v\right|^{2} e^{2 y}+ \\
&+\int\left(P_{\tau}^{(1)}(v)\right)^{2}-C_{2} \tau^{2} \int v^{2} e^{y}+\int H\left(v_{y}, v_{t} ; y, \tau\right), \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ is an absolute constant, $C_{2}$ depends on $\Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only and $H(\xi, \eta ; y, \tau)$ is the following quadratic form in the variables $\xi$ and $\eta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\xi, \eta ; y, \tau)=2 q_{y} \xi \eta-C e^{y} \xi^{2}+\left(B_{1} \xi-q \eta\right)^{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only.
Now, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\xi, \eta ; y, \tau) \geq \frac{\tau}{2} \xi^{2}+\frac{R_{0}^{4} e^{4 y}}{2 \lambda^{2} \tau} \eta^{2}, \quad \text { if }(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, y \leq-C_{1} \text { and } \tau \geq C_{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda$ and $\Lambda$ only and $C_{2}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only. First observe that (2.6) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{q_{y}}{q}-2\right| \leq(4+2 e \lambda \Lambda) e^{y}, \quad \text { if } y \leq y_{0} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second of (2.7), (2.11) and (2.13) give

$$
\begin{align*}
H(\xi, \eta ; y, \tau)= & \frac{q q_{y}}{B_{1}}\left(2-\frac{1}{B_{1}} \frac{q_{y}}{q}\right) \eta^{2}-C e^{y} \xi^{2}+\left(B_{1} \xi-\left(q-\frac{q_{y}}{B_{1}}\right) \eta\right)^{2} \geq  \tag{2.14}\\
& \geq \frac{R_{0}^{4} e^{4 y}}{\lambda^{2} \tau} \eta^{2}-C e^{y} \xi^{2}, \text { if }(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, y \leq-C_{1} \text { and } \tau \geq C_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only, $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda$ and $\Lambda$ only and $C_{2}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only. Similarly we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\xi, \eta ; y, \tau) \geq 2 \tau \xi^{2}, \quad \text { if }(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, y \leq-C_{1} \text { and } \tau \geq C_{2}, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda$ and $\Lambda$ only and $C_{2}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only. Summing (2.14) and (2.15) we obtain (2.12). (2.12) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int H\left(v_{y}, v_{t} ; y, \tau\right) \geq \frac{\tau}{2} \int v_{y}^{2}+\frac{R_{0}^{4}}{2 \lambda^{2} \tau} \int v_{t}^{2} e^{4 y}, \text { if } v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_{1}}\right) \text { and } \tau \geq C_{2} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

here and in the sequel, for any positive number $b$, we use the notation

$$
\mathcal{O}_{b}=(-\infty,-b) \times S^{n-1} \times(-T, T),
$$

$C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are constants, $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda$ and $\Lambda$ only, $C_{2}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only.

Now, we examine the integral $\int\left(P_{\tau}^{(1)}(\nu)\right)^{2}$. Let $\delta$ be a positive number that we shall choose later. We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int\left(P_{\tau}^{(1)}(v)\right)^{2}=\int\left(P_{\tau}^{(1)}(v)-\delta \tau v e^{y}+\delta \tau v e^{y}\right)^{2} \geq 2 \delta \tau \int\left(P_{\tau}^{(1)}(v)-\delta \tau v e^{y}\right) v e^{y}= \\
& =2 \delta \tau \int\left(\left(B_{0}-\delta \tau e^{y}+\frac{1}{2}\right) v^{2}-v_{y}^{2}-\left(1+e^{y}\right)\left|\partial_{\omega} v\right|^{2}\right) e^{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the last inequality, (2.10) and (2.16) we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int\left(P_{\tau}(v)\right)^{2} \geq \tau \int\left(2-2 \delta\left(1+e^{y}\right)-\frac{C_{1} e^{y}}{\tau}\right)\left|\partial_{\omega} v\right|^{2} e^{y}+\frac{R_{0}^{4}}{2 \lambda^{2} \tau} \int v_{t}^{2} e^{4 y}+ \\
+\int\left(2 \delta \tau\left(B_{0}-2 \delta \tau e^{y}\right)-C_{2} \tau^{2}\right) v^{2} e^{y}+\tau \int\left(\frac{1}{2}-2 \delta e^{y}\right) v_{y}^{2}-  \tag{2.17}\\
-C \Lambda R_{0}^{2} \int\left|\partial_{\omega} v\right|\left|v_{t}\right| e^{3 y}, \quad \text { if } v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_{2}}\right) \text { and } \tau \geq C_{3}
\end{array}
$$

where $C$ is an absolute constant, $C_{1}$ depends on $\Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only, $C_{2}$ depends on $\lambda$ and $\Lambda$ only and $C_{3}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only. Observe that in the right hand side of (2.17) the coefficient of $v^{2}$ is of order three in $\tau$. Now, by the inequality

$$
C \Lambda R_{0}^{2}\left|\partial_{\omega} v \| v_{t}\right| e^{3 y} \leq \frac{R_{0}^{4}}{2 \lambda^{2} \tau} v_{t}^{2} e^{4 y}+\frac{C^{2} \lambda^{2} \Lambda^{2} \tau}{2}\left|\partial_{\omega} v\right|^{2} e^{2 y}
$$

choosing $\delta=\frac{1}{8}$, we have by (2.17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left|P_{\tau}(v)\right|^{2} \geq \int\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\left|\partial_{\omega} v\right|^{2}+\frac{\tau}{4} v_{y}^{2}+\frac{\tau^{3}}{8} v^{2}\right) e^{y}, \text { if } v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_{1}}\right) \text { and } \tau \geq C_{2} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda$ and $\Lambda$ only and $C_{2}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only. Changing the variables and setting $\theta=e^{k\left(-C_{1}\right)}$, (2.18) easily gives (2.5).

Theorem 2. Let $M$ be a nonnegative number. Let $u \in H^{2,1}\left(Q_{R_{0}}^{T}\right)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
|L u| \leq M\left(R_{0}^{-1}|\nabla u|+R_{0}^{-2}|u|\right) \text { in } Q_{R_{0}}^{T} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following propositions hold true.
a) For every $r_{0}, r_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{\theta R_{0}}{3}\right), r$ such that $0<r_{0}<r<\theta R_{0}$ and $t_{0} \in(0, T)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{r}^{T-t_{0}}\right)} \leq C\left(\left(\frac{R_{0}}{r_{0}}\right)^{n / 2}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{2 r_{0}}^{T}\right)}\right)^{\delta_{r_{0}}}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{\theta R_{0}}^{T}\right)}\right)^{1-\delta r_{0}}+  \tag{2.20}\\
&+\left(\frac{R_{0}}{r_{0}}\right)^{n / 2} e^{C\left(\chi\left(\theta R_{0} / 2\right)-\chi\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{2 r_{0}}^{T}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\chi$ is defined in Theorem 1, $\theta, C, C_{1}$ are positive constants, $\theta, \theta<1$, depends on $\lambda$ and
$\Lambda$ only, $C$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda, M, R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ and $T t_{0}^{-1}$ only and $\delta_{r_{0}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{r_{0}}=\frac{\chi\left(\theta R_{0} / 2\right)-\chi(r)}{\chi\left(\theta R_{0} / 2\right)-\chi\left(r_{0}\right)} . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) If $u$ satisfies the inequality (2.19) and

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{s}^{T}\right)}=O\left(s^{\nu}\right) \text { as } s \rightarrow 0 \text {, for every } \nu \in \mathbb{N} \text {, }
$$

then $u \equiv 0$.
Proof. Denote by $R_{1}=\theta R_{0}$, where $\theta$ is defined in Theorem 1. Let $\zeta \in C_{0}^{2}\left(Q_{R_{1}}^{T} \backslash\{0\} \times\right.$ $\times(-T, T))$. Let $\left\{\zeta_{j}\right\}$ be a sequence in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{R_{1}}^{T} \backslash\{0\} \times(-T, T)\right)$ that converges to $\zeta$ in $C^{2}$. Let $\left\{u_{j}\right\}$ be a sequence in $C^{\infty}\left(Q_{R_{0}}^{T} \times(-T, T)\right)$ that converges to $u$ in $\mathrm{H}^{2,1}\left(Q_{R_{0}}^{T}\right)$. Applying the inequality (2.5) to the functions $u_{j} \zeta_{j}$ and passing to the limit we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q_{R_{0}}^{T}}\left(\tau \rho^{2} \mid \nabla_{\rho, \omega}\left(\left.\tilde{u} \bar{\zeta}\right|^{2}+\tau^{3}\left(\tilde{u} \tilde{\zeta}^{2}\right) e^{(-2 \tau+1) \chi(\rho)} \rho^{-1} \leq C \int_{Q_{R_{0}}^{T}}\left(\mathcal{L}(\tilde{u}())^{2} e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)} \rho^{3}\right.\right.\right. \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { if } \tau \geq C_{1}
$$

where $C$ is an absolute constant and $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only.
The main effort of this proof consists in the construction of a cut-off function $\zeta$ that allows us to deduce from (2.19) and (2.22) the inequality (2.20). We choose $\zeta(x, t)$ of the type $f(|x|) \varphi(t)$, where $f \in C_{0}^{2}\left(\left(0, \frac{3}{4} R_{1}\right)\right)$ is equal to 1 in $\left[\frac{3}{2} r_{0}, \frac{R_{1}}{2}\right]$, where $r_{0} \in\left(0, \frac{R_{1}}{3}\right)$ and $f$ is equal to 0 in $\left[0, r_{0}\right] \cup\left[\frac{R_{1}}{2}, \frac{3}{4} R_{1}\right]$. Moreover $\left|f^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{C}{r_{0}},\left|f^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \frac{C}{r_{0}^{2}}$ in $\left[r_{0}, \frac{3}{2} r_{0}\right]$ and $\left|f^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{C}{R_{1}},\left|f^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \frac{C}{R_{1}^{2}}$ in $\left[\frac{R_{1}}{2}, \frac{3}{4} R_{1}\right]$, where $C$ is an absolute constant. For a fixed $t_{0} \in(0, T)$, the function $\varphi \in C_{0}^{2}((-T, T))$ is equal to 1 in $\left[-T+t_{0}, T-t_{0}\right]$ and is equal to 0 in $\left[-T,-T+\frac{t_{0}}{2}\right] \cup\left[T-\frac{\hbar_{0}}{2}, T\right] . \varphi$ shall be choosen later in $\left(-T+\frac{t_{0}}{2},-T+t_{0}\right] \cup\left[T-t_{0}, T-\frac{t_{0}}{2}\right)$.

Now, denote by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\left|\frac{3}{2} r_{0} \leq|x| \leq \frac{R_{1}}{2}, \quad t \in\left[-T+\frac{t_{0}}{2},-T+t_{0}\right]\right\}\right. \\
& K_{1}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\left|\frac{3}{2} r_{0} \leq|x| \leq \frac{R_{1}}{2}, \quad t \in\left[T-t_{0}, T-\frac{t_{0}}{2}\right]\right\}\right. \\
& K_{2}=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\left|r_{0} \leq|x| \leq \frac{3}{2} r_{0}, \quad t \in\left[-T+\frac{t_{0}}{2}, T-\frac{t_{0}}{2}\right]\right\},\right. \\
& K_{3}=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\left|\frac{R_{1}}{2} \leq|x| \leq \frac{3}{4} R_{1}, \quad t \in\left[-T+\frac{t_{0}}{2}, T-\frac{t_{0}}{2}\right]\right\}\right. \\
& K_{4}=\left\{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\left|\frac{3}{2} r_{0} \leq|x| \leq \frac{R_{1}}{2}, \quad t \in\left[-T+t_{0}, T-t_{0}\right]\right\}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, set $K_{1}=K_{1}^{\prime} \cup K_{1}^{\prime \prime}$. With $Q_{R_{0}}^{T} \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} K_{i}$ and $K_{i}, i=1,2,3,4$, we have partitioned the cylinder $Q_{R_{0}}^{T}$ in five regions. Observe that in $Q_{R_{0}}^{T} \backslash \bigcup_{i=1}^{4} K_{i}$ we have $\zeta u \equiv 0$ and, in $K_{4}$, we have $\zeta u \equiv u$. Splitting the integrals of the inequality (2.22) on the partition defined above we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{K_{4}}\left(\tau \rho^{2}\left|\nabla_{\rho, \omega}(\widetilde{u})\right|^{2}+\tau^{3}(\widetilde{u})^{2}\right) e^{(-2 \tau+1) \chi(\rho)} \rho^{-1} \leq  \tag{2.23}\\
& \quad \leq J_{1}+J_{2}+C M^{2} R_{0}^{-2} \int_{K_{4}}\left(\left|\nabla_{\rho, \omega}(\widetilde{u})\right|^{2}+R_{0}^{-2} \widetilde{u}^{2}\right) e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)} \rho^{3}, \text { if } \tau \geq C_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{1}=-\int_{K_{1}}\left(\tau \rho^{2} \mid \nabla_{\rho, \omega}\left(\left.\widetilde{u} \bar{\zeta}\right|^{2}+\tau^{3}\left(\widetilde{u} \breve{\zeta}^{2}\right) \rho^{-1} e^{(-2 \tau+1) \chi(\rho)}+C \int_{K_{1}}\left(\widetilde{\zeta} \mathcal{L} \widetilde{u}-q \widetilde{\zeta} \widetilde{u}^{2}\right)^{2} \rho^{3} e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)},\right.\right. \\
& J_{2}=C \int_{K_{2} \cup K_{3}} \mid \mathcal{L}\left(\left.\widetilde{u} \bar{\zeta}\right|^{2} \rho^{3} e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)},\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is an absolute constant and $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ only. Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \frac{\rho}{e R_{0}} \leq \chi(\rho) \leq \log \frac{\rho}{R_{0}}, \text { if } \rho \in\left(0, R_{0}\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\tau$ is sufficiently large then the integral on the left hand side of (2.23) dominates the last integral on the right hand side. So we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 R_{0}} \int_{K_{4}}\left(\tau \rho^{2}\left|\nabla_{\rho, \omega}(\widetilde{u})\right|^{2}+\tau^{3}(\widetilde{u})^{2}\right) e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)} \leq J_{1}+J_{2}, \text { if } \tau \geq C \text {, } \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda, M$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$.
Now we examine $J_{1}$. Using (2.19) and, setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\rho, t ; \tau)=R_{0}^{-1} \varphi^{2}(t)\left(C M^{2} R_{0}^{-3} \rho^{3}+C \lambda^{2}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}{\varphi(t)}\right)^{2} R_{0} \rho^{3}-\tau^{3} e^{-1}\right) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
J_{1} \leq \int_{K_{1}} \Psi(\rho, t ; \tau) \widetilde{u}^{2} e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)}+\int_{K_{1}}\left(C M^{2} \frac{\rho}{R_{0}}-\tau\right)\left|\nabla_{\rho, \omega}(\widetilde{u})\right|^{2} \frac{\rho^{2}}{R_{0}} e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)},
$$

where $C$ is an absolute constant. By the last inequality we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1} \leq \int_{K_{1}} \Psi(\rho, t ; \tau) \widetilde{u}^{2} e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)}, \text { if } \tau \geq C \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C$ depends on $M$ only.
Denote by $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, respectively, the numbers $T_{1}=T-\frac{t_{0}}{2}, T_{2}=T-t_{0}$. Denote by $\gamma$ a positive number that we pick later, let us choose $\varphi$ as an even function such
that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\exp -\left(\frac{T^{\gamma}\left(T_{2}+t\right)^{4}}{\left(T_{1}+t\right)^{\gamma}\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right)^{4}}\right) \text {, if } t \in\left(-T_{1},-T_{2}\right] \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have in $K_{1}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\rho, t ; \tau) \leq \tau^{3}\left(R_{0} e\right)^{-1} \varphi^{2}(t)\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{C_{1}(\gamma+1)^{2} T^{2 \gamma}}{\left(T_{1}+t\right)^{2(\gamma+1)}} \frac{R_{0} \rho^{3}}{\tau^{3}}\right) \text {, if } \tau \geq C \text {, } \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda$ only and $C$ depends on $M$ only.
Denote by

$$
K_{1, \tau}^{\prime}=\left\{(x, t) \in K_{1}^{\prime} \left\lvert\,-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{C_{1}(\gamma+1)^{2} T^{2 \gamma}}{\left(T_{1}+t\right)^{2(\gamma+1)}} \frac{R_{0} \rho^{3}}{\tau^{3}} \geq 0\right.\right\},
$$

where $C_{1}$ is the same constant appearing in the right hand side of (2.29). Setting $m_{\gamma}=\max _{s \in(0,1]} s^{-2(1+\gamma)} e^{-s^{-\gamma}(1-s)^{4}},(2.29)$ gives

$$
\int_{K_{1}^{\prime}} \Psi(\rho, t ; \tau) \widetilde{u}^{2} e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)} \leq \frac{C_{2}(1+\gamma)^{2} m_{\gamma} T^{2 \gamma}}{t_{0}^{2(\gamma+1)}} \int_{K_{1, \tau}^{\prime}} \varphi(t) \rho^{3} \widetilde{u}^{2} e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)}, \text { if } \tau \geq C,
$$

where $C$ depends on $M$ only and $C_{2}$ depends on $\lambda$ only.

$$
\frac{T_{1}+t}{T} \leq\left(\frac{2 C_{1}(\gamma+1)^{2} R_{0} \rho^{3}}{\tau^{3} T^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2(\gamma+1)}}, \text { in } K_{1, \tau}^{\prime}
$$

Therefore, by (2.24) and (2.28), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi(t) \rho^{3} e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)} \leq \\
& \leq \frac{\rho^{n-1}}{\left(e R_{0}\right)^{n-4}} \exp \left(-\left(\frac{\tau^{3} T^{2}}{2 C_{1}(\gamma+1)^{2} R_{0} \rho^{3}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{2(\gamma+1)}}+(2 \tau+n-4) \log \frac{R_{0} e}{\rho}\right), \text { in } K_{1, \tau}^{\prime} . \tag{2.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Arguing in the same way for the region $K_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and picking $\gamma=3$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1} \leq \frac{C}{R_{0}^{n-1}} \int_{K_{1}} \rho^{n-1} \widetilde{u}^{2}, \text { if } \tau \geq C_{1} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\lambda, R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ and $T t_{0}^{-1}$ only and $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda, R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ and $T t_{0}^{-1}$ only.

By (2.19) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{2} \leq \frac{C e^{-2 \tau \chi\left(r_{0}\right)}}{r_{0}^{n}} \int_{K_{2}}\left(r_{0}^{2}\left|\nabla_{\rho, \omega}(\widetilde{u})\right|^{2}+\widetilde{u}^{2}\right) \rho^{n-1}+  \tag{2.32}\\
&+\frac{C e^{-2 \tau \chi\left(R_{1} / 2\right)}}{R_{1}^{n}} \int_{K_{3}}\left(R_{0}^{2}\left|\nabla_{\rho, \omega}(\widetilde{u})\right|^{2}+\widetilde{u}^{2}\right) \rho^{n-1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\lambda, M, R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ and $T t_{0}^{-1}$ only.

Let $r \in\left(\frac{3}{2} r_{0}, \frac{R_{1}}{2}\right)$ and denote by $K_{4}^{(r)}$ the region $\left\{(x, t) \in K_{4}| | x \mid \leq r.\right\}$. By (2.25), (2.31) and (2.32) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau^{3} e^{-2 \tau \chi(r)} \int_{K_{4}^{(r)}} \widetilde{u}^{2} \rho^{n-1} \leq \\
& \leq \tau^{3} \int_{K_{4}} \widetilde{u}^{2} e^{-2 \tau \chi(\rho)} \rho^{n-1} \leq  \tag{2.33}\\
& \leq C\left(e^{-2 \tau \chi\left(r_{0}\right)}\left(\frac{R_{0}}{r_{0}}\right)^{n} \int_{K_{2}}\left(r_{0}^{2}\left|\nabla_{\rho, \omega}(\widetilde{u})\right|^{2}+\widetilde{u}^{2}\right) \rho^{n-1}+\int_{K_{1}} \widetilde{u}^{2} \rho^{n-1}\right)+ \\
& \\
& \quad+C e^{-2 \tau \chi\left(R_{1} / 2\right)} \int_{K_{3}}\left(R_{0}^{2}\left|\nabla_{\rho, \omega}(\widetilde{u})\right|^{2}+\widetilde{u}^{2}\right) \rho^{n-1}, \text { if } \tau \geq C_{1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\lambda, M, R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ and $T t_{0}^{-1}$ only and $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda, M$, $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ and $T t_{0}^{-1}$ only. Now, let us estimate from above the right hand side of (2.33) using the following standard estimate

$$
\int_{K_{2} \cup K_{3}}\left|\nabla_{\rho, \omega}(\widetilde{u})\right|^{2} \rho^{n-1} \leq C\left(r_{0}^{-2} \int_{Q_{2 r_{0}}^{T}} \widetilde{u}^{2} \rho^{n-1}+R_{0}^{-2} \int_{Q_{R_{1}}^{T} \backslash Q_{R_{1} / 2}^{T}} \widetilde{u}^{2} \rho^{n-1}\right),
$$

where $C$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda, M$ and $R_{0}^{2} t_{0}^{-1}$. By (2.33) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q_{r}^{T-t_{0}}} u^{2} d x d t \leq \\
& \leq C\left(e^{2 \tau\left(\chi(r)-\chi\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}\left(\frac{R_{0}}{r_{0}}\right)^{n} \int_{Q_{2 r_{0}}^{T}} u^{2} d x d t+e^{2 \tau\left(\chi(r)-\chi\left(\frac{R_{1}}{2}\right)\right)} \int_{Q_{R_{1}}^{T}} u^{2} d x d t\right), \text { if } \tau \geq C_{1}, \tag{2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda, M, R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ and $T t_{0}^{-1}$ only, $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda, M$ and $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$.

Denote by

$$
\tau_{0}=\frac{-1}{2\left(\chi\left(\frac{R_{1}}{2}\right)-\chi\left(r_{0}\right)\right)} \log \left(\frac{\left(R_{0} r_{0}^{-1}\right)^{n} \int_{Q_{2 r_{0}}^{T}} u^{2} d x d t}{\int_{Q_{R_{1}}^{T}} u^{2} d x d t}\right) .
$$

If $\tau_{0} \geq C_{1}$ then, choosing in (2.35) $\tau=\tau_{0}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{r}^{T-t_{0}}\right)} \leq C\left(\left(\frac{R_{0}}{r_{0}}\right)^{n / 2}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{2 r_{0}}^{T}\right)}\right)^{\delta_{r_{0}}}\left(\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{\theta R_{0}}^{T}\right)}\right)^{1-\delta_{r_{0}}} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\delta_{r_{0}}=\frac{\chi\left(R_{1} / 2\right)-\chi(r)}{\chi\left(R_{1} / 2\right)-\chi\left(r_{0}\right)}
$$

and $C$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda, M, R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ and $T t_{0}^{-1}$ only and $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda, M$, $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ and $T t_{0}^{-1}$ only. If $\tau_{0}<C_{1}$ then (2.34) gives trivially

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{r}^{T-t_{0}}\right)} \leq e^{C_{1}\left(\chi\left(R_{1} / 2\right)-\chi\left(r_{0}\right)\right)}\left(\frac{R_{0}}{r_{0}}\right)^{n / 2}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{2 r_{0}}^{T}\right)}
$$

where $C_{1}$ depends on $\lambda, \Lambda, M, R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$ and $T t_{0}^{-1}$ only. By the last inequality and (2.35) we obtain (2.20).

Now, let us prove the proposition b) by contradiction. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{s}^{T}\right)}=O\left(s^{\nu}\right), \text { as } s \rightarrow 0, \text { for every } \nu \in \mathbb{N} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u$ were not identically equal to zero in $Q_{R_{1}}^{T}$ we can normalize it, hence we assume

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{R_{1}}^{T}\right)}=1
$$

Let us fix $r \in\left(0, \frac{R_{1}}{2}\right)$ and $t_{0} \in(0, T)$, by (2.20) and (2.36) we obtain

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{r}^{T-t_{0}}\right)} \leq C\left(E_{\nu} s^{\nu-\frac{n}{2}}\right)^{\delta_{s}}+C e^{C_{1}\left(\chi\left(\frac{R 1}{2}\right)-\chi(s)\right)} R_{0}^{\frac{n}{2}} s^{\nu-\frac{n}{2}}, \text { if } s \in\left(0, \frac{r}{2}\right) \text { and } \nu \in \mathbb{N},
$$

where $E_{\nu}$ is a sequence, $C$ and $C_{1}$ are constants. Passing to the limit as $s \rightarrow 0$, the last inequality gives

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{r}^{T-t_{0}}\right)} \leq C e^{-\left(\nu-\frac{n}{2}\right)\left(\chi\left(R_{1} / 2\right)-\chi(r)\right)}, \text { for every } \nu \in \mathbb{N}
$$

passing to the limit as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $u \equiv 0$ in $Q_{r}^{T-t_{0}}$. By iteration we get $u \equiv 0$ in $Q_{R_{1}}^{T}$ contradicting the hypothesis.

$$
\text { 3. } \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{HE}} \text { CASE } L(\cdot)=\operatorname{div}(A(x, t) \nabla \cdot)-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}
$$

Now we state the results proved in [11]. A sketch of the proofs is contained in Remark 1. Denote by

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{1,1}\left(\overline{Q_{R_{0}}^{T}}\right) & =\left\{f \in \mathrm{C}^{0}\left(\overline{Q_{R_{0}}^{T}}\right) \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{x}}\right., \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \in \mathrm{C}^{0}\left(\overline{Q_{R_{0}}^{T}}\right), i=1, \ldots, n\right\}, \\
C^{2,1}\left(\overline{Q_{R_{0}}^{T}}\right) & =\left\{f \in C^{1,1}\left(\overline{Q_{R_{0}}^{T}}\right) \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}\right., \frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x^{i} \partial t} \in \mathrm{C}^{0}\left(\overline{Q_{R_{0}}^{T}}\right), i, j=1, \ldots, n\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume that $q_{0} \in \mathrm{C}^{1,1}\left(\overline{Q_{R_{0}}^{T}}\right)$ and let $A$ be a $n \times n$ symmetric matrix whose entries are in $C^{2,1}\left(\overline{Q_{R_{0}}^{T}}\right)$. Further, assume that $\lambda^{-1} \leq q_{0}(x, t) \leq \lambda$, if $(x, t) \in Q_{R_{o}}^{T}$ and $\lambda^{-1}|\xi|^{2} \leq$ $\leq A(x, t) \xi \cdot \xi \leq \lambda|\xi|^{2}$, if $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $(x, t) \in Q_{R_{0}}^{T}$. Let $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$, set $k_{\varepsilon}(y)=y+e^{\varepsilon y}$ and $\chi_{\varepsilon}(\rho)=k_{\varepsilon}^{-1}\left(\log \frac{\rho}{R_{0}}\right)$.

## Theorem 3. Let $L$ be the following operator

$$
(L u)(x, t)=\left(\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)-q_{0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)(x, t), \text { if }(x, t) \in Q_{R_{o}}^{T},
$$

The following propositions hold true.
a) If $A(0, t)=I$ then there exist two positive constants $\theta \in(0,1)$ and $\tau_{0}$ depending on $\lambda$, $R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$, the $C^{1,1}$ norm of $q_{0}$ and the $C^{2,1}$ norm of $A$ such that if $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(Q_{\theta R_{o}}^{T} \backslash\{0\} \times\right.$
$\times(-T, T))$ and $\tau \geq \tau_{0}$ then
$\int_{Q_{R_{o}}^{T}}\left(\tau|x||\nabla u|^{2}+\tau^{3}|x|^{-1} u^{2}\right)|x|^{1-n} e^{(-2 \tau+\varepsilon) \chi_{\varepsilon}(|x|)} d x d t \leq C \int_{Q_{R_{o}}^{T}}|L u|^{2}|x|^{4-n} e^{-2 \tau \chi_{\varepsilon}(|x|)} d x d t$,
where $C$ depends on $\varepsilon$ and $\lambda$ only.
b) Let $M$ be a nonnegative number. If $u$ is a function in $H^{2,1}\left(Q_{R_{o}}^{T}\right)$ satisfying

$$
|L u| \leq M\left(R_{0}^{-1}|\nabla u|+R_{0}^{-2}|u|\right) \quad \text { in } Q_{R_{0}}^{T}
$$

and

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{s}^{T}\right)}=O\left(s^{\nu}\right) \text { as } s \rightarrow 0 \text {, for every } \nu \in \mathbb{N}
$$

then $u \equiv 0$ in $Q_{R_{0}}^{T}$.
In the next theorem we use the following notation and hypotheses. Denote by $B_{R_{0}}^{\prime}$ the $(n-1)$-dimensional ball of radius $R_{0}$ centered in 0 . For a number $\alpha \in(0,1]$ let $\varphi \in C^{1+\alpha}\left(\overline{B_{R_{0}}^{\prime}}\right)$, i.e. $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(\overline{B_{R_{0}}^{\prime}}\right)$ such that

$$
\sup _{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in B_{B_{0}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, x^{\prime} \neq y^{\prime}} \frac{\left|\nabla \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\nabla \varphi\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|}{\left|x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha}}<\infty
$$

assume that $\varphi(0)=0$. Set $D_{R_{0}}^{T}=\left\{(x, t) \in Q_{R_{0}}^{T} \mid \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)<x_{n}\right\}$ and $\Gamma_{R_{0}}^{T}=\left\{(x, t) \in Q_{R_{o}}^{T} \mid \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x_{n}\right\}$.
Theorem 4. Let $L$ be the following operator

$$
(L u)(x, t)=\left(\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)-q_{0} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}\right)(x, t) \text {, if }(x, t) \in D_{R_{o}}^{T} .
$$

Let $\varepsilon \in(0, \alpha)$, the following propositions hold true.
a) If $A(0, t)=I$ then there exist two positive constants $\theta \in(0,1)$ and $\tau_{0}$ depending on $\varepsilon, \lambda, R_{0}^{2} T^{-1}$, the $C^{1,1}$ norm of $q_{0}$, the $C^{2,1}$ norm of $A$ and the $C^{1+\alpha}$ norm of $\varphi$ such that: if $u \in C^{1,1}\left(\overline{D_{R_{o}}^{T}}\right) \cap C^{2,1}\left(D_{R_{o}}^{T}\right), u=0$ on $\Gamma_{R_{o}}^{T}, \zeta \in C_{0}^{2}\left(Q_{\theta R_{o}}^{T} \backslash\{0\} \times(-T, T)\right)$ and $\tau \geq \tau_{0}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{D_{R_{\theta}}^{T}}\left(\tau|x||\nabla(u \zeta)|^{2}+\tau^{3}|x|^{-1}(u \zeta)^{2}\right)|x|^{1-n} e^{(-2 \tau+\varepsilon) \chi_{\varepsilon}(|x|)} d x d t \leq \\
& \leq C \int_{D_{R_{o}}^{T}}|L(u \zeta)|^{2}|x|^{4-n} e^{-2 \tau \chi_{\varepsilon}(|x|)} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ depends on $\varepsilon$ and $\lambda$ only.
b) Let $M$ be a nonnegative number. If $u$ is a function in $C^{1,1}\left(\overline{D_{R_{o}}^{T}}\right) \cap C^{2,1}\left(D_{R_{o}}^{T}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=0 \text {, on } \Gamma_{R_{o}}^{T}, \\
|L u| \leq M\left(R_{0}^{-1}|\nabla u|+R_{0}^{-2}|u|\right), \quad \text { in } D_{R_{0}}^{T}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{s}^{T}\right)}=O\left(s^{\nu}\right) \text { as } s \rightarrow 0 \text {, for every } \nu \in \mathbb{N} \text {, }
$$

then $u \equiv 0$ in $D_{R_{0}}^{T}$.
Remark 1. To prove Theorems 3 and 4 we preliminary write the elliptic part of the operator $L$ in the Laplace-Beltrami form. Namely

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(a^{i j}(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{g(x, t)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\sqrt{g(x, t)} g^{i j}(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\right),
$$

where (if $n \geq 3$ )

$$
g^{i j}(x, t)=(\operatorname{det} A(x, t))^{\frac{1}{2-n}} a^{i j}(x, t), \quad i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}
$$

and $g(x, t)=\operatorname{det}\left(\left\{g_{i j}(x, t)\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n}\right)$, the matrix $\left\{g_{i j}(x, t)\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n}$ is the inverse of $\left\{g^{i j}(x, t)\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n}$. Then we transform the operator $L$ in polar coordinates. To this aim we have adapted to a time dependent metric tensor the strategy of Aronszajn et al. [2]. Then we prove a Carleman estimate and a three cylinder inequality with optimal exponent, thus we get the property of unique continuation in the interior. The above mentioned transformation turns out to be a particular useful tool in the proof of the property of unique continuation at the boundary. To prove the just mentioned property we preliminarly transform the $\operatorname{graph}(\varphi)$ by means of the transformation found in [1, Section 2]. Setting $\widetilde{\varphi}$ the transformed graph, in a second step we observe that the set $\left\{x \mid x_{n}>\widetilde{\varphi}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is starshaped in the geometry induced by a distance conformal to $g_{i j}(x, t) d x^{i} d x^{j}$, for every $t \in(-T, T)$. The above mentioned transformations allow us to prove a Carleman estimate, a three cylinder inequality with optimal exponent and the property of unique continuation at the boundary.
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